The agenda will include: April 24: 9 a.m.-12 Noon, Working Group (WG) 2, Flight Operations and ATM Integration; 1 p.m.-5 p.m., Plenary Session: (1) Welcome and Introductory Remarks; (2) Review Agenda; (3) Review/Approve Previous Two Meeting Summaries; (4) Approval of WG-3 Document, Minimum Operational Performance Standards of Air Traffic Services Provided via Data Communication Utilizing the ATN, Builds I and IA. April 25–26: (5) Working Group Meetings; (6) Data Link Ops Concept and Implementation Plan (WG-1); (7) Flight Operations and ATM Integration (WG-2); (8) Human Factors (WG-3), and (9) Service Provider Interface (WG-4). April 27: (10) Working Group Reports; (11) Updates on Work Programs and **Expected Document Completion Dates**; (12) Other Business; (13) Date and Location of Future Meetings; (14) Closing.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC. 20036; (202) 833–9339 (phone); (202) 833–9434 (fax); or http://www.rtca.org (web site). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 28, 2000.

# Janice L. Peters,

Designated Official.

[FR Doc. 00–8234 Filed 4–3–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Intent to Rule on Application (00–02–C–00–PDT) to Impose and Use, the Revenue from a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Eastern Oregon Regional Airport at Pendleton, Submitted by the City of Pendleton, OR

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use, PFC revenue at Eastern Oregon Regional Airport at Pendleton under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part

158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 4, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. J. Wade Bryant, Manager; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA—ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055—4056.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Larry Dalrymple, Airport Manager, at the following address: 2016 Airport Road, Pendleton, Oregon 97801.

Air Carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to East Oregon Regional Airport, under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Suzanne Lee-Pang, (425)227–2654, Seattle Airports District Office, SEA–ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055–4056. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application (00–02–C–00–PDT) to impose and use PFC revenue at Eastern Oregon Regional Airport at Pendleton, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On March 28, 2000, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use, the revenue from a PFC submitted by the City of Pendleton, Pendlton, Oregon was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 29, 2000.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed charge effective date: September 1, 2000.

Proposed charge expiration date: September 15, 2010.

Total requested for use approval: \$333,159.

Brief description of proposed project: Complete Terminal Renovations; Non-Revenue Parking Lot Improvements— Long Term Parking; Purchase Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting Vehicle; General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation; Taxiway D Rehabilitation; Install PAPI Runway 25; Runway 11–29 Rehabilitation; Terminal Apron C Rehabilitation.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFC's: Air taxi/ commercial operators who conduct operations in air commerce carrying persons for compensation or hire.

And person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, ANM–600, 1601 Lind Avenue S.W., Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055–4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Eastern Oregon Regional Airport at Pendleton.

Issued in Renton, Washington on March 28, 2000.

#### David A. Field,

Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 00–8233 Filed 4–3–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–M** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Highway Administration**

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following information collection was published on January 10, 2000 [65 FR 1425].

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 4, 2000.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Klimek, (202) 366–2212, Office of Freight Management and Operations, Federal Highway Administration, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 7:30 a.m. to

4:00 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Certification of Enforcement of Vehicle Size and Weight Laws. OMB Number: 2125-0034.

Type of Request: Renewal of a currently-approved information

collection.

Abstract: Title 23, U.S.C., Section 141, requires each State, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico to file an annual certification that they are enforcing their size and weight laws on Federal-aid highways and that their Interstate System weight limits are consistent with Federal requirements to be eligible to receive an apportionment of Federal highway trust funds. To determine whether States are adequately enforcing their size and weight limits, each must submit an updated plan for enforcing their size and weight limits to the FHWA at the beginning of each fiscal year. At the end of the fiscal year, they must submit their certifications and sufficient information to verify that the enforcement goals established in the plan have been met. Failure of a State to file a certification, adequately enforce its size and weight laws, and enforce weight laws on the Interstate System that are inconsistent with Federal requirements, could result in a specified reduction of its Federal highway fund apportionment for the next fiscal year. In addition, each jurisdiction must inventory (1) its penalties for violation of its size and weight laws, and (2) the term and cost of its oversize and overweight permits.

