the previous administrative review, we used POR market prices reported in the Chemical Weekly and adjusted the prices for sales and excise taxes.

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Tianjin Chemicals I/E Corp	0.82
Guangdong Chemicals I/E Corp	7.51
PRC-Wide Rate	243.40

Interested parties may request a hearing within 30 days of the publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of the publication of this notice or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of publication. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Parties are also encouraged to provide a summary of the arguments not to exceed five pages and a table of statutes, regulations, and cases cited.

The Department will subsequently issue a notice of the final results of this administrative review which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written briefs no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales. This rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries of that particular importer made during the POR. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the reviewed companies named above which have separate rates (Tianjin and Guangdong),

the cash deposit rates will be the rates for those firms established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for companies previously found to be entitled to a separate rate and for which no review was requested, the cash deposit rates will be the rate established in the most recent review of that company; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash deposit rates will be the PRC country-wide rate indicated above; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 3, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–8821 Filed 4–7–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-054 and A-588-604]

Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan: Final Court Decisions and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final court decisions and amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On November 7, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings (TRBs) and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan (A-588–604), and the antidumping finding on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (A-588-054) for the period October 1, 1992 through September 30, 1993. See Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Revocation in Part of an Antidumping Finding, 61 FR 57629 (November 7, 1996) (1992-93 TRBs from Japan). Subsequent to our publication of these final results, parties to the proceedings challenged certain aspects of our final results before the United States Court of International Trade (the CIT) and, in certain instances, before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the Federal Circuit).

The CIT recently affirmed final remand results with respect to the 1992–93 final results. As there are now final and conclusive court decisions with respect to litigation for these parties, we are hereby amending our final results of review and will subsequently instruct Customs to liquidate entries subject to these reviews.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Scott or Robert James, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2657 or (202) 482– 0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Below is a summary of the litigation for the 1992–93 final results for which the CIT and Federal Circuit have issued final and conclusive decisions.

On November 7, 1996, we published in the **Federal Register** our notice of the final results of administrative reviews for the 1992–93 period of review (POR) for 16 manufacturers/resellers/exporters (see 1992–93 TRBs from Japan). Subsequent to the publication of these final results, the petitioner (The Timken Co. (Timken)) and two respondents, NSK Ltd. (NSK), and NTN Corporation (NTN), challenged various aspects of our final results before the CIT. (See CIT

Ct. Nos. 96–12–02686, 96–12–02730, and 96–12–02740, which were consolidated into Consolidated Court No. 96–12–02686.) The CIT has issued decisions with respect to this litigation which are now final and conclusive.

The decisions issued by the CIT and Federal Circuit with respect to the Department's final results were as follows:

• Timken v. U.S., 989 F. Supp. 234 (CIT 1997). The CIT remanded the case and ordered the Department to: (1) treat NTN's home market discounts and NSK's return rebates, post-sales price adjustments (PSPAs), lump-sum PSPAs, and stock transfer commissions as direct expenses; (2) investigate possible dumping of relevant Honda TRB sales during the period April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1997 and, upon a determination that Honda's dumping margin has been zero or de minimis for this period and pursuant to a request for revocation by Honda, revoke the antidumping order with respect to Honda; (3) exclude any zero-priced sample sales from NSK's sales database; (4) recalculate the below-cost sales for NSK using the COP database submitted by NSK's related supplier of inputs; (5) (a) explain the circumstances in which it treats related-party commissions as intra-company transfers when it applies its test for determining whether a circumstance-of-sale adjustment should be made to foreign market value (FMV) for commissions, (b) explain conflicting statements as to whether NTN's commission payments were included in or excluded from indirect selling expenses for exporter's sales price (ESP) transactions, and (c) reconsider its treatment of the commission payments to NTN's related U.S. affiliate; (6) reconsider its treatment of NTN's U.S. and home market selling expenses with respect to level of trade; and (7) allow NTN's downward adjustment to U.S. indirect selling expenses for interest incurred when financing antidumping duty cash deposits.

• Timken v. U.S., 46 F. Supp. 2d 1052 (CIT 1999). The CIT affirmed the Department's remand results and dismissed the litigation for Consolidated Court No. 96–12–02686.

• Timken v. U.S., 1 F. Supp. 2d 1390 (CIT 1998). The CIT granted the Department's and Honda's motions for reconsideration of the Honda issue and set aside the portions of its decision in the 96–12–02686 litigation ordering the Department to investigate possible dumping by Honda during the 1993 through 1997 period. The CIT thereby affirmed the Department's revocation of Honda as described in 1992–93 TRBs from Japan.

• NTN v. U.S., No. 99–1461 (Fed. Cir. November 5, 1999). Pursuant to NTN's voluntary motion to dismiss, the Federal Circuit dismissed NTN's appeal of the CIT's decisions in the 96–12–02686 litigation.

As there are now final and conclusive court decisions with respect to the 96-12-02686 litigation, we are amending our final results of review for NSK and NTN based on our recalculation of NSK's and NTN's rates pursuant to the remand. The amended final results margins for NSK are 11.42 percent in the A-588-054 review and 10.28 percent in the A-588-604 review. The amended final results margin for NTN in the A-588-604 review is 16.55 percent.1 We will issue instructions to Customs to liquidate entries of subject merchandise made by NSK and NTN during this period pursuant to these amended final results.

Since the CIT affirmed the Department's revocation of Honda, we will issue instructions to Customs to liquidate entries of subject merchandise exported by Honda as described in 1992–93 TRBs from Japan at 57652.

In addition, as we have not amended the margins of any of the remaining manufacturers/resellers/exporters subject to the 1992–93 administrative reviews of TRBs from Japan, we will issue instructions to Customs to liquidate entries of subject merchandise based on the rates published in 1992–93 TRBs from Japan.

Amendment to Final Determinations

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516(f), we are now amending the final results of the 1992–93 administrative reviews of the antidumping finding and duty order on TRBs from Japan. The amended weighted-average margins are:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (per- cent)
For the A–588–054 finding: NSK For the A–588–604 duty order:	11.42
NSKNTN	10.28 16.55

Accordingly, the Department will determine and Customs will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by firms covered by the review of the period listed above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

Dated: March 27, 2000.

Robert LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–8823 Filed 4–7–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-580-818]

Certain Cold-Rolled and Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Certain cold-rolled and corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea; final results of expedited sunset reviews.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the countervailing duty orders on certain cold-rolled and corrosionresistant carbon steel flat products from Korea (64 FR 47767) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic interested parties in each of these reviews, as well as inadequate response from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct expedited sunset reviews. Based on our analysis of the substantive comments received, we find that revocation of the countervailing duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. The net countervailable subsidy rates are listed in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 10, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5050 or (202) 482– 1560, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995,

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{The}$ A–588–054 antidumping finding does not cover TRBs manufactured by NTN.