DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 99-AGL-46]

Modification of Class E Airspace; Fort Wayne, IN

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action modifies Class E airspace at Fort Wayne, IN. A Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to Runway (Rwy) 13 has been developed for Smith Field Airport. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet above ground level (AGL) is needed to contain aircraft executing the approach. This action enlarges the existing controlled airspace to the north for this airport.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, February 24, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Denis C. Burke, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, AGL–520, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60081, telephone (847) 294–7568. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On Friday, August 27, 1999, the FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 71 to modify Class E airspace at Fort Wayne, IN (64 FR 46868). The proposal was to add controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet AGL to contain Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations in controlled airspace during portions of the terminal operation and while transiting between the enroute and terminal environments. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9G dated September 1, 1999, and effective September 16, 1999, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 71 modifies Class E airspace at Fort Wayne, IN, to accommodate aircraft executing the proposed GPS Rwy 13 SIAP for

Smith Field Airport by enlarging the existing controlled airspace to the north. The area will be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 95665, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9G, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 1999, and effective September 16, 1999, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 Feet or more above the surface of the earth.

AGL IN E5 Fort Wayne, IN [Revised]

Fort Wayne VORTAC

(Lat. 40°58′45″ N., long. 85°11′17″ W.) Fort Wayne, Smith Field Airport, IN (Lat. 41°08′36″ N., long. 85°09′10″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 14.8-mile radius of the Fort Wayne VORTAC, and within a 16.1-mile radius of the Fort Wayne

VORTAC, extending from the Fort Wayne VORTAC 194° radial clockwise to the Fort Wayne VORTAC 335° radial, and within a 6.3-mile radius of the Smith Field Airport, and within 2.0 miles each side of the 308° bearing from the airport, extending from the 6.3-mile radius to 7.6 miles northwest of the airport.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on November 4, 1999.

Christopher R. Blum,

Manager, Air Traffic Division.

[FR Doc. 99–30394 Filed 11–19–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Part 570

[Docket No. FR-4449-F-02]

RIN 2506-AC00

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program; Clarification of the Nature of Required CDBG Expenditure Documentation; Final Rule

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On July 15, 1999, HUD published an interim rule that clarifies the level of expenditure documentation that Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) grantees and subrecipients must maintain to identify the use of CDBG funds provided for assisted activities. This change provides the public with more assurance that CDBG funds are used only for allowable purposes. This rule makes final the amendments made by the July 15, 1999 interim rule, and takes into consideration the public comment received on the interim rule. HUD has adopted the interim rule without change.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 22, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Miller, Entitlement Communities Division, Office of Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 7282, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708–1577 (this number is not toll-free). Persons with hearing or speechimpairments may access this number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. The July 19, 1999 Interim Rule

On July 19, 1999 (64 FR 38812), HUD published an interim rule that clarified the level of expenditure documentation that Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) grantees and subrecipients must maintain to identify the use of CDBG funds provided for assisted activities. The lack of appropriate documentation increases the potential for misuse of CDBG funds. The change made by the July 19, 1999 interim rule provides the public with more assurance that CDBG funds are used only for allowable purposes.

OMB Uniform Administrative Requirements for grants to local governments and nonprofit organizations have long required that grantees and subrecipients maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially-assisted activities. This requirement is found at 24 CFR 85.20(b)(2) for local governments and at 24 CFR 84.21(b)(2) for nonprofit organizations. These requirements are specifically made applicable to the CDBG program by 24 CFR 570.502(a)(4) and 24 CFR 570.502(b)(3), respectively. The CDBG regulations at § 570.506(h) also require maintaining financial records in accordance with the applicable requirements listed in § 570.502.

The interim rule amended \$570.506(h) to clarify the level of documentation that is needed for grantees and subrecipients to demonstrate compliance with the existing financial management requirements in 24 CFR parts 84 and 85 relating to maintaining adequate records to identify the use of funds provided for assisted activities. A broad range of types of documentation is described in an effort to reflect the myriad of different activities and financing mechanisms that can be undertaken with CDBG funds.

The preamble to the July 19, 1999 interim rule provides additional details regarding the amendment to HUD's CDBG program regulations at § 570.506(h).

II. Discussion of Public Comment Received on the July 19, 1999 Interim Rule

The public comment period on the July 19, 1999 interim rule closed on September 17, 1999. By close of business on that date, HUD had received a single public comment on the interim rule. The public commenter expressed support of the interim regulatory amendment. The commenter wrote that "[g]rantees should not have difficulty

maintaining evidence to support how CDBG funds provided to for-profit entities are expended." Accordingly, HUD has adopted the amendments made by the interim rule without change.

III. Findings and Certifications

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

The information collection requirements contained in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), and assigned OMB control number 2506–0077. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid control number.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), has reviewed and approved this final rule, and in so doing certifies that this rule will not have a substantial economic impact on small entities. This final rule will have no economic impact on small entities since it is a clarification of existing policy.

Environmental Impact

This amendment is categorically excluded from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321). In keeping with the exclusion provided for in 24 CFR 50.19(c)(1), this amendment does not direct, provide for assistance or loan and mortgage insurance for, or otherwise govern or regulate, real property acquisition, disposition, leasing, rehabilitation, alteration, demolition, or new construction; or establish, revise, or provide for standards for construction or construction materials, manufactured housing, or occupancy. Accordingly, under 24 CFR 50.19(c)(2), this amendment is categorically excluded because it amends an existing document where the existing document as a whole would not fall under the exclusion in 24 CFR 50.19 (c)(1), but the amendment by itself would do so.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order 13132 (entitled "Federalism") prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has federalism implications if the rule either imposes substantial direct compliance costs on State and local governments and is not required by statute, or the rule preempts State law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order. This

final rule does not have federalism implications and does not impose substantial direct compliance costs on State and local governments or preempt State law within the meaning of the Executive Order.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers for the Community Development Block Grants program are 14.218, 14.219, 14.225, 14.227, 14.246, and 14.248.

List of Subjects in 24 CFR Part 570

Administrative practice and procedure, American Samoa, Community development block grants, Grant programs—education, Grant programs—housing and community development, Guam, Indians, Lead poisoning, Loan programs—housing and community development, Low and moderate income housing, New communities, Northern Mariana Islands, Pacific Islands Trust Territory, Pockets of poverty, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Small cities, Student aid, Virgin Islands.

PART 570—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 24 CFR part 570, which was published at 64 FR 38812 on July 19, 1999, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: November 16, 1999.

Cardell Cooper,

Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

[FR Doc. 99–30366 Filed 11–19–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–29–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 914

[SPATS No. IN-143-FOR; State Program Amendment No. 98-5]

Indiana Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule; approval of amendment.

SUMMARY: The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is approving an amendment to the Indiana regulatory program (Indiana program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Indiana proposed revisions to rules