

destroy all foreign status scrap materials in the zone, per Board Order 828.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of May, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-12978 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1092]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 216; Olympia, WA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Port of Olympia, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 216 (Olympia, Washington), submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 216-Site 3 to include an additional area at the Commerce Place industrial/business park in Lacey, Washington, adjacent to the Port of Olympia Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 27-99; filed 5/26/99);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 29993, 6/4/99) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 216-Site 3 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of May 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-12976 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-603](C-351-604)(A-122-601)(A-427-602)(C-427-603)(A-475-601)(A-428-602) (A-588-704)]

Brass Sheet and Strip From Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, Germany, and Japan: Amended Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders and Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amended Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders and Countervailing Duty Orders: Brass Sheet and Strip From Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, Germany, and Japan.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, as amended ("the Act"), published continuation of the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, France, Italy, Germany, Japan, and Canada, and the countervailing duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and France (65 FR 25304). Subsequent to the issuance of the continuation notice, we discovered a ministerial error. As a result, we are correcting the next sunset review date of these orders listed in the determination section of the notice of continuation of the above orders from "not later than March 2005" to "not later than April 2005."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eun W. Cho or Carole Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th St. & Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1698 or (202) 482-3217, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2000, the Department issued the continuation of antidumping duty orders and countervailing duty orders: brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy, Germany, and Japan. (65 FR 25304). Subsequent to the publication of the continuation notice, we discovered a ministerial error.

Clerical Error

In our continuation notice, we indicated that we intend to initiate the next five-year reviews of these orders not later than March 2005. However, because the Department's determination

to continue the above orders was published on May 1, 2000, pursuant to section 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year reviews of these orders not later than April 2005.

Because we inadvertently listed the wrong initiation month in the determination section of our continuation notice, we are amending that notice to correct the ministerial error.

Amended Continuation Notice

We are correcting the month listed in the determination section of our continuation notice as follows:

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than April 2005.

This amendment is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

May 16, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-12973 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 21, 1999, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. The review covers three producers/exporters of subject merchandise. The period of review is November 1, 1997, through October 31, 1998.

We invited interested parties to comment on our preliminary results. Our analysis of the comments we received resulted in no change to our preliminary results for these final results. The final dumping margin is listed in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Farah Naim or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3174 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**The Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On July 21, 1999, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review (64 FR 39115) of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (the PRC) (59 FR 59209, November 16, 1994). We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results and received comments from the petitioners which contained new information. On November 30, 1999, we published a notice of extension of time limit for the final results in order to allow all parties to address the new information submitted by the petitioners. We received further comments from the petitioners, but we did not receive any submissions from the respondents. On March 21, 2000, we published a second notice of extension of time limit for the final results in order to consider and address fully the issues raised by petitioners.

We have conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Scope of Review

The products subject to this antidumping duty administrative review are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay.

The scope of this order does not include the following: (a) Garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed.

The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive. In order to be excluded from the antidumping duty order, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is (1) mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed must be accompanied by declarations to the Customs Service to that effect.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 16, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in B-099 and accessible on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Use of Facts Available

Our use of facts available in this review has not changed from the preliminary results. For a discussion of our application of facts available, see the preliminary results and our Decision Memo, which are on file in room B-099 and are also available on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that a margin of 376.67 percent exists for all producers/exporters of the subject merchandise from the PRC for the period November 1, 1997, through October 31, 1998. The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to Customs.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) for all PRC exporters, all of which were found not to be entitled to separate rates, the cash-deposit rate will be 376.67 percent; and (2) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 16, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Comments and Responses

1. Future Request for Administrative Review

2. Evasion of Antidumping Duties

[FR Doc. 00-12974 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Administrative Antidumping Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Gilgunn or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0648 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), the Department received requests that we conduct an administrative review of the sales of the following: Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corp. (30); Yancheng Baolong Biochemical Products Co., Ltd.; Qingdao Rirong Foodstuff Co., Ltd.; Lianyungang Haiwang Aquatic Products Co., Ltd.; Yancheng Haiteng Aquatic Products and Foods Co., Ltd.; and Yancheng Foreign Trade Corp. Maritime Trading, a U.S. importer, requested that we conduct an administrative review of Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corp. a.k.a. Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corp. (5). Petitioner in

the proceeding, the Crawfish Processors Alliance, also requested an administrative review of the following: China Everbright Trading Company; Binzhou Prefecture Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp.; Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corporation; Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corporation (5); Yancheng Foreign Trade Corporation; Jiangsu Cereals, Oils & Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp.; Yancheng Baolong Aquatic Foods Co.; Huaiyin Ningtai Fisheries Co., Ltd.; Nantong Delu Aquatic Food Co., Ltd.; Ningbo Nanlian Frozen Foods Company, Ltd.; Qingdao Rirong Foodstuff Co.; Lianyungang Haiwang Aquatic Products Company Ltd.; Yancheng Baolong Biochemical Products Co., Ltd.; Zhenfeng Foodstuff Co.; Weishan Hongfa Lake Foodstuff Co., Ltd.; Ever Concord; Hua Yin Foreign Trading; Huaiyin Foreign Trading; Lianyungang Hailong Aquatic Product; Qiafco; Seatrade International; Weishan Jinmuan Foodstuff; Welly Shipping, aka Kenwa Shipping; Yancheng Foreign Trading; Jiangsu Baolong Group; Asia-Europe; Jiangsu Aquatic Products Freezing Plant; and Yupeng Fishery. We published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on November 4, 1999 (64 FR 60161).

On February 1, 2000, the Crawfish Processor Alliance, petitioner in this case, withdrew their request for review for the following companies: China Everbright Trading Company; Binzhou Prefecture Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp.; Jiangsu Cereals, Oils & Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp.; Yancheng Baolong Aquatic Foods Co.; Huaiyin Ningtai Fisheries Co., Ltd.; Nantong Delu Aquatic Food Co., Ltd.; Ever Concord; Lianyungang Hailong Aquatic Product; Qiafco; Seatrade International; Welly Shipping, a.k.a. Kenwa Shipping; and Yancheng Foreign Trading.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Because of the complexity and timing of certain issues in this case, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. In the Department's *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From The People's Republic of China: Final Results of Administrative Antidumping Duty and New Shipper Reviews, and Final Rescission of New Shipper Review*, 65 FR 20948 (April 19, 2000) covering the 1997-98 review period (final results), the Department addressed a number of extraordinarily complicated issues, including the relationship between certain exporters. Based on the final results, the Department has required certain

exporters to submit a consolidated response. The consolidated response is due on June 12, 2000. Therefore, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations. See the *Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Troy H. Cribb, Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China*, dated May 11, 2000.

Therefore, in accordance with these sections, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results to September 29, 2000.

Dated: May 11, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III.

[FR Doc. 00-12975 Filed 5-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

Silicon Metal From Brazil; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with court decision.

SUMMARY: On February 17, 1999, the Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the remand determination of the Department of Commerce (the Department) arising from the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. *See American Silicon Technologies, Elkem Metals Company, Globe Metallurgical, Inc. and SKW Metals & Alloys, Inc. v. United States*, ___CIT___, Slip Op. 99-17, (February 17, 1999). No party appealed this decision. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this segment, we are amending the final results of reviews in this matter and will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries subject to these amended final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 23, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Bolling or Jim Doyle, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration,