

ensure that the requirements of section 513 of the CWA will be applied to publicly owned treatment works receiving CWSRF assistance under those agreements in the same manner as section 513 requirements were applied before October 1, 1994.

2. The grant condition will require states to ensure that the requirements of section 513 of the CWA, and no other requirements identified in section 602(b)(6) of the CWA, will apply only to publicly-owned treatment works that are funded with funds "directly made available by" grants under title VI of the CWA, as that phrase is defined at 40 CFR 35.3105(g).

3. The grant condition will be included in all capitalization grant agreements entered into between EPA and the states under title VI of the CWA on or after January 1, 2001;

4. The Building Trades and EPA will submit this agreement to the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division, DOL, with a joint request to dismiss the administrative proceeding on the Building Trades Department's request for ruling.

5. The Building Trades will not pursue any further action on the matter hereby resolved in this settlement agreement, either before DOL or any other Federal administrative agency, or in litigation.

6. In the event that EPA does not accomplish one or more of the items specified in Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 above, the Building Trades sole remedy will be to reinstitute its request for ruling before the DOL.

7. Nothing in the terms of this agreement shall be construed to limit or modify the discretion accorded EPA by the CWA or by general principles of administrative law.

8. The undersigned representatives of each party certify that they are fully authorized by the parties they represent to bind the respective parties to the terms of this settlement agreement. This settlement agreement will be deemed to be executed when it has been signed by the representatives of the parties below.

*Agreed:*

**Gary S. Guzy,**

*General Counsel, United States  
Environmental Protection Agency, 1200  
Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C.  
20460.*

**Edward C. Sullivan,**

*President, Building and Construction Trades  
Department, AFL-CIO, American Federation  
of Labor/Congress of Industrial  
Organizations, 1155 Fifteenth Street, N.W.,  
4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005-2707.*

[FR Doc. 00-15719 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6721-1]

### Notice of Availability of Letter From EPA to the State of Wisconsin Pursuant to Section 118 of the Clean Water Act and the Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of a June 13, 2000 letter written from Region 5 of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to the State of Wisconsin finding that certain provisions adopted as part of the State's water quality standards and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits program are inconsistent with section 118(c) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and 40 CFR part 132. EPA's findings are described in the June 13, 2000 letter to Wisconsin. The letter also expresses EPA's belief that, with the exceptions of those inconsistencies, Wisconsin has otherwise adopted requirements that are consistent with the remainder of 40 CFR part 132. EPA invites public comment on all aspects of that letter, particularly on the findings in the letter and on the course of action that EPA proposes to take if the State fails to adequately address EPA's findings.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by August 7, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on EPA's findings as described in the June 13, 2000 letter may be submitted to Mery Jackson-Willis, Standards and Applied Sciences Branch (WT-15J), Water Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604. In the alternative, EPA will accept comments electronically. Comments should be sent to the following Internet E-mail address: [jackson-willis.mery@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:jackson-willis.mery@epamail.epa.gov). Electronic comments must be submitted in an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. EPA will print electronic comments in hard-copy paper form for the official administrative record. EPA will attempt to clarify electronic comments if there is an apparent error in transmission. Comments provided electronically will be considered timely if they are submitted electronically by 11:59 p.m. (Eastern time) August 7, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mery Jackson-Willis, Standards and Applied Sciences Branch (WT-15J),

Water Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, or telephone her at (312) 886-3717.

