

Office Building, Drawer 20, Montpelier, VT 05620-0501”.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-319 Filed 1-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6249-8]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared December 20, 1999 through December 23, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 9, 1999 (63 FR 17856).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65337-ID Rating LO, Salmon River Canyon Project, Implementation, Nez Perce, Payette, Bitterroot and Salmon-Challis National Forests, Idaho County, ID.

*Summary:* EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of this action. Based upon this screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. D-BIA-A65165-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Navajo Ten Year Forest Management Plan Alternatives, Implementation and Funding, AZ and NM.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding water quality and protected beneficial uses. EPA asked that potential impacts associated with new road construction and use of pesticides and herbicides be addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-COE-C35013-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Port of New York and New Jersey Dredged Material Management Plan, Implementation Channel Depths and Deepen, NY and NJ.

*Summary:* EPA raised concerns with specific management options discussed in the PEIS, and requested that additional information be included in the final PEIS, Dredged Material Management Plan, and technical appendices.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40154-TX Rating EC2, Loop 1 Extension Project, From Farm-to-Market (FM-734 (Palmer Lander) to TX-45 Highway, Funding, Travis and Williamson Counties, TX.

*Summary:* EPA identified several environmental concerns and need for additional information which include the inclusion of an Edwards Aquifer water pollution abatement plan and additional documented coordination with the State Historic Preservation Officer. EPA requested that mitigation measure be incorporated into the final EIS and Record of Decision.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40155-TX Rating LO, TX-45 Highway Project, Extending from Anderson Mill Road (FM Road 2769) to Farm-to-Market Road 685 east of IH-35), Funding, Williamson and Travis Counties, TX.

*Summary:* EPA has no objection to the preferred alternative.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65311-ID North Fork St. Joe River Project, Implementation, Idaho Panhandles National Forest, St. Joe Ranger District, Shoshone County, ID.

*Summary:* The Final EIS adequately disclosed the environmental concerns with the project and responded to EPA's comments.

ERP No. F-BIA-J65298-MT Flathead Indian Reservation Forest Management Plan, Implementation, Rocky Mountain, Pablo, MT.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concern that little information was provided on the proposed monitoring programs to assure that ecological goals and objectives are attained.

ERP No. F-FHW-L40193-ID Sandpoint North and South (NH-IR-F-CM-5116(68) Projects, Construction, US 95 (Milepost 466.8 to Milepost 4786), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Sandpoint, Bonner County, ID.

*Summary:* EPA had no objection to the proposed action but request that the ROD include a discussion of the methodology used to determine that information in the final EIS is current and viable and that FHWA ensure that project implementation does not worsen water quality.

ERP No. F-FTA-L40205-00 South/North Corridor Project, Improvements to the Existing Urban Transportation, Funding, Multnomah, Clackamas and Washington Counties, OR and Clark County, WA.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-USN-E11045-NC Introduction of the V-22 “Osprey” a new Type of Tiltrotor Aircraft, Replacement or Renovation of the

facilities used to house Aircraft, Full Basing at MCAS Cherry Point and/or Partial Basing at both MCAS New River and Cherry Point, COE Section 404 Permit, NC.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have no significant objections to the Marine Corps' proposal to replace it CH-46 helicopters to the new Tiltrotor, V-22 Osprey.

Dated: January 4, 2000.

**B. Katherine Biggs,**

*Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-401 Filed 1-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6249-7]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

**RESPONSIBLE AGENCY:** Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR [www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa).

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed December 27, 1999 through December 30, 1999 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990496, DRAFT EIS, FAA, MN Flying Cloud Airport Expansion, Extension of the Runways 9R/27L and 9L/27R, Long-Term Comprehensive Development, City of Eden Prairie, Hennepin County, MN, Due: February 21, 2000, Contact: Glen Orcutt (612) 713-4354.

EIS No. 990497, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FHW, VA, DC, MD, Woodrow Wilson Bridge Improvements, Updated Information concerning the Changes and Discusses in differences between Alternative 4A of the September 1997 FEIS and Current Design Alternative 4A, I-95/I-495 (Capital Beltway), Telegraph Road to MD-210, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and CGD Bridge Permit Issuance, City of Alexandria, Fairfax County, VA; Prince George's County, MD and DC, Due: February 25, 2000, Contact: John Gerner (703) 519-9800.

