

- Final Regulations. 57 FR 43310 (Sept. 18, 1992)
- National Research Council. 1996. Toxicological and Performance Aspects of Oxygenated Motor Vehicle Fuels. National Academy Press
- Osborne, R. 1996. Testimony and Exhibits Submitted to Board of County Commissioners Regarding Restrictions on Use of Jet Skis in San Juan County. Superior Court of Washington for Whatcom County
- Peterson, R.T. 1990. Western birds. Peterson field guides. Houghton Mifflin Company
- Richardson, J.W., C.R. Greene, Jr., C.I. Malme, and D.H. Thomson. 1995. Marine Mammals and Noise. Academic Press, San Diego, CA
- Rodgers, J.A. and H.T. Smith. 1997. Buffer zone distances to protect foraging and loafing waterbirds from human disturbance in Florida. Wildlife Soc. Bull., 25(1):139-145
- Snow, S. 1989. A Review of Personal Watercraft and Their Potential Impact on the Natural Resources of Everglades National Park. Technical paper
- Smith, D.E. and P.B. Adams. 1988. Daytime Surface Swarms of *Thysanoessa spinifera* (Euphausiacea) in the Gulf of the Farallones, California. Bull. of Marine Science 42(1):76-84
- Squillace, P.J., J.F. Pankow, N.E. Korte, and J.S. Zogorski. 1996. Environmental Behavior and Fate of Meth tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE). U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet F5-203-96
- Sutherland, A.J. and D.G. Ogle. 1975. Effect of jet boats on salmon eggs. N.Z. Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 9(3):273-82
- Tahoe Research Group. 1997. The Use of 2-Cycle Engine Watercraft on Lake Tahoe: Water Quality and Limnological Considerations. University of California, Davis
- Tjarnlund, U., G. Ericson, E. Lindesjoo, I. Petterson, and L. Balk. 1995. Investigation of the Biological Effects of 2-Cycle Outboard Engines Exhaust on Fish. Marine Environmental Research. 39, 313-316
- U.S. Coast Guard. 1999. Recreational Boating Accident Statistics 1995 and 1996. www.uscgboating.org/stats.html. Accessed Feb. 1999
- PWIA vs. Department of Commerce, 48 F.3d 540 (D.C. Cir. 1995)
- U.S. Department of the Interior. 1998a. Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 36, Chapter 1, compendium amendment and Administrative Record
- U.S. Department of the Interior. 1998b. Point Reyes National Seashore. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 36, Chapter 1, compendium
- U.S. Department of the Interior. 1998c. Proposed Rule: Personal Watercraft Use Within the NPS System. 63 FR 49312 (Sept. 15, 1998)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1992. Management Agreement for the Florida Keys Refuges—Monroe County, Florida
- Wagner, K.J. 1994. Of hammacks and horsepower: the noise issue at lakes. Lakeline, June 1994, pp. 24-28
- Wing, S., J.L. Largier, L.W. Botsford, and J.F. Quinn. 1995. Settlement and transport of benthic invertebrates in an intermittent upwelling region. Limnol. and Oceanogr., 40(2) 316-329
- Woolley, T. 1996. Testimony prepared for the Superior Court of Washington for Whatcom County

#### List of Subjects in 15 CFR Part 922

Administrative practice and procedure, Coastal zone, Education, Environmental protection, Marine resources, Penalties, Recreation and recreation areas, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Research.

Dated: May 16, 2000.

#### Ted Lillestolen,

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Ocean Services and Coastal Zone Management.*

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, 15 CFR Part 922, Subpart H, is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 922, SUBPART H—THE GULF OF THE FARALLONES NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY

1. The authority citation for Part 922 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1431 *et seq.*

2. Section 922.18 is amended by adding the following definition, in the appropriate alphabetical order.

#### § 922.81 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Motorized personal watercraft* means a vessel which uses an inboard motor powering a water jet pump as its primary source of motive power and which is designed to be operated by a person sitting, standing, or kneeling on the vessel, rather than the conventional manner of sitting or standing inside the vessel.

