

nonattainment area is not making reasonable further progress towards attainment, and that the additional emissions from the proposed polypropylene unit will adversely affect the ozone situation. Ms. Orr also submitted a letter supplementing the petition on behalf of LEAN on January 5, 1999, and another letter on March 1, 1999, requesting that the Exxon permit be reopened. The Region 6 Regional Administrator also addressed the second issue in a separate letter to the Petitioners.

On April 12, 2000, the Administrator issued an order denying the petition. The order explains the reasons for denying the Petitioners' claims.

Dated: April 28, 2000.

Carl E. Edlund,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 00-11567 Filed 5-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6602-7]

Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), Union Pacific Railroad Wallace-Mullan Branch

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement with the Union Pacific Railroad Company for recovery of certain response costs concerning the Union Pacific Railroad Wallace-Mullan Branch in northern Idaho. The settlement requires Union Pacific to pay a total of \$650,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The settlement includes a limited covenant not to sue pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9607(a) and provides for contribution protection pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9622(h). This administrative settlement will be superseded upon entry of a consent decree lodged on December 23, 1999, by the United States, State of Idaho, Coeur d'Alene, and Union Pacific, Case No. 99-606-N-EJL (D. Idaho), or will otherwise terminate three months from the effective date of the administrative settlement, unless otherwise agreed by the parties to this settlement. EPA will

consider public comments on the proposed administrative settlement for thirty days. EPA may withdraw from or modify this proposed settlement should such comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate this proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

DATES: Written comments must be provided on or before June 8, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Clifford J. Villa, Assistant Regional Counsel, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., ORC-158, Seattle, Washington 98101 and refer to In the Matter of Union Pacific Railroad Wallace-Mullan Branch Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement.

Copies of the proposed settlement are available from: Clifford J. Villa, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Regional Counsel, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101, (206) 553-1185.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clifford J. Villa at (206) 553-1185.

Authority: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i).

Sheila M. Eckman,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 00-11570 Filed 5-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6604-1]

Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the State of South Dakota

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The State of South Dakota has revised its Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) Primacy Program. South Dakota's PWSS program, administered by the Drinking Water Program of the South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), has adopted regulations for lead and copper in drinking water that correspond to the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWR) in 40 CFR part 141 Subpart I (56 FR 26460-26564). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a proposed primacy revision on August 16, 1999 at 64 FR 44521 and provided for public comment. The EPA also held a public hearing on December 2, 1999, in Badlands National Park,

South Dakota (64 FR 61109). No comments were received regarding PWSS program issues. The EPA has completed its review of South Dakota's primacy revisions and has determined that they are no less stringent than the NPDWR. EPA therefore approves South Dakota's primacy revisions for the Lead and Copper Rule.

Today's approval action does not extend to public water systems in Indian Country as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151. Please see

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, Item B.

DATES: This primacy revision approval will be effective June 8, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Himmelbauer, Municipal Systems Unit, EPA Region 8 (8P-W-MS), 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, telephone 303-312-6263.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Why Are Revisions to State Programs Necessary?

States which have received primacy from EPA under the SDWA must maintain a safe drinking water program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their program and ask EPA to approve the revisions to their programs. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur.

B. How Does Today's Action Affect Indian Country (18 U.S.C. Section 1151) in South Dakota?

South Dakota is not authorized to carry out its Public Water System Supervision program in Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151. This includes, but is not limited to: Lands within the exterior boundaries of the following Indian Reservations located within the State of South Dakota:

- a. Cheyenne River Indian Reservation.
- b. Crow Creek Indian Reservation.
- c. Flandreau Indian Reservation.
- d. Lower Brule Indian Reservation.
- e. Pine Ridge Indian Reservation.
- f. Rosebud Indian Reservation.
- g. Standing Rock Indian Reservation.
- h. Yankton Indian Reservation.

EPA held a public hearing on December 2, 1999, in Badlands National Park, South Dakota, and accepted public comments on the question of the location and extent of Indian country within the State of South Dakota. In a forthcoming **Federal Register** notice, EPA will respond to comments and more specifically identify Indian country areas in the State of South Dakota.

C. Reviewing Documents and Public Comments

All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection at the following locations: (1) U.S. EPA Region 8, Municipal Systems Unit, 999 18th Street (4th floor), Denver, Colorado 80202-2466; (2) South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Drinking Water Program, 523 East Capital Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota 57501.

Dated: May 1, 2000.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 00-11565 Filed 5-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

May 1, 2000.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before July 10, 2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0697.

Title: Revision of Part 22 and Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of Paging Systems (Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration and Third Report and Order).

Form Numbers: FCC Forms 601, 602 and 603.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit; individuals or households; not-for-profit institutions; and state, local or tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 600.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 600 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: This collection is necessary to: lessen the administrative burden of licensees; determine the partitioned service areas and geographic area licensee's remaining service area of parties to an agreement; determine whether geographic area licensee and parties to agreements have met the applicable coverage requirements for their service areas; to determine whether the applicant is eligible to receive bidding credit as a small business; determine the real parties interest of any joint bidding agreements; and determine the appropriate unjust enrichment compensation to be remitted to the government.

OMB Control Number: 3060-0890.

Title: Settlement Agreements Among Parties in Contested Licensing Cases.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 45.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Total Annual Burden: 115 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$7,650.

Needs and Uses: This collection requires that parties to certain

settlement agreements obtain Commission approval before the settlement agreements take place. Each request for approval of a settlement must contain specific additional information and must also include a list of all applications and pleadings that were filed in the contested case or copies of them. Also, requests must include a summary of the contested case to include a full explanation of the issues raised in the case. Finally, the case involves an alleged violation of the rules, it must include either a waiver of a statement as to why a violation didn't or wouldn't occur.

OMB Control Number: 3060-0765.

Title: Revision of Part 22 and Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of Paging Systems (Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking).

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit; individuals or households; not-for-profit institutions; federal government; and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 50,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 56,250 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$25,101,875.

Needs and Uses: This proceeding will further establish a regulatory scheme for the common carrier paging (CCP) and private carrier paging (PCP) services which will promote efficient licensing and competition in the commercial mobile radio marketplace. The information will be used by Commission personnel to determine if the licensee is a qualifying entity to obtain a partitioned license or disaggregated spectrum. Without such information, the Commission could not determine whether the licensee is operating in compliance with the Commission's rules.

OMB Control Number: 3060-0270.

Title: Section 90.443, Content of Station Records.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for profit, individual or households, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local and tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 57,410.

Estimated Time Per Response: .083 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping requirement.