EIS No. 990498, DRAFT EIS, SFW, CA, High Desert Power Project, Construction and Operation, A Combined-Cycle Natural Gas-Fueled Electrical Generation Power Plant, Approval of Incidental Taking Authorization under Sections 7 and 10 of the Federal ESA, San Bernardino County, CA, Due: February 21, 2000, Contact: Ben Harrison (503) 231-2068.

EIS No. 990499, Final EIS, FHW, AR, MO, US-71. Transportation

Improvements, from south of Bella Vista to Pineville, Benton County, AR and McDonald County, MO, Due: February 07, 2000, Contact: Elizabeth A. Romero (501) 324-5625.

EIS No. 990500, Draft Supplement, UAF, FL, Homestead Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Updated and Additional Information on Disposal of Portions of the Former Homestead (AFB), Implementation, Dade County, FL, Due: February 21, 2000, Contact: Frank Duncan (703) 696-5243.

#### Amended Notices

EIS No. 990463, Draft EIS, BOP, SC, South Carolina—Federal Correctional Institution, Construct and Operate, Possible Sites: Andrew, Bennettsville, Oliver and Salters, SC, Due: February 01, 2000, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514-6470. FR notice published on 12/17/1999: CEQ Comment Date extended from 1/03/2000 to 02/01/2000.

Dated: January 4, 2000.

#### B. Katherine Biggs,

*Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-402 Filed 1-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6520-7]

#### National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology, (NACEPT) Standing Committee on Compliance Assistance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notification of public advisory NACEPT standing committee on compliance assistance meeting; open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Standing Committee on compliance assistance will meet on the date and time described below. The meeting is open to the public. Seating at the meeting will be a first-come basis and limited time will be provided for public comment. For further information concerning this meeting, please contact the individual listed with the announcement below. NACEPT Standing Committee on Compliance Assistance; January 31 and February 1, 2000. Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency will hold an open meeting of the NACEPT

Standing Committee on Compliance Assistance on Thursday, Monday, January 31, 2000 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and February 1, 2000 from 8:30-5. The meeting will be held at the Academy for Educational Development (AED) Conference Center, 1825 Connecticut Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20009. The agenda for both days of the meeting will be focused primarily on the development of the compliance assistance clearinghouse, national forum and the annual agency plan. A formal agenda will be available at the meeting.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NACEPT is a federal advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463. NACEPT provides advice and recommendations to the Administrator and other EPA officials on a broad range of domestic and international environmental policy issues. NACEPT consists of a representative cross-section of EPA's partners and principal constituents who provide advice and recommendations on policy issues and serve as a sounding board for new strategies.

EPA recently issued a new report, "Aiming for Excellence." This report commits EPA to take a number of actions to enhance our reinvention programs, including several to improve our compliance assistance efforts. The report was developed based on extensive external outreach to a broad range of stakeholders through a variety of forums. It is available on EPA's Reinvention home page ([www.epa.gov/reinvent/](http://www.epa.gov/reinvent/)).

In connection with this effort, the Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA) has recently completed work on an action plan, "Innovative Approaches to Enforcement and Compliance Assurance." This action plan includes the compliance assistance activities identified in the Task Force report as well as additional OECA commitments. The action items described in the OECA report (available at [www.epa.gov/oeca/innovative/approaches.html](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/innovative/approaches.html)) will change fundamental aspects of the Agency's compliance assistance planning and programs.

To ensure that the compliance assistance activities in the action plan are implemented in a way that continues to reflect stakeholder needs, the National Advisory Council on Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT) is creating a new Standing Committee on Compliance Assistance. This will provide a continuing Federal Advisory Committee forum from which the Agency can continue to receive valuable stakeholder advice and

recommendations on compliance assistance activities.

For further information concerning the NACEPT Standing Committee on Compliance Assistance, including the upcoming meeting, contact Gina Bushong Designated Federal Officer (DFO), on (202) 564-2242, or E-mail: [bushong.gina@epa.gov](mailto:bushong.gina@epa.gov).

#### INSPECTION OF SUBCOMMITTEE

**DOCUMENTS:** Documents relating to the above topics will be publicly available at the meeting.

Dated: December 16, 1999.

**Gina Bushong,**

*Designated Federal Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-361 Filed 1-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission; Comments Requested

December 28, 1999.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before March 7, 2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should