Roundtable will meet on July 19, 2000 to discuss the Restoration Reserve policy, 2001 project selection process, environmental water program, and other topics. The Amendments Subcommittee will also meet on July 19, 2000 to discuss proposed contract modifications for several ongoing ecosystem restoration projects. These meetings are open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the Ecosystem Roundtable and Amendments Subcommittee or may file written statements for consideration.

DATES: The BDAC's Ecosystem Roundtable meeting will be held from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. on Wednesday, July 19, 2000. The Ecosystem Roundtable Amendments Subcommittee meeting will be held from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. on Wednesday, July 19, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The Ecosystem Roundtable and Amendments Subcommittee will meet at the Resources Building, Room 1404–17, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Halverson Martin, CALFED Bay-Delta Program, at (916) 657–2666. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Office at (916) 653–6952 or TDD (916) 653– 6934 at least one week prior to the meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (Bay-Delta system) is a critically important part of California's natural environment and economy. In recognition of the serious problems facing the region and the complex resource management decisions that must be made, the State of California and the Federal government are working together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta system. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta system are working together as CALFED to provide direction and oversight for the

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop long-term solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta system related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan that addresses all of the resource problems. This effort, the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning

process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long-term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). The BDAC provides advice CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff. BDAC has established a subcommittee called the Ecosystem Roundtable to provide input on annual workplans to implement ecosystem restoration projects and programs.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: June 29, 2000.

Kirk C. Rodgers,

Deputy Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 00–17015 Filed 7–5–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310–MN–M**

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-828 (Final)]

Bulk Acetylsalicylic Acid (Aspirin) From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports from China of bulk acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin), provided for in subheadings 2918.22.10 and 3003.90.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be sold

in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The Commission further determines that it would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation.

Background

The Commission instituted this investigation effective May 28, 1999, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Rhodia, Inc., Cranbury, NJ. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by the Department of Commerce that imports of bulk aspirin from China were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of February 4, 2000 (65 FR 5659). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 18, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on June 30, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3314 (June 2000), entitled Bulk Acetylsalicylic Acid (Aspirin) from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–828 (Final).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 29, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–17080 Filed 7–5–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No 337-TA-424]

Certain Cigarettes and Packaging Thereof; Notice of Commission Determinations To Extend the Deadline for Determining Whether To Review an Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337 and To Extend the Target Date for Completing the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

 $^{^{1}}$ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR \S 207.2(f)).

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined: (1) To extend the deadline for determining whether to review the final initial determination (ID) on violation by three weeks, or until August 28, 2000, and (2) to extend the target date for completing the investigation by three weeks, or until October 16, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shara L. Aranoff, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3090, e-mail saranoff@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this trademark-based investigation on September 16, 1999, based on a complaint filed by Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. alleging violations of section 337 by reason of (a) infringement of 11 federally registered U.S. trademarks; (b) unfair competition under the Lanham Act; (c) improper importation of products under the Lanham Act; and (d) dilution of the registered trademarks.

On June 22, 2000, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued her final ID on the merits in this investigation, finding a violation of section 337. The ALJ also issued her recommended determination on remedy and bonding. The Commission has determined to extend its deadline for determining whether to review the final ID from August 7, 2000, to August 28, 2000, and to extend the target date for completion of the investigation from September 25, 2000, to October 16, 2000.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and rules 210.42(h)(2) and 210.51(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)(2) and 210.51(a)).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-17079 Filed 7-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-464 (Review)]

Sparklers From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 1999 (64 FR 35689) and determined on October 1, 1999 that it would conduct a full review (64 FR 55960, October 15, 1999). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on February 16, 2000 (65 FR 7892). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 11, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on July 10, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3317 (July 2000), entitled Sparklers from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–464 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 28, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–17077 Filed 7–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-678-679 and 681-682 (Review)]

Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined that these grouped reviews are extraordinarily complicated and has decided to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B), (C)(iv). For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202-205-3187), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On April 6, 2000, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (65 FR 20834, April 18, 2000). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).