

TABLE 11—Continued

San Francisco FHLBank	Terms	Non-guaranteed seats	Guaranteed staggering: 1–2–2 Total staggering: 2–3–3
California Seat	3 Years..	Not Guaranteed (Stock Seat). Not Guaranteed (Stock Seat).	
California Seat	3 Years..		
California Seat	3 Years		
California Seat	2 Years		
4 Seats to be filled in 2001 Election	*Board must allocate 1 seat to a 1-year term.	Not Guaranteed (Stock Seat).	
California Seat	3/1 Years*.		
Nevada Seat	3/1 Years*.		
Arizona Seat	3/1 Years*.		
California Seat	1 Year	Not Guaranteed (Stock Seat).	

Class with Terms Expiring Dec. 31, 2002 (3 seats)

California/Nevada/Arizona Seat (board to pick 1 of 3)

California Seat (not guaranteed by statute)

California Seat (not guaranteed by statute)
Class with Terms Expiring Dec. 31, 2003 (3 seats)

California Seat
California Seat

California Seat (not guaranteed by statute)
Class with Terms Expiring Dec. 31, 2004 (2 seats)

California/Nevada/Arizona Seat (board to pick 2 of 3)

TABLE 12

Seattle FHLBank	Term	Non-guaranteed seats	Guaranteed staggering: 2–3–3 Total staggering: 4–3–3
10 Seats: 8 Guaranteed by Statute and 2 Not Guaranteed 5 Seats to be filled in 2000 Election		Not Guaranteed (Discretionary Seat). Not Guaranteed (Discretionary Seat).	
Hawaii Seat	3 Years.		
Utah Seat	3 Years.		
Alaska Seat	3 Years.		
Washington Seat	2 Years	Not Guaranteed (Discretionary Seat). Not Guaranteed (Discretionary Seat).	
Washington Seat	2 Years		
5 Seats to be filled in 2001 Election	* Board must allocate 2 seats to 1-year terms.		
Montana Seat	3/1 Years*.		
Oregon Seat	3/1 Years*.	Not Guaranteed (Discretionary Seat). Not Guaranteed (Discretionary Seat).	
Washington Seat	3/1 Years*.		
Idaho Seat	3/1 Years*.		
Wyoming Seat	3/1 Years*.		

Class with Terms Expiring Dec. 31, 2002 (4 seats)

Montana/Oregon/Idaho/Wyoming/
Washington Seat (board to pick 2 of 5)

Washington Seat (not guaranteed by statute)

Washington Seat (not guaranteed by statute)

Class with Terms Expiring Dec. 31, 2003 (3 seats)

Hawaii Seat

Utah Seat

Alaska Seat

Class with Terms Expiring Dec. 31, 2004 (3 seats)

Montana/Oregon/Idaho/Wyoming/

Washington Seat (board to pick 3 of 5)

Dated: February 23, 2000.

By the Board of Directors of the Federal
Housing Finance Board.

Bruce A. Morrison,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 00–8052 Filed 3–31–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6725–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99–NE–13–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company CT58 Series Turboshaft Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation
Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive that would supersede two existing airworthiness directives (AD's), applicable to General Electric Company (GE) CT58 series turboshaft engines. The current AD's revised the counting method for hours in repetitive heavy-lift (RHL) service and reduced the life limit for rotating components. Life-limited rotating components must be removed from service in accordance with the multiplying factors and retirement lives contained in General Electric Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) CT58 A72-162 (CEB-258), dated July 9, 1979. This proposal would require applying an additional multiplying factor to life-limited rotating parts when the engine is used in heavy lifting operations. This proposal is prompted by a review of the current AD's, 69-23-02 and 79-23-04, and a determination that the requirements of those AD's may conflict. This AD would prevent RHL and utility service multiplier factors from being applied incorrectly. The actions specified in the proposed AD are intended to prevent low-cycle fatigue failure of rotating parts that could result in uncontained engine failure and damage to the helicopter.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NE-13-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-ane-adcomment@faa.gov". Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from GE Aircraft Engines, General Electric Company, 1000 Western Avenue, Lynn, MA 01910. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Donovan, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA

01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7743, fax (781) 238-7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NE-13-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NE-13-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299.

