The repealed provisions were re-enacted in AIR 21 with two additions.

New Provisions

Foreign Air Carrier Waivers

The original language of ANCA did not allow foreign air carriers to apply for a waiver from the Stage 2 prohibition in the law. The AIR 21 amendment expanded the waiver provision, 49 U.S.C. 47528(b), to allow foreign air carriers, for a limited time, to apply for a waiver from the Stage 3 aircraft requirement of 49 U.S.C. 47528(a). The amendment requires that a foreign air carrier seeking a waiver must apply "not later than * * * the 15th day following the date of enactment of [AIR 21]." The law was enacted April 5, 2000; foreign air carriers seeking a waiver from section 47528(a) must have filed an application for waiver no later than April 20, 2000.

The FAA will consider any waiver request filed by a foreign air carrier under the same criteria that were used to evaluate requests from domestic air carriers. Those criteria are published at 14 CFR 91.873, and were summarized in a **Federal Register** notice published on March 2, 1998 (63 FR 10123).

Relationship to Part 161 Actions

In AIR 21, Congress re-enacted the provisions that direct the Secretary of Transportation to permit certain nonrevenue flights to Stage 2 airplanes over 75,000 pounds, 49 U.S.C. 47528(f). A new paragraph (g), which reads as follows, was added to that section:

(g) Statutory Construction.—Nothing in this section may be construed as interfering with, nullifying, or otherwise affecting determinations made by the Federal Aviation Administration, or to be made by the Administration with respect to applications under part 161 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, that were pending on November 1, 1999.

Promulgated by the FAA in 1991 pursuant to ANCA, 14 CFR part 161 is titled "Notice and Approval of Airport Noise Access Restrictions," and provides a procedure under which local airport authorities may impose restrictions on Stage 2 and Stage 3 airplanes. On November 1, 1999, there was one restriction on operation of Stage 2 airplanes that had been adopted by a local airport authority but had not yet become effective. Prior to November 1, 1999, the FAA had made a determination that this local restriction was pre-empted by Federal law. The FAA understands new paragraph (g) to mean that this prior determination, and any future determination regarding the local restriction, are not affected by the

new provisions added to section 47528 by AIR 21.

The FAA has consistently held that the statutory waiver authority it was granted in ANCA in 1990 (49 U.S.C. 47528(b)) preempts any conflicting restriction adopted by a local airport authority. Similarly, the authority that permits nonrevenue Stage 2 flights under section 47528(f) also preempts any conflicting local regulations. This position is affirmed by the AIR 21 language, in that the authority given in section 47528(f) is not discretionary. The law states that "the Secretary shall permit" Stage 2 flights that fall under one of the categories listed in the law (emphasis added). The FAA's interpretation of the new language in paragraph (g) is consistent with the nondiscretionary nature of the FAA's authority under section 47528(f).

Previous Statutory Changes

As discussed above, the statutory change that allows the FAA to grant special flight authorizations for the nonrevenue operation of certain Stage 2 airplanes was re-enacted in AIR 21. Accordingly, except for the additions noted above, the explanations provided in the FAA's December 17, 1999 **Federal Register** notice remain applicable, and the application procedure and form have not been changed.

The FAA still plans to amend its regulations at 14 CFR part 91, subpart I, that are affected by the changes to its statutory authority. The reasons for these amendments remain the same as published in December 1999.

The FAA was required under the November 1999 legislation, and again by AIR 21, to publish notice of the procedures it will use to implement the Stage 2 nonrevenue flight authority. This notice fulfills that requirement by informing affected persons that the application procedure for a special flight authorization for nonrevenue Stage 2 flight remains as published in December 1999.

The special flight authorization application can be obtained on the FAA's web site (http://www.aee.faa.gov/sfa/), or by fax or mail by contacting the Office of Environment and Energy at the number listed in the For Further Information Contact section above. The FAA reminds operators that requests for special flight authorizations for nonrevenue Stage 2 flights should be filed 30 days before the planned flight.

Operators of Stage 2 airplanes that have any questions concerning their rights or requirements under AIR 21 language are encouraged to contact the FAA as soon as possible. Issued in Washington, DC on May 2, 2000. **Paul R. Dvkeman**,

Deputy Director, Office of Environment and Energy.

