conditions by which the state education agencies provide their school finance data to the Census Bureau.

Form F–33–L1. This is a supplemental letter sent to the school systems in states where the state education agencies cannot provide information on the assets of individual school systems.

Form F–33–L2. This is a supplemental letter sent to the school systems in states where the state education agency cannot provide information on the indebtedness of individual school systems.

Form F–33–L3. This is a supplemental letter sent to the school systems in states where the state education agency cannot provide information on either indebtedness or assets. This letter combines the items requested on the forms F–33–L1 and F–33–L2.

The data to be collected is identical to the previous collections except as follows: The request for indebtedness information (Forms F–33–L2 and F–33–L3) is added because some state education agencies have been deleting this information from their data bases. Others have not been editing this information or following up when the school systems fail to report this information to the state.

New special processing items have been added for state payments made on behalf of the school systems in the areas of textbooks, and transportation. These items will make it possible for expenditure data to be more accurately reported at the functional level.

II. Method of Collection

Through central collection arrangements with the state education agencies, the Census Bureau collects almost all of the finance data for local school systems from state education agency data bases. The states transfer most of this information in electronic format on microcomputer disks and over the Internet. The Census Bureau has facilitated central collection of school finance data by accepting data in whatever formats the states elect to transmit.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607–0700. Form Number: F–33, F–33–1, F–33– L1, F–33–L2, F–33–L3.

Type of Review: Regular.
Affected Public: State and local
governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3500.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.1 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,737.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: 8,013. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C., sections 161 and 181.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 4, 2000.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–11636 Filed 5–9–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 16-2000]

Foreign-Trade Zone 3—San Francisco, California Area; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the San Francisco Port Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 3, requesting authority to expand its zone to include the San Francisco International Airport fuel system and related facilities, within the San Francisco Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on April 28, 2000.

FTZ 3 was approved on March 10, 1948 (Board Order 16, 13 F.R. 1459, 3/19/48). The zone project currently consists of 225,000 square feet at Piers 19 and 23 on the Embarcadero in San Francisco.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-purpose

zone to include the jet fuel storage and distribution system (261 acres) at the San Francisco International Airport, which consists of the airport hydrant and storage facilities, two adjacent off-Airport terminals, a pipeline and two offsite terminals, as follows: Proposed Site 2: the jet fuel storage and delivery facilities at the San Francisco International Airport; the Chevron jet fuel tank farm (8.5 acres), the PS Trading tank farm (1 acre) and related pipelines between the tanks farms; jet fuel transmission pipelines and the terminal and cargo area hydrant pipelines; the petroleum and jet fuel storage facilities (26 acres) at the Brisbane Terminal (owned by SFPP, L.P.), 950 Tunnel Avenue, Brisbane; and, the petroleum and jet fuel storage facilities (7 acres) at the Equilon Terminal (owned by Equilon Enterprises LLC), 135 North Access Road, South San Francisco, including the 4.7 mile segment of the SFPP jet fuel pipeline from the two terminals to the airport; Proposed Site 3: (55 acres) at the petroleum facilities of Selby Terminal (owned by Shore Terminals LLC), 90 San Pablo Avenue, Crockett; and, Proposed Site 4 (164 acres) at the petroleum facilities of Martinez Terminal (owned by Shore Terminals LLC), 2801 Waterfront Road, Martinez. The City of San Francisco owns fuel facilities at the airport and the land on which the Chevron tank farm and the PST tank farms are located (the companies own the improvements). In addition to the storage of jet fuel, the Brisbane, Equilon, Selby and Martinez Terminals may also be used for the receipt and storage of other petroleum products under zone procedures.

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 10, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to July 24, 2000).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 250 Montgomery Street, 14th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104–3406

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: May 1, 2000.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–11738 Filed 5–9–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

(A-421-701)

Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Brass Sheet and Strip From the Netherlands

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from the Netherlands. This review covers imports of brass sheet and strip from one producer/exporter during the period of review (POR), August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999.

We preliminarily determine that sales of the subject merchandise have not been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties on the subject merchandise exported by this company. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 10, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann or Jarrod Goldfeder, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 6, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4126 or (202) 482–2305, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments

made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On August 12, 1988, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from the Netherlands (53 FR 30455). On August 11, 1999, we published in the Federal Register the notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of this order, for the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999 (64 FR 43649). On August 31, 1999, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), Outokumpu Copper Strip B.V. (OBV), the sole producer/exporter, and the petitioners 1 requested an administrative review of OBV's exports of the subject merchandise to the United States during this POR. OBV also requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order against brass sheet and strip from the Netherlands, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(b), based on the absence of dumping and the fact that OBV is not likely to sell the subject merchandise at less than NV in the future. OBV subsequently withdrew its revocation request on April 4, 2000. On September 24, 1999, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b), the Department initiated this administrative review (see Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 64 FR 53318 (October 1, 1999)).

On October 4, 1999, the Department issued an antidumping questionnaire ² to OBV. OBV submitted its response to sections A, B, and C in November 1999. The Section D questionnaire response was received in December 1999. The Department issued Section A, B, and C supplemental questionnaires in February 2000 and received responses in March 2000. The Department issued and received a response to the Section

D supplemental questionnaire in April 2000.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are brass sheet and strip, other than leaded and tin brass sheet and strip, from the Netherlands. The chemical composition of the products under review is currently defined in the Copper Development Association (CDA) 200 Series or the Unified Numbering System (UNS) C2000 series. This review does not cover products the chemical compositions of which are defined by other CDA or UNS series. The physical dimensions of the products covered by this review are brass sheet and strip of solid rectangular cross section over 0.006 inch (0.15 millimeter) through 0.188 inch (4.8 millimeters) in gauge, regardless of width. Included in the scope are coiled, wound-on-reels (traverse wound), and cut-to-length products. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive.

Product Comparisons

In accordance with section 771(16) of the Act, the Department first attempted to match contemporaneous sales of products sold in the U.S. and home markets that were identical with respect to the following characteristics: (1) Type (alloy); (2) gauge (thickness); (3) width; (4) temper; (5) coating; and (6) packed form. Where there were no sales of identical merchandise in the home market to compare with U.S. sales, we compared U.S. sales with the most similar product based on the characteristics listed above, in descending order of priority.

For purposes of the preliminary results, we have calculated the adjustment for differences in merchandise based on the difference in the variable cost of manufacturing between each U.S. model and the most similar home market model selected for comparison.

Comparisons to Normal Value

To determine whether OBV's sales of brass sheet and strip were made to the United States at less than NV, the Department compared the export price (EP) to the NV, as described in the "Export Price" and "Normal Value" sections of this notice. In accordance with section 777A(d)(2) of the Act, the Department calculated monthly

¹ The petitioners in this proceeding are Heyco Metals, Inc., Olin Corporation, PMX Industries, Inc., Revere Copper Products, Inc., International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, United Auto Workers (Local 2367), and the United Steelworkers of America (AFL–CIO/CLC).

² Section A of the questionnaire requests general information concerning a company's corporate structure and business practices, the subject merchandise under review, and the sales of the foreign like product in all of its markets. Sections B and C of the questionnaire request comparison market sales listings and U.S. sales listings, respectively. Section D requests additional information about the cost of production of the foreign like product and constructed value of the merchandise under review.