1% of the RfD for both difenzoquat and mepiquat chloride.

2. Infants and children. In the RED EPA has determined that the established tolerances for mepiquat chloride (including the previously established temporary tolerances for grapes) meet the safety standard under the FQPA amendment to section 408(b)(2)(C) for infants and children. The safety determination for infants and children considers the factors noted above for the general population, but also takes into account the possibility of increased dietary exposure due to the specific consumption patterns of infants and children, as well as the possibility of increased susceptibility to the toxic effects of mepiquat chloride residues in this population subgroup.

In the developmental studies, effects were seen in the fetuses only at the same or higher dose levels than effects on the mothers. In the reproduction study, no effects on reproductive performance were seen. Also, because the NOAELs from the developmental and reproduction studies were equal to or greater than the NOAEL used for establishing the reference dose, EPA concludes that it is unlikely that there is additional risk concern for immature or developing organisms. Finally, the Agency has no epidemiological information suggesting special sensitivity of infants and children to mepiquat chloride. Therefore, EPA finds that the uncertainty factor (100x) routinely used in RfD calculations is adequately protective of infants and children, and an additional uncertainty factor is not warranted for mepiquat chloride.

EPA estimates that mepiquat chloride residues in the diet of infants and children account for less than 1% of the RfD and residues in drinking water are not expected. Thus, the chronic aggregate exposure from all sources of mepiquat chloride account for less than 1% for infants and children. The acute dietary MOE for infants and children exposed to mepiquat chloride is 3,893. Therefore, the Agency concludes that aggregate risks for infants and children resulting from mepiquat chloride uses are not of concern.

## F. International Tolerances

There are no Codex, Canadian, or Mexican tolerances established for mepiquat chloride on grapes. Thus, international harmonization is not an issue for these tolerances.

[FR Doc. 99-30615 Filed 11-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### [FRL-6480-5]

### Sociodemographic Data Used for Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Populations

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of a final document.

**SUMMARY:** The notice announces the availability of a final document, Sociodemographic Data Used for Identifying Potentially Highly Exposed Populations (EPA/600/R-99/060, July 1999), prepared by Versar, Inc. for the National Center for Environmental Assessment, within the Office of Research and Development of the U.S. **Environmental Protection Agency** (EPA). This document assists assessors in identifying and enumerating potentially highly exposed populations. The document presents data relating to factors that potentially impact an individual or group's exposure to environmental contaminants based on activity patterns (how time is spent), microenvironments (locations where time is spent), and other sociodemographic data such as age, gender, race and economic status. Populations potentially more exposed to various chemicals of concern, relative to the general population, are also addressed.

ADDRESSES: The document is being made available electronically from the NCEA web site at http://www.epa/ncea under the What's New and Publications menus. Due to technical difficulties, certain tables and appendices could not be electronically reproduced. To obtain copies, please contact the National Center for Environmental Assessment's Technical Information Staff by phone (202-564-3261) or facsimile (202-565-0050). A limited number of paper copies also will be available from EPA's National Service Center for Environmental Publications on or about November 8, 1999. Interested parties may request a copy by telephoning 800-490-9198 and providing the document title and EPA number.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amina Wilkins, National Center for Environmental Assessment-Washington Office (8623D), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC (20460); telephone: 202–564–3256; facsimile: 202–565–0076; email: wilkins.amina@epa.gov.

Dated: November 9, 1999.

#### William H. Farland,

Director, National Center for Environmental Assessment.

[FR Doc. 99–30612 Filed 11–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6480-6]

Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement concerning the Aurum Etching Superfund Site, with Coltec Industries, Inc.

The settlement requires the settling parties to pay a total of \$33,524.76 as payment of past response costs and \$15,000 in future costs to the Hazardous Substances Superfund. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue pursuant to section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate, The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202–2733.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before December 27, 1999.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202–2733. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Lydia Behn, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202–2733 at (214) 665–8419. Comments should reference the Aurum Etching Superfund

Site, Dallas County, Texas, and EPA Docket Number 06–11–99, and should be addressed to Lydia Behn at the address listed above.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Compton, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202–2733 at (214) 665– 8506.

Dated: November 1, 1999.

#### Jerry Clifford,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 99–30611 Filed 11–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

# OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

### **Meeting Notice**

**AGENCY:** Office of National Drug Control Policy.

**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting of the Drug Free Communities Advisory Commission.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Drug-Free Communities Act, a meeting of the Drug Free Communities Advisory Commission will be held on December 1, 1999 in the 5th floor conference room of the Office of National Drug Control Policy, located at 750 17th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530. The meeting will commence at 8:30 a.m., break for lunch at 12:00 p.m., and resume at 1:15 p.m. for the afternoon session, ending at 5:00 p.m. The agenda will include discussion of ways to promote the creation of new coalitions, and the status of juvenile justice and delinquency prevention; training and technical assistance; program evaluation; and the National Youth Media Campaign. There will be an opportunity for public comment from 2:15 p.m. until 2:45 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Please direct any questions to Edward Jurith, General Counsel, (202) 395–6709, Office of National Drug Control Policy, Executive Office of the President, Washington, D.C. 20503.

### Edward H. Jurith,

General Counsel.

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

November 15, 1999.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÁ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before January 24, 2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, Room 1 A–804, 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0288. Title: Special Temporary Authority (Cable Television Relay Stations), Section 78.33.

Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection. Respondents: Business and other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents: 35. Estimated Time Per Response: 4

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements.

Total Annual Burden: 140 hours. Total Annual Costs: \$4,585.

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR section 78.33 permits cable television relay station operators to file informal requests for special temporary authority (STA) to install and operate equipment in a manner different from the way authorized in the station license. STAs may also be requested by cable operators and equipment suppliers to conduct a field surveys to determine necessary data in connection with the preparation of a formal application for installation of a radio system, as well as to conduct equipment, program, service, and path tests. The data submitted will be used by Commission staff to ensure that granting such requests for STA will not cause interference to established stations and that the requests meet the Commission's technical standards.

 $Federal\ Communications\ Commission.$ 

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–30572 Filed 11–23–99; 8:45 am]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested.

November 16, 1999.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's