[FR Doc. 00–11325 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–M**

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Faulkner County, Arkansas

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Faulkner County, Arkansas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Heflin, Community Planner, Federal Highway Administration, 700 West Capitol, Rm 3130 Federal Office Building, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201–3298, Telephone: (501) 324–5625; or Ronnie Hall, City Engineer, City of Conway, 100 East Robins, Conway, Arkansas 72032, Telephone: (501) 450–6165; or Mike Lynch, Project Manager, Garver Engineers, P.O. Box 50, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203, Telephone (501) 376–3633.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the City of Conway, Arkansas, and the Arkansas Highway and Transportation Department will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to construct a western loop in Faulkner County, Arkansas. The proposed project would involve the construction of an arterial on a new alignment starting west of the City of Conway at Interstate Highway 40 and terminating South of the City of Conway on Interstate Highway 40. Construction of a western loop is considered necessary to provide for the existing and projected traffic demand. A proposed alignment and typical section for this proposed project will be formulated during development of the EIS. Alternatives under consideration include taking no action and location alternatives to be identified during the EIS process.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this project. An agency scoping meeting is planned early in the project development process. A series of public meetings will be held in the City of Conway. In addition, a public hearing will be held. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation of Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: May 3, 2000.

Gary A. DalPorto,

Planning and Research Engineer, FHWA, Little Rock, Arkansas.

[FR Doc. 00–11861 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Tucker County, West Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT **ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) will be prepared for the Blackwater Avoidance area of the Thomas-to-Davis portion of the Parsons-to-Davis project of the proposed Appalachian Corridor H highway in Tucker County, West Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Henry E. Compton, Division Environmental Coordinator, Federal Highway Administration, West Virginia Division, Geary Plaza, Suite 200, 700 Washington Street East, Charleston, West Virginia, 25301, Telephone: (304) 347–5268.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with a court approved settlement agreement, the FHWA in cooperation with the West Virginia Department of Transportation (WVDOT) will prepare an SEIS to examine one or more potential alignment shifts for the Thomas-to-Davis section of Parsons-to-Davis project of the proposed Appalachian Corridor H highway in

Tucker County, West Virginia. A Record of Decision (ROD) for the entire Appalachian Corridor H highhway (FHWA-WV-EIS-92-01-F) from Aggregates to the WV/VA state line, a distance of approximately 100 miles, was approved on August 2, 1996. The proposed Parsons-to-Davis project will provide a divided four-lane, partial control of access highway on new location for a distance of approximately 9 miles. The purpose of this project is to provide safe and efficient travel between population centers in Tucker County (Parsons Area and Thomas/ Davis Area), while also contributing to the completion of Corridor H in West Virginia.

Alternates under consideration in the SEIS will be: (1) The no-action alternative, (2) the preferred alternative that was approved in the 1996 ROD, and (2) one or more alternatives that avoid the Blackwater Area identified in Exhibit 4 of the court approved Corridor H Settlement Agreement. Based on preliminary studies, it is expected that the avoidance alternatives considered in the SEIS will include one or more alignments that would shift the project to the north, resulting in additional connections to US 219, WV Route 32, and WV Route 93 in the vicinity of the towns of Thomas and Davis. However, final decisions on the scope of the SEIS will be made only after an opportunity for comment by interested agencies and the public during the scoping process, which will occur in May 2000.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have expressed or are known to have an interest in this proposal.

To ensure the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: May 2, 2000.

Henry E. Compton,

Environmental Coordinator, Charleston, West Virginia.

[FR Doc. 00–11860 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration [Docket Number FRA-1999-6364]

Northeast Illinois Railroad Corporation; Cancellation of Public Hearing

On April 4, 2000, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) published a notice in the Federal Register (65 FR 17704) announcing that a public hearing will be held based upon the Northeast Illinois Railroad Corporation's (Metra) request seeking a permanent waiver of compliance with the Passenger Equipment Safety Standards, 49 CFR part 238.303, which requires exterior calendar day inspection, and 238.313, which requires a class one brake test be performed by a qualified maintenance person. Metra has withdrawn its request; therefore, the hearing scheduled for Tuesday, May 16, 2000, in Chicago, Illinois, has been canceled.

FRÃ regrets any inconvenience occasioned by the cancellation of this hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on May 8, 2000. **Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,**

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 00–11865 Filed 5–10–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Safety Advisory 2000-1

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of Safety Advisory.

SUMMARY: FRA is issuing Safety Advisory 2000–1 addressing safety concerns involving Model B1 relays, manufactured by General Railway Signal (GRS), between the years 1960 and 1985, and their potential to stick and remain in the energized position. ALSTOM Signaling, Inc., which has acquired GRS, estimates that approximately 2,000,000 relays are affected worldwide.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William E. Goodman, Staff Director, Signal and Train Control Division, Office of Safety Assurance and Compliance, FRA, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW, RRS–13, Mail Stop 25, Washington, DC 20590 (telephone 202– 493–6325) or Mark Tessler, Trial Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW, RCC–12, Mail