

**RETRIEVABILITY:**

Records are retrieved by organizational levels, name of employee, Social Security Number, office symbol, audit activity codes, or any other combination of these identifiers.

**SAFEGUARDS:**

Automated records are protected by restricted access procedures. Access to records is strictly limited to authorized officials with a bona fide need for the records.

**RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

Records are retained indefinitely.

**SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:**

Chief, Information Technology Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 4075 Park Avenue, Memphis, TN 38111-7492.

**NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:**

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Chief, Information Technology Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 4075 Park Avenue, Memphis, TN 38111-7492.

Individuals must furnish name, Social Security Number, approximate date of record, and geographic area in which consideration was requested for record to be located and identified. Official mailing addresses are published as an appendix to the DCAA's compilation of systems notices.

**RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Chief, Information Technology Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 4075 Park Avenue, Memphis, TN 38111-7492.

Individuals must furnish name, Social Security Number, approximate date of record, and geographic area in which consideration was requested for record to be located and identified.

**CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**

DCAA's rules for accessing records, for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in DCAA Regulation 5410.10; 32 CFR part 317; or may be obtained from the system manager.

**RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Individual employees, supervisors, audit reports and working papers.

**EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

None.

\* \* \* \* \*

**DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY OFFICES**

*(Alphabetically by State and City)*

**California**

DCAA Western Regional Office, Attention: RCI-4, 16700 Valley View Avenue, Suite 300, La Mirada, CA 90638-5833.

**Georgia**

DCAA Eastern Regional Office, Attention: RCI-1, 2400 Lake Park Drive, Suite 300, Smyrna, GA 30080-7644.

**Massachusetts**

DCAA Northeastern Regional Office, Attention: RCI-2, 59 Composite Way, Suite 300, Lowell, MA 01851-5150.

**Pennsylvania**

DCAA Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, Attention: RCI-6, 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1000, Philadelphia, PA 19106-4498.

**Texas**

DCAA Central Regional Office, Attention: RCI-3, 6321 East Campus Circle Drive, Irving, TX 75063-2745.

**Virginia**

DCAA Headquarters, Attention: CMR, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2135, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6219.

[FR Doc. 00-19858 Filed 8-4-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-F**

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION****Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 6, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Wai-Sinn Chan, Acting Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Wai-Sinn\_L. Chan@omb.eop.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires

that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: August 1, 2000.

**John Tressler,**

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

**Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services**

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement.

*Title:* Section 704 Annual Performance Report (Parts I and II).

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs; Not-for-profit institutions.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:* Responses: 336; Burden Hours: 11,760.

*Abstract:* This form accomplishes the Statutory mandate for data collection in Title VII of Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (Act) and implementing regulations. These data collection requirements are found in sections 13, 706, 721, and 725 of the Act and 34 CFR part 366. In meeting these statutory and regulatory requirements the 704 Reports serve as: annual performance reports and are a basis for further funding grantees submitting the reports; a report of the training and technical assistance survey; the basis for mandatory on-site compliance reviews conducted by the Education Department on 15% of Centers for Independent Living (CILs) funded through the CIL program; an annual compliance self-evaluation with standards and indicators; and as a

source for an Annual Report to Congress.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO\_IMG\_Issues@ed.gov or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request. Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Sheila Carey at (202) 708-6287 or via her internet address [Sheila\\_Carey@ed.gov](mailto:Sheila_Carey@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 00-19876 Filed 8-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Record of Decision for the Savannah River Site Spent Nuclear Fuel Management Final Environmental Impact Statement, Aiken, SC

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy (DOE).

**ACTION:** Record of decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Savannah River Site Spent Nuclear Fuel Management Environmental Impact Statement (SRS SNF Management EIS, DOE/EIS-0279, March 2000) considered alternative ways of managing spent nuclear fuel (SNF) at DOE's Savannah River Site in Aiken, South Carolina. Based on that analysis, DOE has decided to implement the Preferred Alternative identified in the EIS. As part of the Preferred Alternative, DOE will develop and demonstrate the Melt and Dilute technology to manage about 97 percent by volume and 60 percent by mass of the aluminum-based SNF considered in the EIS (48 metric tons of heavy metal (MTHM) aluminum-based SNF).

