

75-foot-high concrete dam comprised of an overflow spillway section surmounted with 4-foot-high flashboards; (2) the 6-mile-long, 1,050-acre Goat Rock reservoir at a normal pool elevation of 404 feet msl; (3) a powerhouse, integral with the dam, containing six generating units with a total installed capacity of 26,000 kW, and (4) other appurtenances.

The Oliver Development consists of the following existing facilities: (1) A 70-foot-high concrete dam comprised of a gated spillway section; (2) the 8.5-mile-long, 2,150-acre Lake Oliver reservoir at a normal pool elevation of 337 feet msl; (3) a powerhouse, integral with the dam, containing four generating units with a total installed capacity of 60,000 kW, and (4) other appurtenances.

The North Highlands Development consists of the following existing facilities: (1) a 40-foot-high concrete masonry dam comprised of an overflow spillway section surmounted with 3.5-foot-high flashboards; (2) the 131-acre North Highlands reservoir at a normal pool elevation of 269 feet msl; (3) a powerhouse, integral with the dam, containing four generating units with a total installed capacity of 29,600 kW, and (4) other appurtenances.

m. Each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by December 31, 2002.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-927 Filed 1-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6523-2]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Part B Permit Application, Permit Modifications, and Special Permits

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Part B Permit Application,

Permit Modifications, and Special Permits, OMB No. 2050-0009, expires on March 31, 2000. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before February 14, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by email at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 1573.06. For technical questions about the ICR contact David Eberly at (703) 308-8645.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Part B Permit Application, Permit Modifications, and Special Permits, OMB Control No. 2050-0009, EPA ICR No. 1573.06, expiring on March 31, 2000. This is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Section 3005 of Subtitle C of RCRA requires treatment, storage or disposal (TSD) facilities to obtain a permit. To obtain the permit, the TSD must submit an application describing the facility's operation. There are two parts to the RCRA permit application—part A and part B. Part A defines the processes to be used for treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes; the design capacity of such processes; and the specific hazardous wastes to be handled at the facility. Part B requires detailed site specific information such as geologic, hydrologic, and engineering data. In the event that permit modifications are proposed by the applicant or EPA, modifications must conform to the requirements under sections 3004 and 3005.

This ICR provides a comprehensive discussion of the requirements for owner/operators of TSDFs submitting applications for a part B permit or permit modification. The information collections contained in this ICR are divided into three sections: demonstrations and exemptions from requirements (40 CFR part 264), contents of the part B application (40 CFR part 270), and permit modifications and special permits (40 CFR part 270).

EPA needs the information for demonstrations and exemptions from requirements to evaluate the accuracy and completeness of petitions for exemptions submitted by owner/operators. These requirements insure that only facilities fully protective of human health and the environment are granted exemptions, and contribute to

EPA's goal of preventing contamination of the environment from hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal practices.

EPA needs the information for contents of the part B Application to evaluate the accuracy and completeness of the claims made in the petitions for exemptions submitted by owner/operators. These requirements contribute to EPA's goal of ensuring that hazardous waste management facilities are closed in a manner fully protective of human health and the environment.

EPA needs the information for Permit Application to comprehensively evaluate the potential risk posed by facilities seeking permits. This information aids EPA in meeting its goal of ascertaining and minimizing risks to human health and the environment from hazardous waste management facilities.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on July 23, 1999 (64 FR 39986); no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 307 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Owners/operators of facilities that treat, store or dispose of regulated wastes.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 579.

Frequency of Response: occasional.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 177,543 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, Operating/Maintenance Cost Burden:
\$48,341,000.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA 1CR No. 1573.06 and OMB Control No. 2050-0009 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460;
and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: January 5, 2000.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 00-961 Filed 1-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6249-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 09, 1999 (64 FR 17362).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-NPS-J61102-00, EIS No. 990340, Winter Use Plan, Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks and John D. Rockefeller Jr. Memorial Parkway, Implementation, Fremont County, ID; Gallatin and Park Counties, MT; and Park and Teton Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the preferred alternative because of adverse impacts from off-highway vehicle emissions likely to result in noncompliance with air quality

standards. EPA recommends that the selected alternative assure compliance with air quality standards. Rating EO2.

