

individual victims should the enhancement be based?

The Commission also invites comment on whether it should provide an additional increase, cumulative to the 2-level increase already proposed in Option 1, for cases involving specified numbers of individual victims or unauthorized identification means. For example, such an enhancement could provide an additional [4-level] enhancement if the offense involved more than [10–25] unauthorized identification means and/or more than [5–25] individual victims. Alternatively, should the Commission provide an upward departure for cases involving a large number of unauthorized identification means and/or a large number of individual victims?

2. The proposed amendment in Option 1 limits the enhancement for identity theft to the fraud guideline. Given the breadth of offense conduct covered by 18 U.S.C. § 1028, should the Commission also provide a similar sentencing increase (including, if appropriate, an enhancement that ties offense level increases to specified numbers of identification means) for identity theft conduct in [any or] all other economic crime guidelines (e.g., § 2B1.1 (Theft), § 2S1.1 (Laundering of Monetary Instruments), § 2T1.4 (Tax Fraud))?

3. Given the breadth of offense conduct covered by 18 U.S.C. § 1028, as an alternative to amending Chapter Two, should the Commission amend Chapter Three of the Guidelines Manual, relating to general adjustments, to provide a new adjustment that would apply in every case that involves the unauthorized use of an identification means? If so, how should that adjustment be structured (e.g., should there be a table or tiered adjustment based on the number of unauthorized identification means involved in the offense)? Should the adjustment also include the unauthorized use of any identification document or the use of any false identification document?

4. As an alternative to a Chapter Three adjustment, should the Commission amend Chapter Five, Part K, of the Guidelines Manual, relating to departures, to encourage a departure above the authorized guideline sentence in any case involving the unauthorized use of an identification means if the guideline range does not adequately reflect the seriousness of the offense conduct?

5. The Treasury Department has recommended that the Commission amend its current minimum loss amount rule for stolen credit card offenses in § 2B1.1 (a minimum loss

amount of \$100 per credit card) to include all access devices, and that the minimum loss amount be increased to \$1000 per access device. Given that the Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act of 1998 included access devices in the definition of “means of identification,” the Commission invites comment on whether it should consider amending that rule to include all access devices (such as debit cards, bank account numbers, electronic serial numbers, and mobile identification numbers) and to place that amended rule in § 2F1.1. Such a rule would have the effect of subjecting an offense that involves an unauthorized identification means that is a credit card number to the same minimum loss amount as an offense that involves the stolen credit card itself. If the Commission should consider such an amendment, should the Commission additionally amend the rule to increase the minimum loss amount per access device, for example [\$500][\$750][\$1000] per access device? (Such an amendment may need to be coordinated with efforts to revise the theft guideline in connection with offenses involving access devices and cellular phone cloning.)

6. Commission data indicate that a high portion of offenders involved in identity theft conduct have previously been convicted of similar offense conduct at either the state or federal level. Although Chapter Four addresses criminal history, the Commission has provided enhancements in certain Chapter Two guidelines for prior similar conduct (e.g., §§ 2L2.1(b)(4) and 2L2.2(b)(2), which provide two- and four-level increases if “the defendant committed any part of the instant offense after sustaining one or more convictions for felony immigration and naturalization offenses”). Should the Commission provide an enhancement in the relevant Chapter Two guideline (§ 2F1.1, if the Commission adopts a limited approach to identity theft) or guidelines (the economic crime guidelines, if the Commission adopts a more expansive approach to identity theft) if the defendant had previously been convicted of conduct similar to identity theft? If so, what is the appropriate number of levels for the enhancement? Should such an enhancement require a minimum offense level?

[FR Doc. 00–1075 Filed 1–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–40–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 3205]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: “Music in the Age of Confucius”

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459), the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority of October 19, 1999, I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibition “Music in the Age of Confucius,” imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. These objects are imported pursuant to loan agreements with foreign lenders. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at the Smithsonian’s, Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery, from on or about April 30 to September 17, 2000, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these Determinations is ordered to be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of exhibit objects, contact Carol Epstein, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202–619–6981). The address is U.S. Department of State, SA–44; 301–4th Street, S.W., Room 700, Washington, D.C. 20547–0001.

