

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****November 1999 Sunset Review: Final Results and Revocation**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Sunset Review and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order: Professional Electric Cutting Tools from Japan (A-588-823).

SUMMARY: On November 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools from Japan (64 FR 59160). Because the domestic interested parties have withdrawn, in full, their participation in the ongoing sunset review, the Department is revoking this order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Douthit or Lynn Barden, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3173, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The Department issued an antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools from Japan (58 FR 37461, July 12, 1993). On November 2, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of this order by publishing a notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 59160). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for this proceeding to inform them of the automatic initiation of a sunset review of this order. In the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools from Japan, we received a notice of intent to participate from Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc., and S-B Power Tool Company (collectively "the domestic interested parties") by the November 17, 1999, deadline. We also received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the applicable deadline (*see* section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations).

On May 15, 2000, we received a notice from the domestic interested parties withdrawing in full their

participation in the five-year (sunset) review of the antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools from Japan. The domestic interested parties further stated that they no longer have an interest in maintaining the antidumping duty order. As a result, the Department determined that no domestic party intends to participate in the sunset review and, on May 24, 2000, we notified the International Trade Commission that we intended to issue a final determination revoking this antidumping duty order.¹

Determination To Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3) of the Department's regulations, if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the finding or order or terminating the suspended investigation. Because the domestic interested parties withdrew both their notice of intent to participate and their complete substantive response from the review process, and no other domestic interested party filed a substantive response (*see* sections 351.218(d)(1)(i) and 351.218(d)(3) of the Department's regulations), we are revoking this antidumping duty order.

Effective Date of Revocation and Termination

Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, the Department will instruct the United States Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after January 1, 2000. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

¹ See May 23, 2000, Letter from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Lynn Featherstone, Director, Office of Investigations, International Trade Commission, RE: Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Professional Electric Cutting Tools from Japan (A-588-823).

Dated: May 26, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-13878 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-828; A-351-824]

Silicomanganese From the People's Republic of China and Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Expedited Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Expedited Sunset Reviews: Silicomanganese from the People's Republic of China and Brazil.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from the People's Republic of China ("China") and Brazil. The products covered by these orders are silicomanganese, which is sometimes called ferrosilicon manganese. On the basis of notices of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of a domestic interested party and inadequate response (in these cases, no response) from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct expedited reviews. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Reviews."

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 2, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Statute and Regulations**

This review was conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR

13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations"), and 19 CFR part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background

On November 2, 1999, the Department published the notice of initiation of sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from China and Brazil (64 FR 59160). We received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Eramet Marietta Inc. ("Eramet"), in each of the two sunset reviews, by November 17, 1999, within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Sunset Regulations. Eramet claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a domestic producer of silicomanganese.¹

We received a complete substantive response, in each of the two sunset reviews, on behalf of Eramet within the 30-day deadline specified in the Sunset Regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). In its substantive response, Eramet indicated that Elkem, now Eramet, was the petitioner in the original investigation and participated actively in these proceedings since their inception. We did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party to these proceedings. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C), the Department determined to conduct expedited, 120-day, reviews of these orders.

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (*i.e.*, an order in effect on January 1, 1995). The reviews at issue concern transition orders within the meaning of section 751(c)(6)(C)(ii) of the Act. Therefore, the Department determined that the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from China and Brazil are extraordinarily complicated and extended the time limit for completion of the final results of these reviews until not later than May 30,

2000, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.²

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by these antidumping duty orders is silicomanganese. Silicomanganese, which is sometimes called ferrosilicon manganese, is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon, and iron, and normally containing much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorous, and sulfur. Silicomanganese generally contains by weight not less than four percent iron, more than 30 percent manganese, more than eight percent silicon, and not more than three percent phosphorous. All compositions, forms, and sizes of silicomanganese are included within the scope of these reviews, including silicomanganese slag, fines, and briquettes.

Silicomanganese is used primarily in steel production as a source of both silicon and manganese. These reviews cover all silicomanganese, regardless of its tariff classification. Most silicomanganese is currently classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Some silicomanganese may also currently be classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these reviews remain dispositive.

These reviews cover all imports from all manufacturers and exporters of silicomanganese from China and Brazil.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 30, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly

on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from China and Brazil would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

| CHINA | |
|---|------------------|
| Manufacturer/exporter | Margin (percent) |
| All Manufacturers/Producers/Exporters | 150.00 |
| BRAZIL | |
| Manufacturer/exporter | Margin (percent) |
| Companhia Paulista de Ferro-Ligas and Sibra Electro-Siderurgia Brasileira S.A. | 64.93 |
| All Others | 17.60 |

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these determinations and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 17, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-13881 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 053000A]

Submission for OMB Review; Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of

¹ Eramet asserts that on June 30, 1999, Elkem Metals Company ("Elkem"), the original petitioner, sold its silicomanganese operations to Eramet SA. As a result, Eramet, a subsidiary of Eramet SA, now owns these operations.

² See Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Five-Year Reviews, 65 FR 11761 (March 6, 2000).