

1, 1998 through May 31, 1999 antidumping duty administrative review of tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Hungary.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum at (202) 482-0197, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

Scope of the Review

This antidumping review covers tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished (TRBs), from the Republic of Hungary. The merchandise under review is currently classified under subheadings 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00, 8482.99.15, 8482.99.45, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, and 8483.90.80 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Rescission of 1998-99 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

On July 29, 1999, in response to a request by Daewoo-MGM Rt., the Department published a Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Administrative Reviews (64 FR 41075). Daewoo-MGM Rt. was the only party which requested a review. On March 20, 2000, Daewoo-MGM Rt. withdrew its request for review. We are therefore rescinding this review in its entirety in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) of the Act and § 351.213(d)(1) of our regulations.

The rescission of this review does not affect the reclassification of the Republic of Hungary to market economy status for antidumping purposes (see Decision Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa on *Market vs. Non-Market Economy Analysis of the Republic of Hungary*, dated February 23, 2000). This notice is published in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1677(f) and § 351.213(d)(4) of our regulations.

Dated: May 26, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-14021 Filed 6-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Coastal Zone Management: Federal Consistency Appeal by Mobil Oil Exploration and Producing Southeast, Inc.; Consistency Appeal of Objections by the State of North Carolina

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

ACTION: Notice of decision.

On September 2, 1994, the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) declined to override two objections by the State of North Carolina to the proposed drilling discharges (PDD) and overall Plan of Exploration (POE) by Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southeast, Inc. (Mobil) at a site about 38 miles offshore North Carolina. The Secretary made these decisions pursuant to section 307(c)(3) of the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Mobil challenged the Secretary's decisions in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia claiming they were made in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act. On March 11, 1996, the court ordered a stay of the litigation and remanded the matter to the Secretary for a determination whether the administrative record should be reopened to receive two recently produced studies, one on the impacts of Mobil's proposed actions on benthic resources and one on their impacts on socio-economic resources. *Mobil, et al. v. Brown, et al.*, 920 F. Supp. 1 (D.D.C. 1996).

The Secretary's decision was held in abeyance pending settlement discussions and the outcome of related litigation.

On December 8, 1999, the Secretary issued a decision declining to reopen the record to admit the two studies at issue in *Mobil v. Brown*, for two reasons. First, both this Department and parties to appeals under the CZMA have an interest in the finality of Secretarial decisions and the administrative process. Once the administrative record is closed, a decision should be made, and new materials should not be submitted or considered without a showing of good cause. No good cause was shown in this case. Second, the two studies do not address all of the

information gaps identified by the Secretary's 1994 decisions. The Secretary's decision has been submitted to the District Court in response to the court's order in *Mobil v. Brown*. Copies of the decision may be obtained from:

Karl Gleaves, Assistant General Counsel for Ocean Services, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), U.S. Department of Commerce, 1305 East-West Highway, Room 6111, Silver Spring, MD 20910, (301) 713-2967.

(Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog No. 11.419 Coastal Zone Management Program Assistance)

Dated: May 26, 2000.

James A. Dorskind,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-13971 Filed 6-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Request for Comments on Issues Related to Policies and Agenda for the National Intellectual Property Law Enforcement Coordination Council

AGENCIES: U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, as Co-Chairs, National Intellectual Property Law Enforcement Coordination Council.

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Members of the National Intellectual Property Law Enforcement Coordination Council (the Council) seek public comment on issues associated with the Council's mission. Interested members of the public are invited to present written comments on any of the topics outlined in the Supplementary Information section of this Notice.

DATES: All comments are due by June 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to offer written comments should address those comments to Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Box 4, Washington, D.C. 20231, marked to the attention of Elizabeth Shaw. Comments may also be submitted by facsimile transmission to (703) 305-8885, or by electronic mail through the Internet to elizabeth.shaw@uspto.gov. All comments will be maintained for public inspection in Room 902, Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Shaw by telephone at (703)

305–9300, by fax at (703) 305–8885, or by mail marked to her attention and addressed to Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Box 4, Washington, D.C. 20231.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background

On September 29, 1999, President William J. Clinton signed into law the Treasury/Postal Appropriations Bill, Public Law No. 106–58, Section 653, which created the “National Intellectual Property Law Enforcement Coordination Council” (the Council). The Council’s mission is “to coordinate domestic and international intellectual property law enforcement among federal and foreign entities.” The Council is required to “report annually on its coordination activities” to the President and to the Appropriations and Judiciary Committees of the House and Senate.

