

[FR Doc. 00-13469 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-C

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-03791 and NAFTA-3791A]

House of Perfection, Incorporated, Williston Manufacturing Co. Williston, SC and Capitol City Manufacturing Co., West Columbia, SC; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 250(a), subchapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on April 13, 2000, applicable to workers of House of Perfection, Incorporated, Williston Manufacturing Co., Williston, South Carolina. The notice was published in the Federal Register on May 11, 2000 (65 FR 30444).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of children's apparel such as shorts, tops, blouses and pants for its parent company, House of Perfection, Incorporated, West Columbia, South Carolina. New information shows that Capitol City Manufacturing Co. is a division of House of Perfection, Incorporated. Worker separations will occur at the subject firm when it closes in June, 2000. The workers produce children's apparel such as shorts tops, blouses and pants.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers of Capitol City Manufacturing Co., West Columbia, South Carolina.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of House of Perfection, Incorporated who were adversely affected by a shift of production to Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-03791 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of House of Perfection, Incorporated, Williston Manufacturing, Williston, South Carolina (NAFTA-03791) and Capitol Manufacturing Co., West Columbia, South Carolina (NAFTA-03791A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 8, 1999 through April 13, 2002 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of May, 2000.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-13470 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Washington State Standards; Notice of Approval

1. Background

Part 1953 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, prescribes procedures under Section 18 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (hereinafter called the Act) by which the Regional Administrator for Occupational Safety and Health (hereinafter called Regional Administrator) under a delegation of authority from the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health (hereinafter called the Assistant Secretary) (29 CFR 1953.4) will review and approve standards promulgated pursuant to a State plan which has been approved in accordance with section 18(c) of the Act and 29 CFR Part 1902. On January 26, 1973, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (38 FR 2421) of the approval of the Washington plan and the adoption of subpart F to part 1952 containing the decision.

The Washington plan provides for the adoption of State standards that are at least as effective as comparable Federal standards promulgated under section 6 of the Act. Section 1953.20 provides that where any alteration in the Federal program could have an adverse impact on the at least as effective as status of the State program, a program change supplement to a State plan shall be required.

In response to a Federal standard change, the State submitted by letter dated November 9, 1994, from Mark O. Brown, Director, to James W. Lake, Regional Administrator, a State standard amendment comparable to 29 CFR 1910.269, Electric Power Generation, Transmission and Distribution, as published in the **Federal Register** on January 31, 1994, (59 FR 4320), and subsequent corrections published in the **Federal Register** on June 30, 1994 (59 FR 33658). The State standards were adopted by Administrative Order 94-16 on September 30, 1994, with an effective date of November 20, 1994. A review of the standard revealed

discrepancies and the submission was returned to the State for correction. On April 22, 1998, the State submitted by letter from Michael A. Silverstein, Assistant Director, to Richard S. Terrill, Regional Administrator, corrections to the discrepancies. The State standard amendments were adopted by Administrative Order 97-17 on March 6, 1998, with an effective date of May 6, 1998. A review of the amendments revealed new discrepancies and the submission was returned to the State for correction. On June 15, 1999, the State submitted by letter from Michael A. Silverstein, Assistant Director, to Richard S. Terrill, Regional Administrator, the requested corrections. The corrections were adopted by Administrative Order 99-04 on April 20, 1999, and became effective on August 1, 1999. The State standards are contained in Chapter 296-45 WAC, Safety Standards for Electrical Workers. OSHA has determined the following major differences between the State and Federal standards: (1) The State standards did not adopt provisions for live-line bare-hand work. The State requires that rubber gloves be only used on voltages of 5,000 or less between phases. (2) The State standards contain numerous supplemental requirements such as that for underground residential distribution. The State standards also incorporate the requirements of the 1997 National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) (ANSI-C2), Parts (1), (2) and (3) by reference.

On its own initiative, the State of Washington has submitted by letter dated July 27, 1998, from Michael A. Silverstein, Assistant Director, to Richard S. Terrill, Acting Regional Administrator, an amendment to its Construction Safety standard at WAC 296-155-130 for below the hook rigging. On December 18, 1998, the amendment was returned to the State for clarification of several issues. On February 22, 1999, in a letter from Michael A. Silverstein, to Richard S. Terrill, Regional Administrator, clarification was submitted and the standard was found to be comparable to Federal OSHA standards. The main difference is the State amendment was made so the rigging codes would be easier to follow and be located in one place in the Construction Safety standards rather than in various parts. The State's submission was adopted by Washington Administrative Order 96-20 on June 15, 1998, and became effective on August 15, 1998.

