edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of April 2000.

Carl J. Poleskey,

Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 00–10344 Filed 4–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB for Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). **ACTION:** Request for comment.

SUMMARY: The NCUA is submitting the following new information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This information collection is published to obtain comments from the public.

DATES: Comments will be accepted until June 27, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to NCUA Clearance Officer or OMB Reviewer listed below:

Clearance Officer: Mr. James L. Baylen (703) 518–6411, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314– 3428, Fax No. 703–518–6433, E-mail: ibaylen@ncua.gov.

OMB Reviewer: Alexander T. Hunt (202) 395–7860, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10226, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20502

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the information collection requests, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the: NCUA Clearance Officer, James L. Baylen, (703) 518–6411. It is also available on the following website: www.NCUA.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposal for the following collection of information:

OMB Number: New.
Form Number: N/A.
Type of Review: New.
Title: Office of Community
Development Credit Unions Annual
Survey Report.

Respondents: Certain low-income designated credit unions.

Estimated No. of Respondents/ Recordkeepers: 50.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 3.25 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Estimated Total Annual Burden
Hours: 162.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: N/A.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on April 19, 2000.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00–10617 Filed 4–27–00; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: Reports Concerning Possible Non-Routine Emergency Generic Problems.
- 3. The form number if applicable:
- 4. How often the collection is required: On occasion.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Nuclear power plant, non-power reactor, and materials applicants and licensees.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 203.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 203 (103 reactor licensees: 100 materials licensees).
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 43,260 (420 hours per reactor licensee respondent); 10,000 (100 hours per materials licensee respondent).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: N/A.

10. Abstract: NRC is requesting approval authority to collect information concerning non-routine, emergency generic problems which would require prompt action from NRC to preclude potential threats to public health and safety.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by May 30, 2000. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date. Erik Godwin, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0012), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this $21st\ day$ of April 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–10662 Filed 4–27–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 60—"Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories."
- 3. The form number, if applicable: N/A.
- 4. How often the collection is required: The information need only be submitted one time.
- 5. Who is required or asked to report: State or Indian Tribes, or their representatives, requesting consultation with the NRC staff regarding review of a potential high-level waste geologic repository site, or wishing to participate in a license application review for a potential geologic repository.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: Six.
- 7. The number of annual respondents: Two.
- 8. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: An average of 40 hours per response for consultation requests, 80 hours per response for license application review participation proposals, and 1 hour per response for statements of representative authority. The total burden for all responses is estimated to be 242 hours.
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.

10. Abstract: Part 60 requires States and Indian Tribes to submit certain information to the NRC if they request consultation with the NRC staff concerning the review of a potential repository site, or wish to participate in a license application review for a potential repository. Representatives of States or Indian Tribes must submit a statement of their authority to act in such a representative capacity. The information submitted by the States and Indian Tribes is used by the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards as a basis for decisions about the commitment of NRC staff resources to the consultation and participation efforts. On February 22, 1999, the Commission proposed to modify its generic criteria for disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive wastes in geologic repositories at 10 CFR part 60 to make clear that they will not apply, nor be the subject of litigation, in any NRC licensing proceeding for a repository at Yucca Mountain (64 FR 8639). Information collection requirements applicable to the licensing of a geologic repository at Yucca Mountain were

proposed at that time, in 10 CFR part 63, and will be issued later this year.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by May 30, 2000: Erik Godwin, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0143), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of April, 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton, NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–10663 Filed 4–27–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306]

Northern States Power Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses DPR-42 and DPR-60, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating Licenses DPR–42 and DPR–60 issued to Northern States Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Goodhue County, Minnesota.

The proposed amendments would relocate the shutdown margin requirements from the Technical Specifications to the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the

amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed amendment[s] will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes do not affect any systems that is a contributor to initiating events for previously evaluated design basis accidents. The proposed changes do not involve any system changes or modifications. No systems or equipment will be operated in a new manner as a result of the proposed changes. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

Relocation of the shutdown margin requirements to the COLR is an administrative change. The shutdown margin requirements being incorporated into the COLR will be developed using NRC approved methodology. That methodology will establish the minimum required shutdown margin for a dilution accident during Modes 3, 4, 5, and 6 and will ensure that a complete loss of shutdown margin will not occur for at least twenty-four minutes from initiation of the dilution as specified in the Prairie Island USAR [Updated Safety Analysis Report]. Therefore, the relocation of the shutdown margin requirements to the COLR will not result in any increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes to Table TS.1–1 invoke an additional third criteria for shutdown margin during MODE 6. This proposed change involves an additional restriction designed to ensure that shutdown margin is maintained during MODE 6 operation, and as such will not result in any increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Therefore, based on the conclusions of the above analysis, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability of consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed amendment[s] will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously analyzed.

The proposed changes do not alter the design or function of any plant component and do not install any new or different equipment. The proposed changes do not alter the operation of any plant component in a manner which could lead to a new or