

(4) Multiple Branches. Question 9 of the SAR should be corrected with regard to the instructions for listing multiple branches because there are no such instructions given. In addition, the form should provide for an entry which indicates, when appropriate, that no branch was involved.

The agencies agree with the first of these two comments and are striking the phrase "(see instructions)" in item 9 of the proposed form. The agencies will place the directions for listing multiple branches on the form. With regard to the second comment, the agencies note that if no branch is involved, the filer can leave that part of the form blank.

(5) Multiple Suspects. There should be a way for an institution to enter multiple suspects without preparing a duplicate page 1 which asks for institution-related information as well as suspect-related information.

The institution, in filling out multiple pages for additional suspect information, can simply leave the bank-related information on the multiple pages blank since it was already provided on page 1.

(6) Forms of Identification. In item 28 "Forms of Identification for Suspect" of the proposed form, 28(e) "number" and (f) "issuing authority" should be deleted and the information requested should be incorporated within 28(a)-(d).

The agencies agree with this suggestion and are modifying this item so that the identifying number and issuing authority is listed next to each form of identification listed in new 29(a)-(d).

(7) Types of Suspects. The agencies should add "Monetary Instrument Purchaser" and "Account Applicant" to the list of types of suspects and their relationship to the institution in item 31 of the form currently in use.

The agencies believe that an institution can indicate "Customer" in these situations—even though in some instances the individual may be turned away as an actual customer—or the bank can use the "Other" category.

(8) No Relationship to Institution. There should be a box within current item 31 "Relationship to Financial Institution" for the filer to indicate that the suspect has no relationship with the institution.

The agencies believe that this is unnecessary since the filer can either leave this section blank or can use the "Other" line to indicate the nature of the suspect.

(9) Confession. Item 34 of the form currently in use and item 32 of the proposed form should be moved so that it is not juxtaposed to insider related

information and thus confusing as to whether it applies only to insiders.

The agencies wish to collect information concerning a confession with regard to all suspects. Consequently, to clarify this, the agencies will physically move this item (new item 28) on the form so that it is separate from the insider relationship information.

(10) Range of Dates. The form should provide for the ability of the filer to put down a range of dates over which the suspicious activity occurred rather than just one date.

The proposed, in item 33, "Date or date range of suspicious activity" provides for the filer to be able to submit a range of dates.

(11) Computer Intrusion. The agencies should more clearly define "computer intrusion" and should include specific examples in new item 35 of what would and would not be covered.

The agencies believe that the current definition is appropriate.

(12) Identity Theft. There should be an additional box under current item 37, "Summary characterization of suspicious activity," to include "identity theft" as a specific category.

The agencies agree that identity theft is an important category of criminal activity. However, identity theft is frequently linked with other crimes that are specifically enumerated on the SAR, such as check fraud and credit card fraud. In addition, there are already 18 specific boxes under this category and institutions can use the "Other" box to report identity theft. Therefore, the agencies have decided, at this time, not to revise the SAR to include "identity theft" as a new category and expect that institutions will continue to use the "Other" box, or use other appropriate boxes. The agencies will continue to monitor this area and will reconsider this decision if warranted.

(13) Contacting Law Enforcement. New item 40 should contain a "Yes/No" check-box allowing respondents to indicate whether or not the respondent has contacted a law enforcement agency.

The agencies believe that such a change is unnecessary since answering this item or leaving it blank will indicate whether or not the respondent has contacted a law enforcement agency. Further, the agencies wish to eliminate as many entries on the form as possible.

(14) Witness Information. The agencies should either delete Part IV "Witness Information", or they should delete the requirement for a social security number of the witness. This requirement is unnecessary and

potentially invasive of the individual's privacy.

The agencies agree and have deleted current Part IV altogether. The agencies, however, expect that the "Institution Contact," named in Part VI of the current form, will maintain or have access to all pertinent documentation and witness information for the agencies and law enforcement.

(15) Preparer Information. The agencies should retain current Part V, "Preparer Information" section so that the "Institution Contact" can readily determine who prepared the form and where to locate the necessary underlying information.

The agencies believe that the "Institution Contact" should be able to maintain this information without the assistance of the form. In addition, as noted above, the agencies wish to eliminate as many entries on the form as possible.

(16) Instructions on the Narrative Explanation. The agencies should highlight the instructions in current Part VII, "Suspicious Activity Information Explanation/Description" pertaining to the narrative explanation, by moving the instruction "If necessary, continue the narrative on a duplicate of this page," to the bottom of the page and putting it in bold type.

