

immediately, the remote drawbridge operator shall notify the calling vessel and provide an estimated time for opening.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: March 29, 2000.

**Paul J. Pluta,**  
Rear Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard Commander,  
Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 00-8660 Filed 4-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[GA-48-200010(b); FRL-6573-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans, Georgia: Approval of Revisions to the Georgia State Implementation Plan: Transportation Conformity Interagency Memorandum of Agreement

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Georgia State Implementation Plan (SIP) that contains transportation conformity rules. If EPA approves this transportation conformity SIP revision, the State will be able to implement and enforce the Federal transportation conformity requirements at the State level per EPA regulations—Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. of the Federal Transit Laws. EPA's proposed action would streamline the conformity process and allow direct consultation among agencies at the local levels. EPA's proposed approval is limited to Transportation Conformity.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving Georgia SIP revision, under sections 110(k) and 176 of the Clean Air Act, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not

institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before May 8, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Kelly Sheckler at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the state submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61  
Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia  
30303-8960. Attn: Kelly Sheckler,  
(404) 562-9042. Georgia Department  
of Natural Resources, Environmental  
Protection Division, Air Protection  
Division, 4244 International Parkway,  
Suite 136, Atlanta, Georgia 30354.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Sheckler at 404/562-9042, E-mail: Sheckler.Kelly@epa.gov.

Dated: March 23, 2000.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 00-8531 Filed 4-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[PA152-4099b; FRL-6571-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Air Quality Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; Control of Emissions from Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the Allegheny County, Pennsylvania hospital/medical/ infectious waste incinerator (HMIWI) 111(d)/129 plan submitted on June 24, 1999 by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) on behalf of the Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD). The plan establishes emission limitations for existing HMIWIs, and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those limitations. In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the plan. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are

received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by May 8, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Makeba A. Morris, Chief, Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP22, Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James B. Topsale at (215) 814-2190, or by e-mail at topsale.jim@epamail.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule, of the same title, which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 23, 2000.

**Bradley M. Campbell,**

Regional Administrator, EPA Region III.

[FR Doc. 00-8402 Filed 4-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[MS23-200015b; FRL -6574-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans For Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Mississippi

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the Section 111(d) Plan for the State of Mississippi submitted by the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) on May 5, 1999, for implementing and enforcing the Emissions Guidelines applicable to existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators. The Plan was submitted by the Mississippi DEQ to satisfy certain Federal Clean Air Act requirements. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Mississippi State Plan submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency

views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates that it will not receive any significant, material, and adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to that rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by May 8, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Michele Notarianni at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the day of the visit.

Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61  
Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia  
30303-3014. [Staff contact: Michele  
Notarianni at telephone number 404/  
562-9031 or e-mail address:  
notarianni.michele@epa.gov.]

Mississippi Department of  
Environmental Quality, Air Division,  
P.O. Box 10385, Jackson, Mississippi  
39289-0385.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michele Notarianni at 404/562-9031 or  
Scott Davis at 404/562-9127.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 16, 2000.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 00-8529 Filed 4-6-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE: 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 544

[Docket No.: 2000-001; Notice 01]

RIN 2127-AH77

#### Insurer Reporting Requirements; List of Insurers Required To File Reports

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to republish, without change, 2 lists of those passenger motor vehicle insurers that are required to file reports on their motor vehicle theft loss experiences and to amend a third list. An insurer included in any of these lists would be required to file a report for the 1997 calendar year before October 25, 2000. If the passenger motor vehicle insurers remain listed, they must submit reports by each subsequent October 25.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted not later than June 6, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this proposed rule must refer to the docket number referenced in the heading of this notice and submit them to: Docket Section, NHTSA, Room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Docket hours are 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Henrietta L. Spinner, Office of Planning and Consumer Programs, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Dr. Spinner's telephone number is (202) 366-4802. Her fax number is (202) 493-2290.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 33112, Insurer reports and information, NHTSA requires certain passenger motor vehicle insurers to file an annual report with the agency. Each insurer's report includes information about thefts and recoveries of motor vehicles, the rating rules used by the insurer to establish premiums for comprehensive coverage, the actions taken by the insurer to reduce such premiums, and the actions taken by the insurer to reduce or deter theft. Under the agency's regulation, 49 CFR Part 544, the following insurers are subject to the reporting requirements:

(1) Those issuers of motor vehicle insurance policies whose total premiums account for 1 percent or more of the total premiums of motor vehicle

insurance issued within the United States;

(2) Those issuers of motor vehicle insurance policies whose premiums account for 10 percent or more of total premiums written within any one state; and (3) rental and leasing companies with a fleet of 20 or more vehicles not covered by theft insurance policies issued by insurers of motor vehicles, other than any governmental entity.

Pursuant to its statutory exemption authority, the agency exempted certain passenger motor vehicle insurers from the reporting requirements.

#### A. Small Insurers of Passenger Motor Vehicles

Section 33112(f)(2) provides that the agency shall exempt small insurers of passenger motor vehicles if NHTSA finds that such exemptions will not significantly affect the validity or usefulness of the information in the reports, either nationally or on a state-by-state basis. The term "small insurer" is defined, in Section 33112(f)(1)(A) and (B), as an insurer whose premiums for motor vehicle insurance issued directly or through an affiliate, including pooling arrangements established under state law or regulation for the issuance of motor vehicle insurance, account for less than 1 percent of the total premiums for all forms of motor vehicle insurance issued by insurers within the United States. However, that section also stipulates that if an insurance company satisfies this definition of a "small insurer," but accounts for 10 percent or more of the total premiums for all motor vehicle insurance issued in a particular state, the insurer must report about its operations in that state.

In the final rule establishing the insurer reports requirement (52 FR 59; January 2, 1987), 49 CFR part 544, NHTSA exercised its exemption authority by listing in Appendix A each insurer that must report because it had at least 1 percent of the motor vehicle insurance premiums nationally. Listing the insurers subject to reporting, instead of each insurer exempted from reporting because it had less than 1 percent of the premiums nationally, is administratively simpler since the former group is much smaller than the latter. In Appendix B, NHTSA lists those insurers required to report for particular states because each insurer had a 10 percent or a greater market share of motor vehicle premiums in those states. In the January 1987 final rule, the agency stated that it would update Appendices A and B annually. NHTSA updates the appendices based on data voluntarily provided by insurance companies to A.M. Best,