ERP No. D-COE-K36129-CA, EIS No. 990367, San Timoteo Creek Reach 3B Flood Control Project, Flood Protection, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, San Bernardino County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed objections to the preferred project alternative based on significant impacts to waters of the US, including wetlands, and deficient analyses of impacts and mitigation measures related to waters and biological resources. EPA also determined that the DEIS's Preferred Alternative is not consistent with the Section 404 (B)(1) guidelines of the CWA. EPA recommended modification of the alternatives in the FEIS. Rating EO2.

ERP No. D-IBR-H39007-00, EIS No. 990375, Republican River Basin Long-Term Water Supply Contract Renewals for Five Irrigation Districts, Frenchman-Cambridge, Frenchman Valley and Bostwick Irrigation District in Nebraska and Bostwick No. 2 and Almena Irrigation Districts in Kansas, NB and KS.

Summary: EPA raised significant issues regarding depletions of water resources, species extirpation, pending water quality standards, and competing water interests. Rating EC2.

ERP No. D-UAF-E11046-FL, EIS No. 990390, Tyndall Air Force Base, Implementation, Proposed Conversion of Two F-15 Fight Squadrons to F-22 Fighter Squadron, FL.

Summary: The conversion of two fighter squadrons of F-15 to F-22 aircraft at Tyndall AFB does not appear to pose significant and/or long-term adverse environmental consequences. Rating LO.

ERP No. D-AFS-G65074-TX, EIS No. 990395, Texas Blowdown Reforestation Project, Implementation, National Forests and Grasslands in Texas, Angeline and Sabine National Forests, San Augustine and Shelby Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the preferred action as described in the Draft EIS. Rating LO.

ERP No. D-HUD-K80040-CA, EIS No. 990403, City of Monterey Park Project, Construction and Operation of the Monterey Park Towne Plaza, North of the Pomona Freeway and west Paramount Boulevard, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed support for the proposed project to the extent that it is consistent with and does not in any way hinder EPA's ongoing and long term Superfund response actions at the

Operating Industries Inc. (Oil) Superfund Site. Rating LO.

ERP No. D-DOE-K22004-CA, EIS No. 990410, National Ignition Facility Project Specific Analysis, Construction and Operation at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA

Summary: EPA expressed concern regarding clean-up levels for PCBs and the relationships among various clean-up plans and requirements under CERCLA. EPA requested clarification of these issues and that additional information on the economic impacts be included in the final EIS. Rating EC2.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40240-CA, EIS No. 990411, CA-70 Upgrade in Sutter and Yuba Counties, To a Four-Lane Expressway/Freeway, From South of Striplin Road to South of McGowan Road Overcrossing, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Sutter and Yuba Counties, CA

Summary: EPA objections to Alternative 1 since it does not appear to be the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative pursuant to Clean Water Act section 404(b)(1) guidelines. EPA also expressed concerns regarding Alternatives 2 and 3 due to impacts to water and air quality, wetlands mitigation, and project air conformity. Rating EO2.

ERP No. D-TVA-E70001-TN, EIS No. 990420, Tim Ford Reservoir Land Management and Disposition Plan, Implementation, Tim Ford Reservoir, Franklin and Moore Counties, TN.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns with the proposal based on its features that allow for more development of undeveloped lands than currently exists. Rating EC1.

ERP No. D-FTA-K54023-CA, EIS No. 990433, Vasona Corridor Light Rail Transit Project, Extension of Existing Light Rail Transit (LRT) in portion of the Cities of San Jose, Campbell and Los Gatos, Santa Clara County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections to the project proposal but did suggest that the cumulative impacts section be expanded; that the project proponent work with EPA in developing the final wetlands mitigation plan; and that the project use recycled products in keeping with RCRA requirements. Rating LO.

ERP No. DS-UAF-A11074-00, EIS No. 990422, Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program, Updated Information, To Allow the Addition of Up to Five Strap-On Solid Rocket Motors (SRM) to the Atlas V and Delta IV Lift Vehicle, Launch Locations are Cape Canaveral Air Station, Brevard County, FL and Vandenberg Air Force Base (AFB), Santa Barbara County, CA