In order to highlight this instruction, the agencies will put it in bold type, but will leave it at the top of the page.

(17) Instructions on the Narrative Explanation. The agencies should delete many of the instructions in current Part VII because they do not pertain strictly to the requirement for a narrative explanation.

The agencies believe that it is appropriate to retain all the existing instructions from part VII of the current form.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 6, 2000.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00-675 Filed 1-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are

set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than January 26, 2000.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (Philip Jackson, Applications Officer) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690-1413:

1. Judkins Enterprises, L.P., Susan Jane McCabe (individually and as voting partner), Paxton, Illinois; to acquire additional voting shares of FM Bancorp, Inc., Paxton, Illinois, and thereby acquire additional voting shares of Farmers-Merchants National Bank of Paxton, Paxton, Illinois.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 6, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00-672 Filed 1-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Collection; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Entitled: Art In Architecture Program, National Artist Registry

AGENCY: Public Buildings Service, GSA.

ACTION: Notice of request for approval of a new information collection entitled Art In Architecture Program, National Artist Registry.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), GSA has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a new information collection concerning Art In Architecture Program, National Artist Registry.

The Art in Architecture Program is the result of a policy decision made in January 1963 by GSA Administrator, Bernard L. Boudin, who had served on the Ad Hoc Committee on Federal Office Space in 1961-62.

The program has been modified over the years, most recently in 1996 when a renewed focus on commissioning works of art that are an integral part of the building's architecture and adjacent landscape was instituted. The program continues to commission works of art from living American artists. One half of

one percent of the estimated construction cost of new or substantially renovated Federal building and U.S. courthouse is allocated for commissioning works of art.

DATES: Submit comments on or before March 13, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments concerning this notice should be submitted to: Susan Harrison, Public Buildings Service Historic Buildings and Arts, Room 2308, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Harrison, Public Buildings Service, Historic Buildings and Arts, Room 2308, 1800 F Street NW., Washington DC 20405.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

The Art in Architecture Program actively seeks to commission works from the full spectrum of American artists, and strives to promote new media and inventive solutions for public art. The GSA Form 7437, Art In Architecture Program National Artist Registry will be used to collect information from artists across the country to participate and to be considered for commissions.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 360; annual responses: 360; average hours per response: .15; burden hours: 90.

Copy of Proposal:

A copy of this proposal may be obtained from the GSA Acquisition Policy Division (MVP), Room 4011, GSA Building, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405.

Dated: January 6, 2000.

J. Les Davison,

Acting Deputy Associate Administrator, for Acquisition Policy.

[FR Doc. 00-696 Filed 1-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-61-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics; Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Department of Health and Human Services announces the following advisory committee meeting:

Name: National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics (NCVHS), Subcommittee on Populations.

Time and Date: 10 a.m.-5 p.m., January 24, 2000; 9 a.m.-5 p.m., January 25, 2000.

Place: Room 705A, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20201.

Status: Open.

Purpose: The Subcommittee on Populations is holding this meeting to assess the feasibility of recording, evaluating, and analyzing measures of functional status on health records, such as enrollment in health plans, records of medical encounters, and standardized attachments to such records. Panelists will explore issues related to the collection of information on functional status for administrative records and data collection systems, and will discuss data collection and measurement efforts necessary to address the issues effectively. This is the first of several public meetings being planned by the Subcommittee to discuss this topic.

Notice: In the interest of security, the Department has instituted stringent procedures for entrance to the Hubert H. Humphrey building by non-government employees. Thus, persons without a government identification card will need to have the guard call for an escort to the meeting.

Contact Person for More Information: Substantive program information as well as summaries of meetings and a roster of committee members may be obtained from Carolyn Rimes, Lead Staff Person for the NCVHS Subcommittee on Populations, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Health Care Financing Administration, MS-C4-13-01, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850, telephone (410)-786-6620; or Marjorie S. Greenberg, Executive Secretary, NCVHS, NCHS, CDC, Room 1100, Presidential Building, 6525 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782, telephone (301) 458-4245. Information also is available on the NCVHS home page of the HHS website: <http://www.ncvhs.hhs.gov/>, where an agenda for the meeting will be posted when available.

Dated: January 6, 2000.

James Scanlon,

Director, Division of Data Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 00-724 Filed 1-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4151-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Studying Environmental Exposures Among Children With Cancer; Current Technologies, Methodological Challenges, and Community Concerns: Meeting

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Division of Health Studies (DHS), Exposure and Disease Registry Branch (EDRB) announces the following meeting:

Name: Studying Environmental Exposures Among Children with Cancer: Current