

On June 15, 2000, the petitioners withdrew their request for a review of KISCO. Section 351.213(d)(1) of our regulations states that:

The Secretary will rescind an administrative review under this section, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of the publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.

Section 351.213(d)(1) of our regulations further provides that we may extend that deadline, and it is our practice to do so, where it is reasonable, i.e., where the Department has not expended considerable time and resources, and where it does not appear that the procedures are being abused. *See, e.g., Fresh Kiwifruit From New Zealand: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Revoke Order, and Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 45508 45509 (August 20, 1999); *see also, Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27317 (May 19, 1997). In this review, the petitioners withdrew their request for review after the 90-day deadline. However, since the petitioners' withdrawal was made before the review had progressed beyond a point where it would be unreasonable to rescind, and because KISCO supports the petitioners' request for withdrawal, we find that rescinding the review with respect to KISCO would not prejudice any party to the proceeding and would, therefore, be reasonable. *See, e.g., Glycine From the People's Republic of China; Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 54406 56607 (October 22, 1998). Therefore, in accordance with section 351.213(d)(1) of our regulations, we have rescinded the review with respect to KISCO (*see, Memorandum to Susan Kuhbach of June 27, 2000 on Partial Rescission of Administrative Review*).

This notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: July 11, 2000.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 00-18121 Filed 7-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-813]

#### Certain Preserved Mushrooms from India: Notice of Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a timely request from the petitioners<sup>1</sup>, on March 30, 2000, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India with respect to Agro Dutch Foods, Ltd., Alpine Biotech, Ltd., Mandeep Mushrooms, Ltd., Hindustan Lever Limited (formerly Ponds India, Ltd.), Saptarishi Agro Industries, Ltd., Techtran Agro Industries, Ltd., Transchem, Ltd., Premier Mushroom Farms, Flex Foods, Ltd., Weikfield Agro Products, Ltd., Dinesh Agro Products, Ltd., and Himalya International, covering the period August 5, 1998, through January 31, 2000.

*See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 65 FR 16875 (March 30, 2000). On June 22, 2000, the petitioners timely withdrew their request for review of the following companies: Alpine Biotech, Ltd., Mandeep Mushrooms, Ltd., Saptarishi Agro Industries, Ltd., Transchem, Ltd., Premier Mushroom Farms, Flex Foods, Ltd., and Dinesh Agro Products, Ltd.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department of Commerce is now rescinding this review, in part, as to the companies named above because the petitioners have withdrawn their request for review and no other interested parties have requested a review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 18, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Goldberger or Dinah McDougall, Import Administration,

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners are the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade which includes the American Mushroom Institute and the following domestic companies: L.K. Bowman, Inc., Nottingham, PA; Modern Mushrooms Farms, Inc., Toughkernamon, PA; Monterrey Mushrooms, Inc., Watsonville, CA; Mount Laurel Canning Corp., Temple, PA; Mushrooms Canning Company, Kennett Square, PA; Southwood Farms, Hockessin, DE; Sunny Dell Foods, Inc., Oxford, PA; United Canning Corp., North Lima, OH.

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

##### Background

On February 14, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 7348) a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India for the period August 5, 1998, through January 31, 2000. On February 29, 2000, the petitioners requested an administrative review of the above-referenced antidumping duty order for the period August 5, 1998, through January 31, 2000, for the following companies: Agro Dutch Foods, Ltd., Alpine Biotech, Ltd., Mandeep Mushrooms, Ltd., Hindustan Lever Limited (formerly Ponds India, Ltd.), Saptarishi Agro Industries, Ltd., Techtran Agro Industries, Ltd., Transchem, Ltd., Premier Mushroom Farms, Flex Foods, Ltd., Weikfield Agro Products, Ltd., Dinesh Agro Products, Ltd., and Himalya International. On March 30, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India with respect to these companies (65 FR 16875).

##### Rescission in Part of Review

On June 22, 2000, the petitioners timely withdrew their request for review with respect to the following companies: Alpine Biotech, Ltd., Mandeep Mushrooms, Ltd., Saptarishi Agro Industries, Ltd., Transchem, Ltd., Premier Mushroom Farms, Flex Foods, Ltd., and Dinesh Agro Products, Ltd. Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary will permit a party that requests a review to withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, the petitioners have withdrawn their request for review within the 90-day

period. No other interested party requested a review with respect to the named companies and we have received no other submissions regarding petitioners' withdrawal of their request for review. Therefore, we are rescinding, in part, this review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from India as to the companies name above.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 11, 2000.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-18120 Filed 7-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Availability of Seat for the Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** National Marine Sanctuary Program (NMSP), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce (DOC).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for applications.

