Amendment No.: 182

Facility Operating License No. DPR– 28: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: October 1, 1998 (64 FR 52774). The supplemental information did not affect the staff's proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and was within the scope of the original amendment application as published.

The Commission's related evaluation of this amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 21, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Virginia Electric and Power Company, et al., Docket Nos. 50–338 and 50–339, North Anna Power Station, Units No. 1 and No. 2, Louisa County, Virginia

Date of application for amendments: November 18, 1998, as supplemented by letter dated October 22, 1999.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments change the North Anna Power Station Technical Specifications (TS) to increase the allowable groundwater elevation at the southeast section of the service water reservoir dike from 277 to 280 feet at the toe and from 280 to 295 feet at the crest. In addition, TS Table 3.7-6 has been reorganized to clarify zones of interest in the Service Water Reservoir, the location of piezometer devices, and piezometer device numbers. The proposal to eliminate device numbers from the TS was denied because the device number helps to indicate the location of the piezometer within the zone as well as the piezometer itself. Finally the column heading for Allowable Drain Flow Rate was clarified to be the total flow rate.

Date of issuance: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

Effective date: December 29, 1999. Amendment Nos.: 220 and 201.

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–4 and NPF–7. Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: December 16, 1998 (63 FR 69349). The supplemental letter dated October 22, 1999, contained clarifying information only, and did not change the initial no significant hazards determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 29, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Docket Nos. 50–266 and 50–301, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Town of Two Creeks, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin

Date of application for amendments: April 12, 1999.

Brief description of amendments: These amendments update references in the Technical Specifications to information in the updated Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). The update is necessary to reflect relocation of the referenced information in the updated FSAR.

Date of issuance: December 23, 1999. Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: Unit 1–192; Unit 2–197

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–24 and DPR–27: Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in **Federal Register**: May 5, 1999 (64 FR 24204).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 23, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 5th day of January 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Suzanne Black**,

Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–611 Filed 1–11–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N–4, SEC File No. 270–282, OMB Control No. 3235–0318

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Description of Form N-4, Its Purpose and the Industry Entities Affected

There are two separate statutes which require investment companies to file registration statements with the Commission if they are offering their securities to the public. Each must register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("1940 Act"), and must register the securities it will offer under the Securities Act of 1933 ("1933 Act"). Form N-4 is part is the integrated registration and reporting system by which registrants satisfy the registration requirements of both the 1940 Act and the 1933 Act by filing a single registration statement. Form N-4 is the required form that insurance company separate accounts organized as unit investment trusts ("IC UIT separate accounts") must use if they offer variable annuity contracts.

The Form N–4's purpose is to provide investors with material information concerning securities offered for public sale. The first part includes a simplified prospectus that satisfies the prospectus delivery requirements of the 1933 Act. The second part is a Statement of Additional Information available free of charge to prospective investors upon request. A third part of the registration statement includes all of the other mandatory information that is not specifically required to be in the prospectus or the Statement of Additional Information.

As a regulatory matter, Form N-4 satisfies the disclosure requirement of the 1933 Act. Form N-4 also satisfies the 1940 requirement that investment companies file a registration statement with the Commission pursuant to Section 8(b).

It is estimated that, currently, there are 615 IC UIT separate accounts required to file initial and post effective registration statements on an annual and as required basis using Form N-4. The burden from Form N-4 requires approximately 219.8 hours per post effective amendment and 298 hours for each initial registration. The total burden hours for Form N-4 is estimated at 284,379.20 in the aggregate. The estimates of average burden hours are made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms.

Written comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways of enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: January 4, 2000.

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–676 Filed 1–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–42315; File No. SR–NYSE–99–49]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc., to Extend the Current \$400,000 Monthly Limit on Transaction Charges Through 2000

January 4, 2000.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on December 16, 1999, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the NYSE. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### 1. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The current fee structure provides for a \$400,000 cap on an individual member firm's monthly transaction charges and is in effect through the end of 1999. The proposed revision would continue the monthly transaction charge cap at \$400,000 through the end of 2000.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

### 1. Purpose

The purpose of the change is to respond to the needs of our constituents with respect to overall competitive market conditions and customer satisfaction.

### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange represents that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act,² in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4)³ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among the Exchange's members and issuers and other persons using its facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes that the proposed fee change will not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments regarding the proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change constitutes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the NYSE and, therefore, has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of Act <sup>4</sup> and subparagraph (f)(2) rule 19b–4 thereunder.<sup>5</sup> At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.<sup>6</sup>

#### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NYSE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NYSE-99-49 and should be submitted by February 2, 2000.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>7</sup>

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–677 Filed 1–11–00; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 8010–01–M$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>3 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 250.19b–4(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In reviewing this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>7 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).