On its own initiative, the State has submitted by letter dated March 26, 1999, from Michael A. Silverstein, Assistant Director, to Richard S. Terrill,

a State amendment to Washington Health Standards for Emergency Washing Facilities contained in Chapter 296-62. Prior to the change, the State's compliance and consultation officers relied on WAC 296-62-130, WRD 12.35, WRD 91-13A and ANSI Z358.1-1990 to verify compliance with emergency washing facility requirements. This action replaces WISHA Regional Directive 12.35 and WISHA Regional Directive 91-13A. The main difference is the State's amendment revises the current rule by explicitly incorporating certain ANSI requirements in order to eliminate confusion and provide more specific information to the employer. The State's submission was adopted by Washington Administrative Order 98-18 on March 17, 1999, effective June 17, 1999.

The administrative orders were adopted pursuant to RCW 34.04.040(2), 49.17.040, 49.17.050, Public Meetings Act RCW 42.30, Administrative Procedures Act RCW 34.04, and the State Register Act RCW 34.08.

2. Decision

OSHA has determined that the State standard amendments for Electric Power Generation, Transmission and Distribution are as least as effective as the comparable Federal standards, as required by section 18(c)(2) of the Act. The major differences in these amendments have been in effect since November 20, 1994. During that time OSHA has received no indication of significant objection to the State's different standard either as to its effectiveness in comparison to the Federal standard or as to its conformance with the product clause requirements of section 18(c)(2) of the Act. (A different State standard applicable to a product which is distributed or used in interstate commerce must be required by compelling local conditions and not unduly burden interstate commerce.) OSHA, therefore, approves these amendments. However, the right to reconsider this approval is reserved should substantial objections be submitted to the Assistant Secretary.

OSHA has determined that the State amendments to its Construction Safety Standard (below the hook rigging) and Emergency Washing Facilities are at least as effective as the comparable Federal standards, as required by Section 18(c)(2) of the Act. OSHA has also determined that the differences between these State amendments and the Federal amendments are minor. OSHA therefore approves these amendments; however, the right to reconsider this approval is reserved

should substantial objections be submitted to the Assistant Secretary.

3. Location of Supplement for Inspection and Copying

A copy of the standards supplement, along with the approved plan, may be inspected and copied during normal business hours at the following locations: Office of the Regional Administrator, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 715, Seattle, Washington 98101-3212; State of Washington Department of Labor and Industries, Division of Industrial Safety and Health, 7273 Linderson Way, SW., Tumwater, Washington 98501; and the Office of State Programs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-3476, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. For electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice, contact OSHA's Web Page at <http://www.osha.gov/>.

4. Public Participation

Under 29 CFR 1953.2(c), the Assistant Secretary may prescribe alternative procedures to expedite the review process or for other good cause which may be consistent with applicable laws. The Assistant Secretary finds that good cause exists for not publishing the supplement to the Washington State Plan as a proposed change and making the Regional Administrator's approval effective upon publication for the following reasons:

1. The standard amendments are as effective as the Federal standards which was promulgated in accordance with the Federal law including meeting requirements for public participation.
2. The standard amendments were adopted in accordance with the procedural requirements of State law and further public participation would be repetitious.

This decision is effective (Sec. 18, Pub. L. 91-596, 84 STAT. 6108 [29 U.S.C. 667]).

Signed at Seattle, Washington, this 11th day of 2000.

Richard S. Terrill,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00-13484 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

May 30, 2000.

The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35]. Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the National Endowment for the Arts' Deputy for Guidelines, Panel, & Council Operations, A.B. Spellman 202/682-5421. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call 202/682-5496 between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the National Endowment for the Arts, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 202/395-7316, within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
 - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
 - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Endowment requests the review of all of its funding application guidelines and grantee reporting requirements. This entry is issued by the Endowment and contains the following information: (1) the title of the form; (2) how often the required information must be reported; (3) who will be required or asked to