Ravenwood are 40–21–09 and 94–40–16.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 4, 2000, and reply comments on or before August 21, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Clyde John Holdsworth and Ronald G. Filbeck d/b/a R.C. Broadcasting Co., 9118 N.W. 198th Street, Trimble, Missouri 64492.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–109, adopted May 31, 2000 and released June 13, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to

this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–16687 Filed 6–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-1260; MM Docket No. 00-107; RM-9891]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Florence and Comobabi, AZ

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition for rule making filed on behalf of Desert West Air Ranchers Corporation, licensee of FM Station KCDX, Channel 276C1, Florence, Arizona, requesting the substitution on Channel 276C for Channel 276C1 and modification of its authorization accordingly. Additionally, to accommodate the request, petitioner seeks the deletion of vacant reserved Channel *275A at Comobabi, Arizona, or its replacement with Channel *289A. Coordinates used for Channel 276C at Florence, Arizona, are 32-48-45 NL and 110-57-30 WL; coordinates used for Channel *289A at Comobabi, Arizona are 32-03-29 NL and 111-47-58 WL. As Florence and Comobabi are each located within 320 kilometers (199 miles) of the U.S.-Mexico border, concurrence of the Mexican government to the requested use of Channel 276C at Florence and Channel *289A at Comobabi, as specially negotiated restricted allotments is required.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before July 31, 2000, and reply comments on or before August 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Mark N. Lipp, Esq., Shook, Hardy & Bacon, 600 14th Street, NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005–2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202)

418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00-107, adopted May 31, 2000, and released June 9, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory
Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex* parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, See 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–16683 Filed 6–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 225

[DFARS Case 2000-D017]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Polyacrylonitrile Carbon Fiber

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense Procurement is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to phase out restrictions on the acquisition of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) carbon fiber from foreign sources. The restrictions will be phased out over a five-year period to minimize short-term risks to DoD and current domestic suppliers.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before September 1, 2000, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments on the proposed rule to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Amy Williams, OUSD (AT&L)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telefax (703) 602–0350.

E-mail comments submitted via the Internet should be addressed to: dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 2000–D017 in all correspondence related to this proposed rule. E-mail correspondence should cite DFARS Case 2000–D017 in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, (703) 602–0288.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This rule proposes revisions to DFARS 225.7103–1 and 225.7103–3 to phase out restrictions on the acquisition

of PAN carbon fiber from foreign sources. DoD conducted a review of the administratively imposed restrictions, evaluating DoD applications for PAN carbon fiber, key domestic and foreign suppliers, supply and demand market information, potential impacts on DoD and key suppliers, and potential national security issues. As a result, DoD is proposing to phase out the restrictions over the five-year period ending May 31, 2005. The phased elimination will minimize short-term risks to both DoD and current domestic suppliers and will allow for a gradual introduction of competition that will encourage innovation and emphasize affordability. This action is consistent with DoD's interest in promoting vigorous competition in defense markets while ensuring that industrial capabilities essential to national defense are preserved.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because there are no known domestic small business manufacturers of PAN carbon fiber. Therefore, DoD has not performed an initial regulatory flexibility analysis. DoD invites comments from small businesses and other interested parties. DoD also will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should be submitted separately and should cite DFARS Case 2000-D017.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 225

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, DoD proposes to amend 48 CFR Part 225 as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 225 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 225—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

2. Section 225.7103–1 is revised to read as follows:

§ 225.7103-1 Policy.

DoD has imposed restrictions on the acquisition of PAN carbon fiber from foreign sources. DoD is phasing out the restrictions over the five-year period ending May 31, 2005. Contractors with contracts that contain the clause at 252.225–7022 must use U.S. or Canadian manufacturers or producers for all PAN carbon fiber requirements.

3. Section 225.7103–3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 225.7103-3 Contract clause.

Use the clause at 252.225–7022, Restriction on Acquisition of Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) Carbon Fiber, in solicitations and contracts for major systems as follows:

(a) In solicitations and contracts issued on or before May 31, 2003, if—

- (1) The system is not yet in production (milestone III as defined in DoD 5000.2–R, Mandatory Procedures for Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPS) and Major Automated Information System (MAIS) Acquisition Programs); or
- (2) The clause was used in prior program contracts.
- (b) In solicitations and contracts issued during the period beginning June 1, 2003, and ending May 31, 2005, if the system is not yet in engineering and manufacturing development (milestone II as defined in DoD 5000.2–R).

[FR Doc. 00–16639 Filed 6–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Parts 242 and 252 [DFARS Case 2000–D003]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Material Management and Accounting Systems

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense Procurement is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to revise the criteria for determining when review of a contractor's material management and accounting system (MMAS) is needed. The rule also replaces the current requirement for an MMAS "demonstration" with a requirement for

the contractor to provide adequate

evidence that it has conducted internal audits to ensure compliance with its MMAS policies, procedures, and operating instructions.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before September 1, 2000, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments on the proposed rule to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Mr. Rick Layser, OUSD (AT&L) DP (DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telefax (703) 602–0350.

E-mail comments submitted via the Internet should be addressed to dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 2000–D003 in all correspondence related to this proposed rule. E-mail correspondence should cite DFARS Case 2000–D003 in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Rick Layser, (703) 602–0293.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This proposed rule makes the following changes to the DFARS:

- 1. Revises the prescription for use of the clause at 252.242–7004, Material Management and Accounting System.
- a. The DFARS presently requires inclusion of the clause in fixed-price contracts with progress payments or other Government financing, regardless of whether the financing provisions are based on cost. The proposed rule requires inclusion of the clause in only those fixed-price contracts that contain progress payments based on cost or other financing provisions based on cost.
- b. The DFARS presently exempts small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofit organizations from the major MMAS requirements of disclosure, demonstration, and maintenance, but still requires inclusion of the clause in contracts with these entities. The proposed rule eliminates the requirement for inclusion of the clause in contracts with small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofit organizations.
- 2. Revises the clause at 252.242–7004 to replace the requirement for an MMAS "demonstration" with a requirement for the contractor to have policies, procedures, and operating instructions that adequately describe its MMAS, and to provide adequate evidence that it has conducted internal audits to ensure compliance with its MMAS policies, procedures, and operating instructions.