3. Section 922.82 is amended by adding new paragraph (a)(7) as follows:

#### § 922.82 Prohibited or otherwise regulated activities.

(a) \* \* \*

(7) Operation of motorized personal watercraft, except for the operation of

motorized personal watercraft for emergency search and rescue mission or law enforcement operations (other than routine training activities) carried out by National Park Service, U.S. Coast Guard, Fire or Police Departments or other Federal, State or local jurisdictions.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 00-12797 Filed 5-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-M

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MN60-01-7285b; FRL-6703-2]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Minnesota

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are proposing to approve a site specific revision to the Minnesota particulate matter (PM) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for LTV Steel Mining Company, formerly known as Erie Mining Company, located in St. Louis County, Minnesota. In its submittal, the State has requested that we remove the Stipulation Agreement for Erie Mining Company from the Minnesota SIP. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are conditionally approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because we view this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by June 21, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christos Panos, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson

Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8328

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the above address. (Please telephone Christos Panos at (312) 353-8328 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 27, 2000.

**David A. Ullrich,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 00-12643 Filed 5-19-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-6702-5]

#### National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to delete the Schofield Army Barracks site from the National Priorities List.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 9 announces the intent to delete the Schofield Army Barracks site ("the site") from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comment on this proposed action. The NPL constitutes appendix B of 40 CFR part 300 which is the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended. EPA and the State of Hawaii Department of Health have determined that the remedial action for the site has been successfully executed.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the proposed deletion of the Site from the NPL may be submitted on or before June 21, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to: Mark Ripperda, USEPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Mail Code SFD-8-3.

Comprehensive information on this site is available through the Region 9 public docket which is available for viewing by appointment only. Appointments for copies of the background information from the

Regional public docket should be directed to the EPA Region 9 docket office at the following address: Superfund Records Center, USEPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

The deletion docket is also available at the following locations on Oahu: Wahiawa Public Library, 820 California Avenue, Wahiawa, Hawaii 96786; and Directorate of Public Works, Environmental Division, Bldg 105, 3rd Floor, Wheeler Army Airfield, Hawaii.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mark Ripperda, USEPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Mail Code SFD-8-3; phone (415) 744-2408.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. NPL Deletion Criteria
- III. Deletion Procedures
- IV. Basis of Intended Site Deletion

#### I. Introduction

The U.S. EPA Region IX announces its intent to delete the Schofield Army Barracks site in Honolulu County, Hawaii, from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comment on this proposed action. The NPL constitutes appendix B of 40 CFR part 300 which is the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended. EPA identifies sites that appear to present a significant risk to public health, welfare, or the environment and maintains the NPL as the list of these sites. EPA and the State of Hawaii Department of Health have determined that the remedial action for the site has been successfully executed.

EPA will accept comments on the proposal to delete this site for thirty (30) days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**.

Section II of this document explains the criteria for deleting sites from the NPL. Section III discusses the procedures EPA is using for this action. Section IV discusses the Schofield Army Barracks site and explains how the site meets the deletion criteria.

#### II. NPL Deletion Criteria

Section 300.425(e)(1) of the NCP provides that releases may be deleted from, or recategorized on the NPL where no further response is appropriate. In making a determination to delete a release from the NPL, EPA shall consider, in consultation with the

appropriate State, whether any of the following criteria have been met:

(i) Responsible parties or other persons have implemented all appropriate response actions required; or

(ii) All appropriate Fund-financed responses under CERCLA have been implemented, and no further response action by responsible parties is appropriate; or

(iii) The Remedial Investigation has shown that the release poses no significant threat to public health or the environment and, therefore, remedial measures are not appropriate.

Even if a site is deleted from the NPL, where hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remain at the site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, EPA's policy is that a subsequent review of the site will be conducted at least every five years after the initiation of the remedial action at the site to ensure that the site remains protective of public health and the environment. If new information becomes available which indicates a need for further action, EPA may initiate additional remedial actions. Whenever there is a significant release from a site deleted from the NPL, the site may be restored to the NPL without application of the Hazard Ranking System.

In the case of Schofield Army Barracks, the selected remedy is protective of human health and the environment. The Army will maintain the landfill cover and the water treatment system, and will perform long-term groundwater monitoring. The first five-year review will be conducted by EPA, the State of Hawaii Department of Health (HDOH), and the Army in the year 2002. Reviews will be conducted every five years thereafter.

#### III. Deletion Procedure

The following procedures were used for the intended deletion of this site: (1) All appropriate response under CERCLA has been implemented and no further action by EPA or the Army is appropriate; (2) HDOH has concurred with the proposed deletion decision; (3) a notice has been published in the local newspapers and has been distributed to appropriate federal, state, and local officials and other interested parties announcing the commencement of a 30-day public comment period on EPA's Notice of Intent to Delete; and (4) all relevant documents have been made available in the local site information repositories.

Deletion of the site from the NPL does not itself create, alter, or revoke any individual's rights or obligations. The NPL is designed primarily for