Copies of the June 13, 2000 letter described above is available upon request by contacting Ms. Jackson-Willis. That letter and materials submitted by the State in support of its submission that EPA relied upon in preparing that letter (i.e., the docket) are available for review by appointment at: EPA, Region 5, 77 W Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois (telephone 312-886-3717); and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 101 South Webster Street, Madison, Wisconsin (telephone: 608-267-2621). To access the docket material in Chicago, call Ms. Mery Jackson-Willis at (312) 886-3717 between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (central time) (Monday-Friday); in Wisconsin, call Mr. Robert Masnado at (608) 267-7662 between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (central time).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 23, 1995, EPA published the Final Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System (Guidance) pursuant to section 118(c)(2) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1268(c)(2). (March 23, 1995, 60 FR 15366). The Guidance, which was codified at 40 CFR Part 132, requires the Great Lakes States to adopt and submit to EPA for approval water quality criteria, methodologies, policies and procedures that are consistent with the Guidance. 40 CFR 132.4 & 132.5. EPA is required to approve of the State's submission within 90 days or notify the State that EPA has determined that all or part of the submission is inconsistent with the Clean Water Act or the Guidance and identify any necessary changes to obtain EPA approval. If the State fails to make the necessary changes within 90 days, EPA must publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the approved and disapproved elements of the submission and a final rule identifying the provisions of Part 132 that shall apply for discharges within the State.

EPA reviewed the submittals from Wisconsin for consistency with the Guidance in accordance with 40 CFR 131 and 132.5. EPA determined that certain parts of Wisconsin's submittal are inconsistent with the requirements of the CWA or 40 CFR Part 132 and will be subject to EPA disapproval if not corrected. On June 13, 2000, in a letter from EPA Region 5 to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, EPA described in detail those provisions determined to be inconsistent with the Guidance and subject to disapproval if

not remedied by the State. The inconsistencies relate to the following components of the State's submittal in conformance with section 118(c) of the CWA and 40 CFR Part 132: aquatic life and human health criteria, antidegradation, variances, total maximum daily loads, the procedures for evaluating the need for permit limits on specific chemicals, the procedures for evaluating the need for limits on whole effluent toxicity, water quality based effluent limits below the level of quantification, and compliance schedules. Based on our review to date, EPA believes that, with the above exceptions, the submittal by Wisconsin is consistent with the Guidance. Today, EPA is soliciting public comment regarding all aspects of its June 13, 2000 letter. In particular, EPA solicits comments on the provisions identified in the June 13, 2000 letter as being inconsistent with the CWA and the Guidance, on EPA's proposed course of action if Wisconsin fails to remedy those inconsistencies, and on EPA's belief that the remainder of Wisconsin's submittal is consistent with the Guidance. EPA's letter to Wisconsin also describes the provisions of the Guidance that EPA would identify in a final rule as applying to discharges in the Great Lakes Basin in Wisconsin if the identified inconsistencies are not addressed by the State. EPA may decide to identify in such a rule the provisions described in EPA's letter to the State, or other relevant provisions of the Guidance that EPA determines upon completion of this process to be appropriate. EPA broadly solicits comment regarding what provisions of the Guidance would be appropriate for EPA to identify in such a rule.

During the next 90 days, EPA intends to continue working with Wisconsin to address the inconsistencies identified in the June 13, 2000 letter. If Wisconsin fails to remedy any of the inconsistencies identified in the letter, EPA will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the disapproved elements and the corresponding portions of Part 132 that will apply to waters within the Great Lakes Basin in Wisconsin.

Dated: June 13, 2000.

**Francis X. Lyons,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 00-15830 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2417]

### Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

June 15, 2000.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by July 7, 2000. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Amendment of the Commission's Rules Regarding Multiple Address Systems (WT Docket No. 97-81).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3.

Subject: 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review—Review of Depreciation Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 98-137).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Subject: Local Compensation and Broadband Reporting (CC Docket No. 99-301).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Magalie Roman Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-15705 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Report No. 2418; Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

June 16, 2000.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by July 7,

2000 (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Establishment of a class A Television Service (MM Docket No. 00-10).

Number of Petitions Filed: 15.

Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Caton,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-15773 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than July 10, 2000.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:

1. Charles Asher Rosebrough, III, and Nancy King Rosebrough, both of Graham, Texas; to retain voting shares of First Graham Bancorp, Inc., Graham, Texas, and thereby retain voting shares of First National Bank, Graham, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 19, 2000.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Associate Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 00-15821 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12