

§ 403.145 What will SSA do if I have not satisfied the conditions in this part or in 20 CFR part 401 or 402?

(a) We will provide the following information, as appropriate, to you or the court or other tribunal conducting the legal proceeding if your request states that a response is due on a particular date and the conditions prescribed in this part, or the conditions for disclosure in 20 CFR part 401 or 402, are not satisfied or we anticipate that they will not be satisfied by that date:

(1) A statement that compliance with the request is not authorized under 20 CFR part 401 or 402, or is prohibited without the Commissioner's approval;

(2) The requirements for obtaining the approval of the Commissioner for testimony or for obtaining information, records, or testimony under 20 CFR part 401 or 402; and

(3) If the request complies with § 403.120, the estimated time necessary for a decision. We will make every reasonable effort to provide this information in writing on or before the date specified in your request.

(b) Generally, if a response to a request for information, records, or testimony is due before the conditions of this part or the conditions for disclosure in 20 CFR part 401 or 402 are met, no SSA employee will appear.

(c) SSA will seek the advice and assistance of the Department of Justice when appropriate.

§ 403.150 Must I pay a fee if my request is granted?

(a) *General.* Unless the Commissioner grants a waiver, you must pay fees for our services in providing information, records, or testimony. You must pay the fees as prescribed by the Commissioner. In addition, the Commissioner may require that you pay the fees in advance as a condition of providing the information, records, or testimony. Make fees payable to the Social Security Administration by check or money order.

(b) *Records or information.* Unless the Commissioner grants a waiver, you must pay the fees for production of records or information prescribed in 20 CFR 401.95 and 20 CFR 402.155 through 402.185, as appropriate.

(c) *Testimony.* Unless the Commissioner grants a waiver, you must pay fees calculated to reimburse the United States government for the full cost of providing the testimony. Those costs include, but are not limited to—

(1) The salary or wages of the witness and related costs for the time necessary to prepare for and provide the testimony and any travel time, and

(2) Other travel costs.

(d) *Waiver or reduction of fees.* The Commissioner may waive or reduce fees for providing information, records, or testimony under this part. The rules in 20 CFR 402.185 apply in determining whether to waive fees for the production of records. In deciding whether to waive or reduce fees for testimony or for production of information that does not constitute a record, the Commissioner may consider other factors, including but not limited to—

(1) The ability of the party responsible for the application to pay the full amount of the chargeable fees;

(2) The public interest, as described in 20 CFR 402.185, affected by complying with the application;

(3) The need for the testimony or information in order to prevent a miscarriage of justice;

(4) The extent to which providing the testimony or information serves SSA's interest; and

(5) The burden on SSA's resources required to provide the information or testimony.

§ 403.155 Does SSA certify records?

We can certify the authenticity of copies of records we disclose pursuant to 20 CFR parts 401 and 402, and this part. We will provide this service only in response to your written request. If we certify, we will do so at the time of the disclosure and will not certify copies of records that have left our custody. A request for certified copies of records previously released is considered a new request for records. Fees for this certification are set forth in 20 CFR 402.165(e).

[FR Doc. 00-11592 Filed 5-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

[CGD08-00-005]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Chef Menteur Pass, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing a change to the regulation governing the operation of the U.S. Highway 90 bridge across Chef Menteur Pass, mile 2.8 at Lake Catherine, Orleans Parish, Louisiana. The proposal would change the current regulation which provides for a two-hour morning closure period

between 5:30 a.m. and 7:30 a.m. Mondays through Fridays except Federal holidays and require the draw to open on the hour and half-hour between 5:30 a.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. This change would accommodate the navigation needs of commercial fishing vessels.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before July 31, 2000.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to Commander (ob), Eighth Coast Guard District, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-3396, or deliver them to room 1313 at the same address between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the Bridge Administration Branch, Eighth Coast Guard District between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phil Johnson, Bridge Administration Branch, 504-589-2965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD08-00-005), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8 1/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to the Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold

one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Background and Purpose

To meet the needs of commuters who cross the U.S. Highway 90 bridge each day en route to work in the Almonaster Industrial District, the Coast Guard issued a final rule effective February 23, 1999 (64 FR 8720) allowing the bridge to remain closed to navigation from 5:30 a.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday Through Friday, except Federal holidays. The draw opens at any time for a vessel in distress.

