DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council, Hells Canyon Subgroup

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Hells Canyon Subgroup of the John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council will meet on January 13 and 14, 2000, at the First Presbyterian Church, 1995 4th Street, Baker City, Oregon. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and continue until 5:00 p.m. the first day and will begin at 8:00 a.m. and continue until 4:00 p.m. on the second day. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Review draft CMP alternatives and, (2) Open public forum. All meetings are open to the public. Public comments will be received at 1:30 p.m. on January 13.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Direct questions regarding this meeting to Kendall Clark, Area Ranger, USDA, Hells Canyon National Recreation Area, 88401 Highway 82, Enterprise, OR 97828, 541–426–5501.

Dated: November 30, 1999.

Karyn L. Wood,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 99–31812 Filed 12–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: 2000 Annual Demographic Survey—Supplement to the Current Population Survey.

Form Number(s): CPS–580, –580(SP), –676, –676(SP).

Agency Approval Number: 0607–0354.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 20,833 hours.

Number of Respondents: 50,000.

Avg. Hours Per Response: 25 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The Census Bureau conducts the Annual Demographic Survey (ADS) every year in March in conjunction with the Current Population Survey (CPS). The Census Bureau, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the Department of Health and Human Services sponsor this supplement. In the ADS, we collect information on work experience, personal income and noncash benefits, household noncash benefits, health insurance coverage, participation in welfare reform benefits, race, and migration.

The work experience items in the ADS provide a unique measure of the dynamic nature of the labor force as viewed over a one-year period. These items produce statistics that show movements in and out of the labor force by measuring the number of periods of unemployment experienced by persons, the number of different employers worked for during the year, the principal reasons for unemployment, and part-/full-time attachment to the labor force. The income data from the ADS are used by social planners, economists, Government officials, and market researchers to gauge the economic well-being of the Nation as a whole, and selected population groups of interest. Government planners and researchers use these data to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of various assistance programs. Market researchers use these data to identify and isolate potential customers. Social planners use these data to forecast economic conditions and to identify special groups that seem to be especially sensitive to economic fluctuations. Economists use March data to determine the effects of various economic forces, such as inflation, recession, recovery, etc., and their differential effects on various population groups. Researchers evaluate March income data not only to determine poverty levels, but also to determine whether Government programs are reaching eligible households.

The March 2000 ADS contains, for the most part, the same items from last year. We have made improvements to the welfare reform questions based on cognitive testing.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13 USC, Section 182 and Title 29 USC, Sections 1–9.

OMB Desk Officer: Susan Schechter, (202) 395–5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3272, Department of Commerce, room 5027, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer, room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: December 3, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–31769 Filed 12–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) Wave 2 of the 2000 Panel

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before February 7, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5027, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Judith H. Eargle, Census Bureau, FOB 3, Room 3379, Washington, DC 20233–0001, (301) 457–3819.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau conducts the SIPP which is a household-based survey designed as a continuous series of national panels. New panels are introduced every few years with each panel usually having durations of 3 to 4 years. Respondents are interviewed once every four months in monthly rotations. Approximately 11,500 households are in the 2000 panel.

The SIPP represents a source of information for a wide variety of topics and allows information for separate topics to be integrated to form a single, unified database so that the interaction between tax, transfer, and other government and private policies can be examined. Government domestic-policy formulators depend heavily upon the SIPP information concerning the distribution of income received directly as money or indirectly as in-kind benefits and the effect of tax and transfer programs on this distribution. They also need improved and expanded data on the income and general economic and financial situation of the U.S. population. The SIPP has provided these kinds of data on a continuing basis since 1983 permitting levels of economic well-being and changes in these levels to be measured over time.

The survey is molded around a central ''core'' of labor force and income questions that will remain fixed throughout the life of a panel. The core is supplemented with questions designed to answer specific needs, such as obtaining information on taxes, the ownership and contributions made to the Individual Retirement Account, Keogh and 401K plans, examining patterns in respondent work schedules, and child care arrangements. These supplemental questions are included with the core and are referred to as ''topical modules.''

The topical modules for the 2000 Panel Wave 2 collect information about:

- Work Disability History.
- Education and Training History.
- Marital History.
- Fertility History.
- Migration History.
- Household Relationships.

Wave 2 interviews will be conducted from June 2000 through September 2000.

II. Method of Collection

The SIPP is designed as a continuing series of national panels of interviewed households that are introduced every few years with each panel having durations of 1 to 4 years. All household members 15 years old or over are interviewed using regular proxy-respondent rules. During the 2000 panel, respondents are interviewed a total of three times (3 waves) at 4-month intervals making the SIPP a longitudinal survey. Sample people (all household members present at the time of the first interview) who move within the country and reasonably close to a SIPP primary sampling unit will be followed and interviewed at their new address. Individuals 15 years old or over who enter the household after Wave 1 will be interviewed; however, if these individuals move, they are not followed unless they happen to move along with a Wave 1 sample individual.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607–0865.
Form Number: SIPP/CAPI Automated Instrument.
Type of Review: Regular.
Affected Public: Individuals or Households.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 24,150.
Estimated Time Per Response: 30 minutes per person.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 25,467.
Estimated Total Annual Cost: The only cost to respondents is their time.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 3, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–31768 Filed 12–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–405–802]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Finland; Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review in Accordance With Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review in Accordance with Final Court Decision on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Finland.

SUMMARY: On April 27, 1999, the U.S. Court of International Trade affirmed in part and reversed in part the Department of Commerce's remand determination of the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Finland. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 8, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Rast or Linda Ludwig, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1324 and (202) 482–3833, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Background

On April 15, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of the second administrative review in Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Finland, 62 FR 18468 (April 15, 1997) (Final Results), covering the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995. In the Final Results the Department treated subject merchandise produced to different grade ''A'' shipbuilding specifications as non-identical merchandise. The Department also used facts available as normal value (NV) for home market sales of wide flat products or beveled plate. The Department used as facts available 32.80 percent ad valorem, the weighted-average duty rate from the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation.

Subsequent to the publication of the Department's Final Results, both respondent Rautaruukki Oy (Rautaruukki) and petitioners appealed the Final Results to the U.S. Court of International Trade (the Court). Respondent argued that the Department should have treated all grade ''A'' shipbuilding steel as identical merchandise. Petitioners argued that, as facts available, the Department should have used the rate which resulted from the court challenge to the original LTFV investigation. See *Rautaruukki Oy* v. *United States*, Slip Op. 97–56 (CIT, May 13, 1997); Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Finland: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 62 FR 55782, 55783 (October 28, 1997).

Based on these challenges the Court remanded the Final Results. See