**SUMMARY:** The Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary (HIHWNMS or Sanctuary) is seeking applicants for the vacant Commercial Shipping seat on its Sanctuary Advisory Council (Council). A member is chosen based upon his or her particular expertise and experience in relation to the seat for which the individual is applying; community and professional affiliations; philosophy regarding the conservation and management of marine resources; and the length of residence in the area affected by the Sanctuary. The applicant who is chosen as a member should expect to serve a 2-year term, pursuant to the Council's Charter.

**DATES:** Applications are due by July 28, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Application kits may be obtained from Kellie Araki at 6700 Kalanianaʻole Hwy., Suite 104, Honolulu, Hawaii 96825. Completed applications should be sent to the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kellie Araki at (808) 397-2651, or [kellie.araki@noaa.gov](mailto:kellie.araki@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The HIHWNMS SAC was originally established in March 1996 (the current SAC was selected in July 1998) and has a broad representation consisting of 25 members. The SAC represents the coordination link between the Sanctuary and the state and federal management agencies, Native Hawaiians, user groups, researchers, educators, policy makers, and other various groups that help to focus efforts and attention on the humpback whale and its habitat.

The SAC functions in an advisory capacity to the Sanctuary Manager and is instrumental in helping produce annual operating plans and reports by identifying education, outreach, research, long-term monitoring, resource protection and revenue enhancement priorities. The SAC works in concert with the Sanctuary Manager by keeping him or her informed about issues of concern throughout the Sanctuary, offering recommendations on specific issues, and aiding the Manager in achieving the goals of the Sanctuary program within the context of Hawaii's marine programs and policies.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. Section 1431 *et seq.*

Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog Number 11.429 Marine Sanctuary Program)

Dated: July 12, 2000.

**Capt. Ted I. Lillestolen,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator for Ocean Services and Coastal Zone Management.*

[FR Doc. 00-18076 Filed 7-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Inland Waterways Users Board

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice or request for nominations.

**SUMMARY:** Section 302 of Public Law (PL) 99-662 established the Inland Waterways Users board. The Board is an independent Federal advisory committee. Its 11 members are appointed by the Secretary of the Army. This notice is to solicit nominations for six (6) appointments or reappointments to two-year terms that will begin January 1, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), Department of the Army, Washington, D.C. 20310-0103. Attention: Inland Waterways Users Board Nominations Committee.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Joseph W. Westphal, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), (703) 697-8986.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The selection, service, and appointment of Board members are covered by provisions of Section 302 of PL 99-662. The substance of those provisions is as follows:

a. Selection. Members are to be selected from the spectrum of commercial carriers and shippers using the inland and intracoastal waterways, to represent geographical regions, and to be representative of waterborne commerce as determined by commodity ton-miles statistics.

b. Service. The Board is required to meet at least semi-annually to develop and make recommendations to the Secretary of the Army on waterways construction and rehabilitation priorities and spending levels for commercial navigation improvements, and report its recommendations annually to the Secretary and Congress.

c. Appointment. The operation of the Board and appointment of its members are subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (PL 92-463, as amended) and departmental implementing regulations. Members serve without compensation but their expenses due to Board activities are reimbursable. The considerations specified in section 302 for the selection of the Board members, and certain terms used therein, have been interpreted, supplemented, or otherwise clarified as follows:

#### (1) Carriers and Shippers

The law uses the terms "primary users and shippers." Primary users has been interpreted to mean the providers of transportation services on inland waterways such as barge or towboat operators. Shippers has been interpreted to mean the purchasers of such services for the movement of commodities they own or control. Individuals are appointed to the Board, but they must be either a carrier or shipper, or represent a firm that is a carrier or shipper. For that purpose a trade or regional association is neither a shipper or primary user.

#### (2) Geographical Representation

The law specifies "varous" regions. For the purpose of selecting Board members, the waterways subjected to fuel taxes and described in PL 95-502, as amended, have been aggregated into six regions. They are (1) the Upper Mississippi River and its tributaries above the mouth of the Ohio; (2) the Lower Mississippi River and its