Dated: January 9, 2000.

William B. Bader,

Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 00–1077 Filed 1–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–08–U

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 3205]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: “The Topkapi Palace: Jewels and Treasures of the Sultans”

DEPARTMENT: United States Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459), the Foreign Affairs Reform and

Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999, and Delegation of Authority of October 19, 1999, I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibition "The Topkapi Palace: Jewels and Treasures of the Sultans," imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. These objects are imported pursuant to loan agreements with foreign lenders. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at the Corcoran Gallery of Art, the San Diego Museum of Art and the Fort Lauderdale Museum of Art from on or about March 1, 2000, to on or about October 15, 2001, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these Determinations is ordered to be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of exhibit objects, contact Carol Epstein, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202-619-6981). The address is U.S. Department of State, SA-44; 301-4th Street, S.W., Room 700, Washington, D.C. 20547-0001.

Dated: January 9, 2000.

William B. Bader,

Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 00-1076 Filed 1-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-08-U

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice No. 3187]

Shipping Coordinating Committee; Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea and Associated Bodies Working Group on Stability and Load Lines and on Fishing Vessels Safety; Notice of Meeting

The Working Group on Stability and Load Lines and on Fishing Vessels Safety of the Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea will conduct an open meeting at 9 a.m. on Monday, January 31, 2000, in Room 6103, at U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street, SW, Washington, DC 20593-0001. This meeting will discuss the upcoming 43RD Session of the Subcommittee on Stability and Load Lines and on Fishing Vessels Safety (SLF) and associated bodies of the International Maritime Organization (IMO) which will be held on September 11-15, 2000, at the IMO Headquarters in London, England.

Items of discussion will include the following:

- a. Review of results from last SLF meeting (SLF 42),
 - b. Harmonization of damage stability provisions in the IMO instruments,
 - c. Revision of technical regulations of the 1966 International Load Line Convention,
 - d. Revision of the High Speed Craft Code,
 - e. Development of the damage consequence diagrams for inclusion in damage control plan guidelines, and
 - f. Revisions to the Fishing Vessel Safety Code and Voluntary Guidelines
- Members of the public may attend this meeting up to the seating capacity of the room. Interested persons may seek information by writing: Mr. Paul Cojean, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Commandant (G-MSE-2), Room 1308, 2100 Second Street, SW, Washington, DC 20593-0001 or by calling (202) 267-2988.

Dated: January 5, 2000.

Stephen M. Miller,

Executive Secretary, Shipping Coordinating Committee.

[FR Doc. 00-984 Filed 1-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Hector International Airport, Fargo, North Dakota

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Hector International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received or before February 17, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Bismarck Airports District Office, 2000 University Drive, Bismarck, North Dakota 58504.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Shawn Dobberstein, Executive Director, of the

Municipal Airport Authority, Fargo, North Dakota at the following address: Municipal Airport Authority, P.O. Box 2845, Fargo, North Dakota 58108.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Municipal Airport Authority, Fargo, North Dakota under § 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Irene R. Porter, Manager, Bismarck Airports District Office, 2000 University Drive, Bismarck, North Dakota 58504, (701) 250-4385. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invite public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Hector International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On December 29, 1999, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Municipal Airport Authority, Fargo, North Dakota was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than March 29, 2000.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC application number: 00-04-U-00-FAR.

Level of the PFC: \$3.00.

Actual charge effective date: January 1, 1997.

Estimated charge expiration date: February 1, 2000.

Total approved net PFC revenue: \$1,720,410.00.

Brief description of proposed project: Install a box culvert in Cass County Drain 10.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/Commercial Operator (ATCO) Class Carriers filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under "**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**"

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Municipal Airport Authority, Fargo, North Dakota—Executive Directors offices at the Hector International Airport.