The statutorily designated Council Members, listed according to their order of mention in the statute, are: The Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks (Co-Chair) (under Public Law No. 106–113, the head of the United States Patent and Trademark Office is the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office) (The Honorable Q. Todd Dickinson); the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division (Co-Chair) (The Honorable James K. Robinson); the Under Secretary of State for Economic, Business, and Agricultural Affairs (The Honorable Alan P. Larson); the Deputy United States Trade Representative (Ambassador Richard Fisher); the Commissioner of Customs (The Honorable Raymond W. Kelly); and the Under Secretary of Commerce for International Trade (Acting Under Secretary Robert S. LaRussa). In addition, the statute directs the Council to consult with the Register of Copyrights (The Honorable Marybeth Peters) on law enforcement matters relating to copyrights and related matters and rights.

The full Council and their staffs have met on several occasions to begin shaping the Council’s agenda. This Request for Public Comment is intended to give intellectual property rights owners (and other interested parties) an opportunity to recommend steps in furtherance of the Council’s mission.

2. Issues for Public Comment

Interested members of the public are invited to present written comments on issues relevant to the policy-related

objectives listed below. This forum is not intended to serve as an opportunity for the public to air individual case-related complaints unless they are relevant to broader law enforcement policy issues.

The Council’s Agenda

What, if any, domestic policy-level law enforcement issues should the Council address?

What, if any, international policy-level law enforcement issues should the Council address?

Council-Industry Cooperation

In what ways can the Council assist the intellectual property industries in creating domestic and international environments conducive to enforcement of intellectual property rights?

In what ways can the Council enhance the enforcement of intellectual property rights while facilitating legitimate trade?

Are there gaps or impediments in existing law enforcement regimes that, if remedied, would enable rights-holders to better protect their intellectual property rights?

In what ways can the intellectual property industries contribute to or assist the Council in carrying out its mission of coordinating domestic and international intellectual property law enforcement-related activities?

In what ways can the Council assist U.S. Government interaction with its foreign counterparts on intellectual property law enforcement-related activities?

3. Guidelines for Written Comments

Written comments should include the name, affiliation, and title of the individual providing the written comments; and, if applicable, an indication of whether the comments offered represent the views of the respondent’s organization or are the respondent’s personal views.

Parties offering written comments should also provide their comments in machine-readable (electronic) format. Such submissions may be provided via Internet electronic mail or on a 3.5” floppy disk formatted for use in either a Macintosh or MS-DOS based computer. Machine-readable (electronic) submissions should be provided as unformatted text (*e.g.*, ASCII or plain text) or as formatted text in one of the following formats: Microsoft Word (Macintosh, DOS, or Windows versions); or WordPerfect (Macintosh, DOS, or Windows versions).

Information that is provided pursuant to this notice will be made part of a public record and may be made

available via the Internet. Therefore, parties should not submit information that they do not wish to be publicly disclosed or made electronically accessible. Parties who rely on confidential information to illustrate a point are requested to summarize, or otherwise submit the information in a way that will permit its public disclosure.

Dated: May 31, 2000.

Q. Todd Dickinson,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

John C. Keeney,

Acting Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 00–13975 Filed 6–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Sunshine Notice; Meeting

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, June 7, 2000, 2:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Room 420, East West Towers, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

STATUS: Open to the Public.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: Oral Drugs Switched From Prescription to Over-the-Counter (OTC) Status

The staff will brief the Commission on the staff’s recommendation to propose that child-resistant packaging requirements for oral prescription drugs continue when such drugs are granted over-the-counter (OTC) status by the Food and Drug Administration.

For a recorded message containing the latest agenda information, call (301) 504–0709.

CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION: Sadye E. Dunn, Office of the Secretary, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20207 (301) 504–0800.

Dated: May 31, 2000.

Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–14168 Filed 6–1–00; 2:35 pm]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–M