Discussion

On November 4, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued airworthiness directive (AD) 69-23-02, Amendment 39-870 (34FR 18296, November 15, 1969), to reduce the life limits for stage 2 compressor rotor disk shafts and to remove from service stage 2 compressor rotor disk shafts before reaching those reduced life limits. That action was prompted by analyses that indicated the need for a reduced life-limit for certain life-limited parts when the engine is used in repetitive heavy lift (RHL) operations. That condition, if not corrected, could result in low-cycle fatigue failure of rotating parts that

could result in uncontained engine failure and damage to the helicopter.

On December 13, 1979, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued airworthiness directive (AD) 79-23-04, Amendment 39-3610 (44 FR 72103, December 13, 1979), to require that the life-limits of certain life-limited rotating parts be revised based on multiplying factors specified in GEAE alert service bulletin (ASB) (CT58) 72-162 CEB 258, dated July 9, 1979, for RHL operations. That action was prompted by the need for lower life limits for life-limited rotating parts that are installed on engines used for RHL operations. That condition, if not corrected, could result in low-cycle fatigue failure of rotating parts that could result in uncontained engine failure and damage to the helicopter.

Events Since the Issuing of AD 69-23-02 and AD 79-23-04

Since the issuance of those AD's, the FAA has determined that some operators may be applying the multiplying factors for RHL operations incorrectly because the requirements of AD 79-23-04 apparently conflict with the requirements of AD 69-23-02. The requirements contained in AD 79-23-04 should have superseded the hourly life limits contained in AD 69-23-02 and should have specified the use of GEAE ASB (CT58) 72-162 CEB 258 for all CT58 series engines.

Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved the technical contents of GEAE ASB (CT58) 72-162 CEB 258, revision 9, dated October 6, 1998, that describes procedures for calculating revised cyclic life limits from the hourly life limits based on multiplying factors when the engine is used in RHL and utility service operation.

Requirements of the Proposed AD

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other GEAE CT58 series turboshaft engines of the same type design, this AD supersedes AD 69-23-02 and AD 79-23-04 to require calculation of life cycles for life-limited rotating parts based on multipliers for RHL and utility service operation, and replacement of any part that exceeds the revised limits. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

Economic Impact

There are approximately 380 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 130

engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 0.25 work hour per engine to accomplish the proposed calculations, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,950.

Regulatory Impact

This proposed rule does not have federalism implications, as defined in Executive Order (EO) No. 13132, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, the FAA has not consulted with state authorities prior to publication of this proposed rule.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under EO No. 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39–1086 (34 FR 18296, October 15, 1970) and Amendment 39–3610 (44 FR 72103, December 13, 1979), and by adding a new airworthiness directive,

GE Aircraft Engines: Docket No. 99–NE–13–AD.

Applicability: GE Aircraft Engines CT58 series turboshaft engine installed on, but not limited to Boeing V–107 series, Kaman UH–1F series; and Sikorsky CH/HH–3E series, S–61 A/L/N/R series, and S–62 series rotorcraft.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent low-cycle fatigue failure of rotating parts that could result in uncontained engine failure and damage to the helicopter, accomplish the following:

Calculating New Life Limits for Rotating Parts

(a) Within 50 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, calculate the new cycles-since-new (CSN) for life-limited rotating parts in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, 2.A. through 2.G. of GEAE service bulletin (CT58)72–162 CEB–258, revision 9, dated October 6, 1998.

(b) Remove any part from service that exceeds the new calculated life limit and replace it with a serviceable part.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, ECO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on March 28, 2000.

David A. Downey,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 00–8134 Filed 3–31–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Part 134

RIN 1515–AC32

Country of Origin Marking

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document provides an additional 30 days for interested members of the public to submit comments on the proposal to restructure and clarify the country of origin marking rules set forth in Part 134 of the Customs Regulations. The proposal was published in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2000, and the comment period was scheduled to expire on March 27, 2000.

DATES: Comments on the proposal must be received on or before April 26, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted to and inspected at the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. All comments submitted will be available for public inspection in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552), § 1.4, Treasury Department Regulations (31 CFR 1.4), and § 103.11(b), Customs Regulations (19 CFR 103.11(b)) between 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on normal business days at the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions with regard to the following subject areas may be directed to the following staff attorneys of the Special Classification and Marking Branch, (202) 927–2310: Definitions of "country," "country of origin" and "ultimate purchaser"—Kristen VerSteege; Marking of containers—Monika Brenner; and Marking and certification requirements for processed and repackaged articles—Burton Schlissel.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Customs published a document in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 4193) on January 26, 2000, proposing to restructure and clarify the country of origin marking rules set forth in Part 134 of the Customs Regulations.