

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated May 19, 2000, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of June 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Beth A. Wetzel,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate III-1, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–14189 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Public Meeting on 10 CFR Part 70, Integrated Safety Analysis Guidance Document, Standard Review Plan Chapter 11 and Streamlining Licensing Reviews

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: NRC will host a public meeting in Rockville, Maryland. The meeting will provide an opportunity for discussion of: (1) The Draft Integrated Safety Analysis guidance document prepared by the industry; and (2) Chapter 11, Management Measures, in the Standard Review Plan (SRP) for fuel cycle facilities (NUREG–1520) that was made available during April 2000.

The revised SRP can be reviewed on the internet at the following website: http://techconf.llnl.gov/cgi-bin/downloader/Part_70_lib/073–0098.htm. At the end of the SRP discussion, a program developed by the Fuel Cycle staff to streamline licensing reviews and actions will be discussed.

Purpose

This meeting will provide an opportunity to discuss: (1) The Draft Integrated Safety Analysis guidance

document prepared by the industry; and (2) Chapter 11, Management Measures, in the Standard Review Plan (SRP) for fuel cycle facilities (NUREG–1520) that was made available during April 2000. At the end of the SRP discussion, a program developed by the Fuel Cycle staff to streamline licensing reviews and actions will be presented.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 8, 2000, from 9:30–4 and Friday, June 9, 2000, from 9–4. The discussion of the Draft Integrated Safety Analysis guidance document is scheduled for June 8 from 9:30–11:30 followed by the SRP Chapter 11 discussion from 1–4, and continuing on the morning of June 9, 2000, if needed. This meeting is open to the public.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the Atomic Safety Licensing Board Hearing Room at Two White Flint North, Room T–3–B–45, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. Visitor parking around the NRC building is limited; however, the meeting site is located adjacent to the White Flint Station on the Metro Red line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Persinko, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone: (301) 415–7190, e-mail axp1@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 1st day of June 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Theodore S. Sherr,

Chief, Licensing and International Safeguards Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, NMSS.

[FR Doc. 00–14190 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Panel Meeting: July 10, 2000—Idaho Falls, Idaho: Discussions of Technical Issues Related to Managing and Transporting Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste; Presentations on Human Factors Involved in Transporting the Waste and Manufacturing Waste Casks; Update on Transportation Modal Study

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100–203, Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, on Monday, July 10, 2000, the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board's (Board) Panel on the Waste Management System will meet in Idaho Falls, Idaho, to discuss technical issues related to managing spent nuclear fuel

and high-level radioactive waste, including human factors involved in transporting such waste. The Board is charged by Congress with reviewing the technical and scientific aspects of the Department of Energy's (DOE) civilian radioactive waste management program, including disposing of, packaging, and transporting the waste.

The panel meeting will be held at the Shilo Inn, 780 Lindsay Blvd., Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402–1822. The telephone number is (208) 523–0088; the fax number is (208) 522–7420. The meeting will start at 8 a.m. and will be open to the public.

An overview on transportation protocols by the DOE's Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management will begin the meeting. This discussion will be followed by updates on a transportation modal study by a representative of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and on naval spent fuel disposal and transportation by a representative of the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program. A representative of the Association of American Railroads (AAR) and a manufacturer of nuclear waste casks will then discuss human factors related to railroad operations and cask manufacturing. Next will be a presentation on performance specifications for transportation of spent fuel by another representative of the AAR. In midafternoon, the Panel will hear from state, local, and Tribal representatives on their views of technical issues related to transporting and managing spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

Time will be set aside at the end of the day for public comments. Those wanting to speak are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record. Interested parties also will have the opportunity to submit questions in writing to the Board. As time permits, the questions will be answered by one or more Board members during the meeting.

A detailed agenda will be available approximately one week before the meeting. Copies of the agenda can be requested by telephone or obtained from the Board's Web site at www.nwtrb.gov. Transcripts of the meeting will be available on the Board's Web site, via e-mail, on computer disk, and on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board staff, beginning on August 7, 2000.

A block of rooms has been reserved at the Shilo Inn. When making a reservation, please state that you are attending the Nuclear Waste Technical

Review Board meeting. For more information, contact the NWTRB, Karyn Severson, External Affairs, 2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300, Arlington, Virginia 22201-3367; (tel) 703-235-4473, (fax) 703-235-4495; (e-mail) info@nwtrb.gov.

The Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was created by Congress in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987. The Board's purpose is to evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the Secretary of Energy related to managing the disposal of the nation's spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. In the same legislation, Congress directed the DOE to characterize a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, to determine its suitability as the location of a potential repository for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

Dated: May 31, 2000.

William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 00-14103 Filed 6-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/D-194]

WTO Consultations Regarding Measures Treating Export Restraints as Subsidies

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that on May 19, 2000, Canada requested consultations with the United States under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO Agreement), regarding U.S. measures that treat a restraint on exports of a product as a subsidy to other products made using or incorporating the restricted product if the domestic price of the restricted product is affected by the restraint. The measures identified by Canada in its consultation request are those provisions of the Statement of Administrative Action (SAA) accompanying the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (H.R. 5110, H.R. Doc. 316, Vol. I, 103d Cong., 2d Sess., 656, in particular at 925-926 (1994)) and the Explanation of the Final Rules (the Explanation), U.S. Department of Commerce, Countervailing Duties, Final Rule (63

Federal Register 65,348, 65,349-51 (November 25, 1998)) interpreting section 771(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(5)), as amended by the URAA. Canada alleges that the SAA and the Explanation are inconsistent with Article 1.1, 10 (as well as Articles 11, 17 and 19, as they relate to the requirements of Article 10), and 32.1 of the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM Agreement). Canada also alleges that by maintaining these measures, the United States violates Article 32.5 of the SCM Agreement and Article XVI:4 of the WTO Agreement. Pursuant to Article 4.3 of the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding ("DSU"), consultations are to take place within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the request, or within a period otherwise mutually agreed between the United States and Canada. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

DATES: Although the USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before June 12 to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted to Sandy McKinzy, Litigation Assistant, the Monitoring and Enforcement Unit, Office of the General Counsel, Room 122, Attn: Export Restraint Dispute, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20508, (202) 395-3582.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William D. Hunter, Associate General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., (202) 395-3582.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 127(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)) requires that notice and opportunity for comment be provided after the United States receives a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel. Consistent with this obligation, but in an effort to provide additional opportunity for comment, USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding. If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings

and recommendations within six to nine months after it is established.

Major Issues Raised by Canada

In its consultation request, Canada alleges that the SAA and the Explanation are measures that treat an export restraint as a subsidy. Because Canada appears to allege that an export restraint cannot be considered to be a subsidy within the meaning of Article 1.1 of the SCM Agreement, Canada claims that the SAA and the Explanation are inconsistent with Articles 1.1, 10, 11, 17, 19 and 32.1 of the SCM Agreement. Canada also appears to allege that due to the existence of the SAA and the Explanation, the United States has failed to ensure that its laws, regulations and administrative procedures are in conformity with its WTO obligations as required by Article 32.5 of the SCM Agreement and Article XVI:4 of the WTO Agreement.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the commenter. Confidential business information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

(1) Must so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as "SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy; and

(3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room: Room 101, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street,