Since the rule has been in effect, the Coast Guard received numerous complaints from operators of commercial fishing vessels stating that the regulation does not meet the needs of navigation for local commercial fishermen because they are required to haul in their shrimp nets earlier than necessary to be able pass through the bridge before the closure time. Local commercial fishermen generally trawl for shrimp during evening hours. This is because brown shrimp feed at night above the bottom. Once daylight occurs they bury themselves in the mud and can no longer be caught with trawl nets. Since the fishermen need to maximize trawling time, they work from sundown until sunrise then enter port and unload their catches. In order for them to transit the U.S. Highway 90 bridge before the 5:30 closure, they must haul in their nets as much as two hours early and head into port. This cuts down trawling time and causes loss of revenue. Based on complaints from local commercial fishermen, the Coast Guard determined that the current operating schedule may not meet the reasonable needs of navigation.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

This proposed rule would revise 33 CFR 117.436. In order to accommodate motorists who live in the Lake Catherine area and commute to work via the U. S. Highway 90 bridge across Chef Menteur Pass, mile 2.8, while providing for the needs of commercial fishermen, the Coast Guard is proposing to change the regulation to permit the draw to open to navigation only on the hour and on the half-hour from 5:30 a.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The proposed regulation would allow for the free flow of vehicular traffic for the majority of the year, while still serving the reasonable needs of navigational interests.

A comment period, extending through July 31, 2000 will be allowed for mariners, motorists and other interested parties to provide comments and data on the proposed change. During the

comment period, to test this proposed rule, the Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the drawbridge operating regulations. The temporary deviation is published elsewhere in this **Federal Register**. The temporary deviation is in effect from June 1, 2000 through June 30, 2000 and will require that the draw open for the passage of vessels on the hour and on the half-hour from 5:30 a.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The bridge will open on signal at all other times or at any time for a vessel in distress. We request comments on how the test schedule works during this period.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT)(44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

This proposed rule will have a positive impact on the economic status of the local commercial fishermen as it provides them with adequate time to trawl. It will not create a significant adverse effect for the local motorists who cross the bridge on weekdays en route to work. The motorists will be able to adjust their commuting schedules to accommodate the hour and half-hour drawbridge openings.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The small entities concerned with this proposed rule are the local commercial fishermen who transit the bridge. This proposed rule will

positively affect the local commercial fishermen by affording them adequate time to trawl. They will not be required to haul in their nets early in order to transit through the bridge en route to port.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the Bridge Administration Branch, Eighth Coast Guard District at the address above.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

We have analyzed this proposed rule under E.O. 13132 and have determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This proposed rule would not impose an unfunded mandate.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of

E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Environment

We considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.IC, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. This proposal will change an existing special drawbridge operating regulation promulgated by a Coast Guard Bridge Administration Program action. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend part 117 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Section 117.436 is revised to read as follows:

§ 117.436 Chef Menteur Pass.

The draw of the U.S. Highway 90 bridge, mile 2.8, at Lake Catherine, shall open on signal; except that, from 5:30 a.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday through Friday except Federal holidays, the draw need open only on the hour and on the half-hour for the passage of vessels. The draw shall open at any time for a vessel in distress.

Dated: May 1, 2000.

K.J. Eldridge,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, 8th Coast Guard Dist., Acting.

[FR Doc. 00-11703 Filed 5-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[OR-77-7292-b; FRL-6583-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Oregon RACT Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Oregon for the purpose of revising the RACT Rule. The SIP revision was submitted by the State to satisfy certain Federal Clean Air Act requirements for a SIP. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received in writing by June 9, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Christine Lemme, Environmental Protection Specialist (OAQ-107), Office of Air Quality, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the state submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day with Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101 and/or The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, 811 SW Sixth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204-1390. Telephone: (503) 229-5696.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mahbulul Islam, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-6985.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 1, 2000.

Chuck Findley,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 00-11672 Filed 5-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[IN 119-1b; FRL-6601-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a request submitted by the State of Indiana to redesignate Marion County, Indiana as attainment for lead (Pb). Indiana submitted this request on March 2, 2000. EPA is also proposing to approve the lead maintenance plan for Marion County. This plan is designed to ensure maintenance of the lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for at least 10 years.

DATES: EPA must receive written comments on this proposed rule by June 9, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Program Branch (AR-18J), Region 5, at the address listed below.

Copies of the materials submitted by Indiana may be examined during normal business hours at the following location: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phuong Nguyen, Environmental Scientist, at (312) 886-6701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" are used we mean EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. What action is EPA taking today?
- II. Where can I find more information about this proposal and the corresponding direct final rule?