ACTION: Scheduling of expedited fiveyear reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 F.R. 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debra Baker (202-205-3180), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

www.usitc.gov).

On March 3, 2000, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group responses to its notice of institution (64 FR 67313, December 1, 1999) were adequate and the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. ¹ Accordingly, the Commission

determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 27, 2000, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions

As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the reviews, may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before June 30, 2000, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by June 30, 2000. However, should Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determinations

The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: March 15, 2000 By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–6855 Filed 3–17–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–663 (Review)]

Paper Clips From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited fiveyear review concerning the antidumping duty order on paper clips from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. $\S 1675(c)(3)$) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 2000. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Debra Baker (202-205-3180), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted by Gerlin, Inc.; Ideal Forging Corp.; Maass Flange Corp.; and Westbrook Flange to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207 62(d)(2))

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 2000, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (64 FR 67320, December 1, 1999) was adequate and the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on July 3, 2000, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written Submissions

As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the review, may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before July 7, 2000, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by July 7, 2000. However, should Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the

Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination

The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: March 15, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–6854 Filed 3–17–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States* v. *AT&T Corp.*, *AT&T of the Virgin Islands*, *A&L Underground*, *Inc.*, and *BioImpact*, *Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2000–42, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of the Virgin Islands on March 9, 2000.

This is a civil enforcement action stating claims against AT&T Corp., AT&T of the Virgin Islands, A&L Underground, Inc., and BioImpact, Inc for violations of the Rivers and Harbors Act ("RHA"), 33 U.S.C. 401 et seq., and the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., in connection with the Defendants' construction of a transoceanic cable landing facility in St. Croix, the U.S. Virgin Islands.

The proposed Consent Decree would resolve these violations and, among other provisions, would require Defendants to (1) pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$1.8 million, and (2) conduct further monitoring of the areas where drilling mud was released, to ensure that any remaining drilling mud is discovered and removed.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Attention: Scott J. Jordan, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026–3986, and must refer to *United States* v. *AT&T Corp.*, *AT&T of the Virgin Islands*, *A&L Underground*, *Inc.*, and *BioImpact*, *Inc.*, DJ Reference No. 90–5–1–1–4466.

The proposed consent decree is on file at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court, District of the Virgin Islands, 310 Federal Building, 5500 Veterans Drive, Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, Virgin Islands 00802, and may be examined there to the extent allowed by the rules of the Clerk's Office. In addition, written requests for a copy of the consent decree may be mailed to Scott J. Jordan, Environmental Defense Section, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026-3986, and should refer to *United States* v. AT&T Corp., AT&T of the Virgin Islands, A&L Underground, Inc., and BioImpact, Inc., DJ Reference No. 90-5-1-1-4466. All written requests for a copy of the Consent Decree must include the full mailing address to which the Consent Decree should be sent.

Letitia J. Grishaw,

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 00–6746 Filed 3–17–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Consistent with Department policy, 28 CFR 50.7, 38 FR 19029, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d), notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in United States v. Jane Doe, as Executrix of the Estate of Edmund Barbera, et al., 96 Civ. 8563 (BSJ), was lodged on March 8, 2000 with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Consent Decree addresses the hazardous waste contamination at the Port Refinery Superfund Site (the "Site"), located in the Village of Rye Brook, Westchester County, New York. The Consent Decree requires the current owner of the Site to pay to the United States the net proceeds of the sale of the Site, after certain costs in connection with the sale are paid and after purchase

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by ACCO to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).