

identifying the types of customers which purchase GCCC's cement through the bulk channel of distribution and the bag channel of distribution for the United States and Mexico. These lists were identical to the lists of customer categories provided by CDC in its questionnaire response for the 1998/1999 administrative review of the order currently in progress. In addition, in CDC's February 9, 2000, changed-circumstances questionnaire response at 16, CDC states that GCCC has the identical customer base and supplier relationships as CDC.

During verification, the Department verifiers also examined the list of product codes for CDC prior to the reorganization and the product codes for GCCC after the reorganization and observed that there were no changes. The Department examined the November 1999 cost-of-production worksheets for CDC and tied the consolidated ending-inventory values to the beginning GCCC December 1999 cost-of-production worksheet. The GCCC inventory values tied to the monthly trial balances by plant.

As discussed above, CDC has demonstrated that it transferred its management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer base to the newly created company GCCC. As such, based on our analysis of information on the record, we preliminarily determine that GCCC is the successor-in-interest to CDC.

#### Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 25 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing, if one is requested, should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed-circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of

the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: August 11, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-20983 Filed 8-16-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-836]

#### Polyvinyl Alcohol From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On June 7, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol from Japan. The review covers Kuraray Co., Ltd., a manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise. The period of review is May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999.

We received no comments from interested parties on our preliminary results. As a result, we have made no changes to the margin calculation. Accordingly, the final results of this administrative review do not differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for Kuraray Co., Ltd. is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 17, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Barbara Wojcik-Betancourt or Brian Smith, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-1766, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the

Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2000).

#### Background

The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Kuraray Co., Ltd. (Kuraray). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999.

On June 7, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the first antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) from Japan (65 FR 36112).

We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. Neither the petitioner nor Kuraray submitted comments. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

#### Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is PVA. PVA is a dry, white to cream-colored, water-soluble synthetic polymer. This product consists of polyvinyl alcohols hydrolyzed in excess of 85 percent, whether or not mixed or diluted with defoamer or boric acid. Excluded from this review are PVAs covalently bonded with acetoacetylate, carboxylic acid, or sulfonic acid uniformly present on all polymer chains in a concentration equal to or greater than two mole percent, and PVAs covalently bonded with silane uniformly present on all polymer chains in a concentration equal to or greater than one-tenth of one mole percent. PVA in fiber form is not included in the scope of this review.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under subheading 3905.30.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Since neither party submitted comments for consideration in the final results, our final results remain unchanged from the preliminary results.

#### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margin percentage exists for Kuraray for the period May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Kuraray .....	2.07

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 C.F.R. 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., at or above 0.50 percent).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of the administrative review for all shipments of PVA from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Kuraray will be the rate shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 77.49 percent. This rate is the "All Others" rate from the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 11, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-20981 Filed 8-16-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-201-504]

#### Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results in Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 17, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dinah McDougall at (202) 482-3773, or Rebecca Trainor at (202) 482-4007, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the thirteenth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico, which covers the period December 1, 1998, through November 30, 1999.

**APPLICABLE STATUTE:** Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2000).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. The Department

finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results in this thirteenth administrative review of porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico within this time limit due to the need to issue verification reports and to conduct numerous margin programming changes resulting from verification findings prior to the preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time for completion of the preliminary results of this review until October 16, 2000.

Dated: August 14, 2000.

**Louis Apple,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-20984 Filed 8-16-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

#### Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review for Two Manufacturers/Exporters: Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On June 30, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China for exports by Mei Wei Food Industry Co., Ltd. and Tak Fat Trading Co. entered during the period May 7, 1998, through January 31, 2000 (65 FR 40609), on an expedited basis.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results and received comments from the respondents, and rebuttal comments from the petitioners. Based on our analysis of the comments received, the final results are unchanged from the preliminary results. The dumping margin applicable to the exports under review is the PRC-wide rate listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review." These results do not cover exports of certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China by China Processed Food Import & Export Co. and Gerber Food (Yunnan) Co., Ltd. during the