Respondents: The State Departments of Transportation (or equivalent) in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 4,160 hours. This number has not changed from the last approved OMB clearance.

Frequency: The reports must be submitted annually.

Addresses: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: DOT Desk Officer. Comments are invited on: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of

information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication of this Notice.

Issued on: March 23, 2000.

## Michael J. Vecchietti,

Director, Office of Information and Management Services.

[FR Doc. 00–8220 Filed 4–3–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Railroad Administration**

# Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that the Alaska Railroad Corporation (ARRC) submitted a petition dated November 4, 1999, seeking a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of the Federal Railroad Administration's (FRA) Passenger Equipment Safety Standards (49 CFR part 238). The individual petition is described below, including the nature of the relief being requested and the petitioner's arguments in favor of relief.

# Alaska Railroad Corporation Waiver Petition Docket Number FRA-1999-6517]

ARRC seeks a permanent waiver of compliance with certain provisions of 49 CFR part 238 for its "Whittier Shuttle" service between Portage and Whittier, Alaska. According to ARRC, this shuttle service involves a train typically consisting of one locomotive, ten to eighteen general service flatcars (modified with full bridge plates between cars), one to three gallery coaches, and a baggage car and caboose occupied only by train crew members. The train runs on a route of approximately 13 miles, including two tunnels of 1.0 and 2.5 miles in length, and is limited to 30 mph.

ARRC notes that Whittier is a port community originally constructed by the United States Government during the early years of the Second World War; there has never been an overland road to Whittier, and the shuttle service is vital to the residents of Whittier. This service transports highway vehicles, including buses, to and from Whittier on the flatcars. Passengers can choose between riding in the passenger coaches or remaining inside their highway vehicles on the flatcars while the train is in motion. Passengers who choose to

ride in their highway vehicles are

required to remain in their vehicles at all times. ARRC makes special provisions for emergency egress from buses as detailed in its Operating Circular No. 41 included with the petition. ARRC states that while the train is operated, the conductor occupies the car (caboose or baggage) at the opposite end of the train from the locomotive, and crew members have uninterrupted radio communication with each other. According to ARRC, there have been no injuries to any passengers as a result of the shuttle operation during its 28 years of service. ARRC adds that the state of Alaska is in the process of developing an infrastructure for highway travel to Whittier along the railroad right-of-way and expects highway travel to begin early this year. However, ARRC may need to provide limited shuttle service indefinitely for wide highway vehicle loads unable to pass through a tunnel by highway travel, depending on the final

configuration of the road.

ARRC petitioned FRA for approval to continue use of its shuttle operation under 49 CFR 238.203(d) believing that its flat cars may not be in compliance with §§ 238.203(a)(1) and 238.231(i). Section 238.203(d) contains the procedures for a railroad to petition FRA for approval to grandfather usage of rail passenger equipment that does not comply with the static end strength requirements for rail passenger equipment in § 238.203(a). Specifically, § 238.203(a)(1) generally requires that on or after November 8, 1999, all passenger equipment resist a minimum static end load of 800,000 pounds applied on the line of draft without permanent deformation of the body structure. ARRC's petition explains that on the basis of strength calculations performed at the time the cars were built, the railroad flat cars used to transport highway passenger vehicles are able to support a compressive load of 1,250,000 pounds at failure. Section 238.203(b) provides that equipment placed in service before November 8, 1999, is presumed to comply with the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) unless the railroad operating the equipment has knowledge, or FRA makes a showing, that such passenger equipment was not built to the requirements specified in paragraph (a)(1). Consequently, unless FRA becomes aware the equipment does not meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(1), no grandfathering approval is required in this instance.

ARRC has also petitioned FRA for relief from the requirements of 49 CFR 238.231(i) which provides that passenger cars shall be equipped with a