Following development and demonstration of the technology (including characterization and qualification of the Melt and Dilute product to meet anticipated repository acceptance criteria), DOE will begin detailed design, construction, testing, and startup of a Treatment and Storage Facility (TSF). The SNF will remain in existing wet storage until treated and placed in dry storage in the TSF. The TSF will combine the transfer and treatment (Melt and Dilute) functions, to

be constructed in the existing 105-L building, with a new dry storage facility to be constructed in L Area near the 105-L building.

DOE also has decided to use Conventional Processing (*i.e.*, the existing canyons) to stabilize about 3 percent by volume and 40 percent by mass of the aluminum-based SNF. If the TSF becomes available before these materials have been stabilized, DOE may use the Melt and Dilute technology rather than Conventional Processing for their stabilization. DOE has also decided to continue to store small quantities of higher actinide materials until DOE determines their final disposition.

In addition, DOE will ship approximately 20 MTHM of non-aluminum-based SNF from the SRS to the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL). If DOE identifies any imminent health and safety concerns involving any aluminum-based SNF before the TSF becomes available, DOE will use Conventional Processing to stabilize the material of concern.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the SRS SNF Management EIS and this Record of Decision may be obtained by calling a toll free number (1-800-881-7292), sending an e-mail request to "[nepa@srs.gov](mailto:nepa@srs.gov)," or by mailing a request to: Andrew Grainger, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Compliance Officer, Savannah River Operations Office, Department of Energy, Building 742A, Room 185, Aiken, South Carolina 29808. The final SRS SNF Management EIS (including the 33-page Summary) and this Record of Decision are available on the Office of Environmental Management's web site, <http://www.em.doe.gov>, and on DOE's NEPA web site, <http://tis.eh.doe.gov/nepa/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Questions concerning the SRS SNF management program can be submitted by calling 1-800-881-7292, mailing them to Mr. Andrew Grainger at the above address, or sending them electronically to the Savannah River Operations e-mail address, "[nepa@srs.gov](mailto:nepa@srs.gov)."

For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20585, 202-586-4600 or leave a message at 1-800-472-2756.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

DOE previously completed the Interim Management of Nuclear Materials (IMNM) EIS (DOE/EIS-0220, October 1995), that included the management of 195 MTHM of aluminum-based SNF at the SRS. The primary purpose of the actions considered in the IMNM EIS was to correct or eliminate potential health and safety vulnerabilities related to some of the methods used to store nuclear materials (including SNF) at the SRS.

After completion of the IMNM EIS, DOE decided to stabilize about 175 MTHM of the 195 MTHM of aluminum-based SNF that was in storage at the SRS in 1995. DOE also decided the remaining 20 MTHM (out of 195 MTHM) of aluminum-based SNF at SRS was "stable" (*i.e.*, the SNF likely could be safely stored for about 10 more years, pending decisions on final disposition). That 20 MTHM of aluminum-based SNF is included in the SNF inventory considered in the SRS SNF Management EIS. In addition, the SRS SNF Management EIS considered approximately 20 MTHM of other SNF that is to be managed at the SRS as a result of prior NEPA analyses, as described below.

In 1995, DOE undertook a decision-making process to consolidate SNF across its nuclear facility complex. The Record of Decision (60 FR 28680, June 1, 1995; amended 61 FR 9441, March 8, 1996) for the Programmatic Spent Nuclear Fuel Management and Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Programs EIS (DOE/EIS-0203, April 1995) identified three facilities within the DOE complex where SNF should be managed. The facilities were chosen based on fuel types.

DOE decided that existing Hanford production reactor SNF would remain at Hanford, aluminum-based SNF would be consolidated at the SRS, and non-aluminum-based SNF would be consolidated at the INEEL. As a result, DOE will transfer about 20 MTHM of non-aluminum-based SNF from the SRS to INEEL and about 5 MTHM of aluminum-based SNF from INEEL to the SRS. Thus, the SRS SNF Management EIS evaluated the impacts of preparing 20 MTHM of non-aluminum-based SNF for shipment from the SRS to INEEL. The SRS SNF Management EIS also evaluated the management and treatment options for the 5 MTHM of aluminum-based SNF due to be received from INEEL.

In 1996, DOE issued a Record of Decision for the Final EIS on a Proposed