

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the removal of the RCS volume from the TSs and the associated format changes to the TS pages do not impact any other requirements.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on February 18, 2000, the staff consulted with the Michigan State official, Mr. David Minnaar of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an

environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 22, 1999, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23d day of February 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John F. Stang,

Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00-4885 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission

DATE: Weeks of February 28, March 6, 13, 20, 27, and April 3, 2000

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland

STATUS: Public and Closed

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of February 28

Tuesday, February 29

1:30 p.m. Briefing on Draft 50.59 Regulatory Guide (Public Meeting) (Contact: Eileen McKenna, 301-415-2189)

Thursday, March 2

9:25 a.m. Affirmation/discussion and Vote (Public Meeting)

(a) Private Fuel Storage L.L.C., Docket No. 72-22-ISFSI, Memorandum and Order (Denying Request for Admission of Late-Filed Amended Contention Utah C), LBP-99-43, 50 NRC 306 (November 4, 1999)

(b) In the Matter of Michel A. Philippon (Denial of Senior Reactor Operator License Application), LBP-99-44 (December 9, 1999)

9:30 a.m. Meeting with ACRS on Risk Informing Part 50 (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Larkins, 301-415-7360)

Friday, March 3

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Calvert Cliffs License Renewal (Public Meeting) (Contact: Chris Grimes, 301-415-1183)

Week of March 6—Tentative

Monday, March 6

1:30 p.m. Meeting with NARUC (Public Meeting)

Tuesday, March 7

1:00 p.m. Briefing on Improvements in the Reactor Oversight Process (Public

Meeting) (Contact: Bill Dean, 301-415-1257)

Week of March 13—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of March 13.

Week of March 20—Tentative

Wednesday, March 22

9:25 a.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Risk-Informed Regulation Implementation Plan (Public Meeting) (Contact: Tom King, 301-415-5790)

Friday, March 24

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Evaluation of the Requirement for Licensee to Update Their Inservice Inspection and Inservice Testing Program Every 120 Months (Public Meeting) (Contact: Tom Scarbrough, 301-415-2794)

Week of March 27—Tentative

Thursday, March 30

8:55 a.m. Affirmation/Discussion and Vote (Public Meeting) (If needed)

9:00 a.m. Briefing on EEO Program (Public Meeting) (Contact: Irene Little, 301-415-7380)

Week of April 3—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of April 3.

* THE SCHEDULE FOR COMMISSION MEETINGS IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE ON SHORT NOTICE. TO VERIFY THE STATUS OF MEETINGS CALL (RECORDING)—(301) 415-1292. CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Bill Hill (301) 415-1661.

* * * * *

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: By a vote of 5-0 on February 22, the Commission determined pursuant to U.S.C. 552b(e) and § 9.107(a) of the Commission's rules that "Discussion of Management Issues" (Closed-Ex. 2 & 6) be held on February 22, and on less than one week's notice to the public.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/schedule.htm>

* * * * *

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, DC 20555 (301-415-1661). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to wmh@nrc.gov or dkw@nrc.gov.

February 24, 2000.

William M. Hill, Jr.,

SECY Tracking Officer, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-5003 Filed 2-28-00; 11:18 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission Office of Filings and Information Services Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 204-3, SEC File No. 270-42, OMB Control No. 3235-0047

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Rule 204-3 requires an investment adviser to deliver, or offer, to prospective clients a disclosure statement, or "brochure," containing specified information as to the business practices and background of the adviser. The rule also requires that an investment adviser deliver, or offer, its brochure on an annual basis to existing clients. Investors use this information to determine whether to retain or continue to employ the investment adviser. There are currently approximately 8,300 investment advisers subject to this rule; the estimated burden resulting from the rule is 203,350 total annual hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Written comments regarding the above information should be directed to the following persons: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503; and (ii) Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-4850 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-42444; File No. SR-CTA/CQ-00-01]

Consolidated Tape Association; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Second Substantive Amendment to the Second Restatement of the Consolidated Tape Association Plan and the First Substantive Amendment to the Restated Consolidated Quotation Plan

February 18, 2000.

Pursuant to Rule 11A3-2¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),² notice is hereby given that on January 19, 2000, the Consolidated Tape Association ("CTA") and the Consolidated Quotation ("CQ") Plan Participants ("Participants")³ filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") amendments to the Restated CTA Plan and CQ Plan. The amendments propose to adopt, as an additional form under Exhibit D of the Plans, a Consolidated Subscriber Form for use in connection with the implementation of new procedures under which vendors (rather than Network B) will now: (1) Execute directly with professional subscribers a Consolidated Subscriber Form for receipt and use of Network B market data that runs to the benefit of the Network B Participants and (2) assume responsibility for the billing, collecting and forwarding of all Network B subscriber charges to Network B.

Pursuant to Rule 11Aa3-2(c)(3)(ii), the CTA and CQ Participants have designated the amendments as a matter concerned solely with the administration of the Plans on behalf of all of the sponsors and the participants, which renders the amendments effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the amendments, the Commission may summarily

¹ 17 CFR 240.11Aa3-2.

² 15 U.S.C. 78k-1.

³ The amendments were executed by each Participant in each of the Plans. The Participants include American Stock Exchange LLC, Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., Cincinnati Stock Exchange, Inc., National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., New York Stock Exchange, Inc., Pacific Exchange, Inc., and Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.

abrogate the amendment and require that the amendment be refiled in accordance with the paragraph (b)(1) of this section and reviewed in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section, if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or the maintenance of fair and orderly markets, to remove impediments to, and perfect mechanisms of, a national market system or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments from interested persons on the amendments.

I. Description and Purpose of the Amendments

A. Rule 11Aa3-2

Purpose of the Amendments

Currently, Network B uses a Consolidated Subscriber Form that it executes directly with professional subscribers. While the subscriber also executes an agreement with its vendor to receive Network B market data from the vendor (the "Vendor-Subscriber Agreement"), Network B generally bills all subscriber charges directly to the subscriber and collects the fees itself.⁴

Network B is now proposing to shift the billing and collecting functions to the vendors. As part of that effort, Network B is proposing to amend the CTA and CQ Plans by adding a new Consolidated Subscriber Form to Exhibit D of each Plan. The new form implements new procedures pursuant to which vendors will replace Amex as the party that will now (1) execute directly with professional subscribers a Consolidated Subscriber Form for receipt and use of Network B market data that runs to the benefit of Network B Participants and (2) bill and collect all Network B subscriber charges for Network B. The new Consolidated Subscriber Form that will be used for Network B vendor billing will be virtually identical to the existing Consolidated Subscriber Form, except for (1) the vendor signature block (replacing the Network B signature block), (2) language indicating that the agreement runs to the benefit of the Network B Participants as third-party beneficiaries; and (3) minor changes reflecting the shift of the billing and collection functions to the vendors (including such things as allowing vendors to examine records and request equipment descriptions).

The Network B Participants believe that the shift to vendor billing will

⁴ Exhibit D to each Plan sets forth the forms of market data subscriber agreements currently in use.