

INQUIRY.” (See 43 CFR 2.60 for procedures on making inquiries).

#### RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

For copies of your records write to the pertinent System Managers at the locations above. The request envelope and letter should be clearly marked “PRIVACY ACT REQUEST FOR ACCESS.” A request for access must meet the content requirements of 43 CFR 2.63. If copies are sought, indicate the maximum you are willing to pay (43 CFR 2.63(b)(4)).

#### CONTESTING RECORDS PROCEDURES:

Use the same procedures as the “Records Access Procedures” section above.

#### RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Customers, accounting records, standard finance office documents, and various paper and electronic systems where charges and payment are recorded.

#### SYSTEM EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:

None.

[FR Doc. 00-140 Filed 1-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Bureau of Land Management

[NM-952-00-1420-BJ]

#### Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey; New Mexico

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey described below are scheduled to be officially filed in the New Mexico State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Santa Fe, New Mexico, (30) thirty calendar days from the date of this publication.

#### New Mexico Principal Meridian, New Mexico

- T. 29 N., R. 10 W., approved December 9, 1999, for Group 954 NM.
- T. 29 N., R. 11 W., approved December 9, 1999, for Group 954 NM.
- T. 30 N., R. 8 W., approved December 9, 1999, for Group 954 NM.
- T. 32 N., R. 10 W., approved December 9, 1999, for Group 966 NM.

If a protest against a survey, as shown on any of the above plats is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed and

become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

A person or party who wishes to protest against any of these surveys must file a written protest with the NM State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest.

A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the protest is filed. The above-listed plats represent dependent resurveys, surveys, and subdivisions.

These plats will be available for inspection in the New Mexico State Office, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87502-0115. Copies may be obtained from this office upon payment of \$1.10 per sheet.

Dated: December 21, 1999.

**James D. Claflin,**

*Acting Chief Cadastral Surveyor for New Mexico.*

[FR Doc. 00-196 Filed 1-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-M

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Derby Dam Fish Passage, Newlands Project, Nevada

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental document (environmental assessment or environmental impact statement) and notice of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), proposes to prepare an environmental document for the purpose of evaluating options to provide fish passage at Derby Dam, a facility of the Newlands Project located on the Truckee River approximately 20 miles east of Reno, Nevada. The environmental document will evaluate the effects of the construction and implementation of fish passage at Derby Dam.

At present it is not clear whether the scope of the action and anticipated project impacts will require preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) instead of an environmental assessment (EA). However, to ensure the timely and appropriate level of NEPA compliance and to limit potential future delays to the project schedule,

Reclamation is proceeding as if the project impacts would require preparation of an EA. Reclamation will reevaluate the need for an EIS after obtaining written and oral comments on the project scope, alternatives and environmental impacts and after Reclamation's evaluation of potential impacts of the proposed project. Reclamation will publish a notice of change if a decision is made to prepare an EIS rather than an EA. However, the scoping process to be conducted will suffice for either course of action.

There are no known Indian Trust Asset or environmental justice issues associated with the proposed action. The project is designed to benefit fishes of both Pyramid Lake and the lower Truckee River and is anticipated to have a beneficial impact for this Indian Trust Asset.

**DATES:** Four scoping meetings will be held to solicit comments from interested parties to assist in determining the scope of the environmental analysis and to identify the significant issues related to this proposed action. The meeting dates are:

Monday, February 7, 2000, at 4 p.m., in Fernley, NV  
 Monday, February 7, 2000, at 7 p.m., in Fallon, NV  
 Tuesday, February 8, 2000, at 7 p.m., in Nixon, NV  
 Wednesday, February 9, 2000, at 7 p.m., in Reno, NV

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting locations are as follows:

Fernley: Fernley Town Complex, 595 Silver Lace Blvd., Suite 117, Fernley, NV 89408; telephone: (775) 575-5455  
 Fallon: Fallon Convention Center, 100 Campus Way, Fallon, NV 89406; telephone: (775) 423-4556  
 Nixon: Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe Council Chambers, 208 Capital Hill (Highway 447) Nixon, NV 89424; telephone: (775) 574-1000  
 Reno: Bartley Ranch Park Interpretive Center, 6000 Bartley Ranch Road, Reno, NV 89511; telephone: (775) 828-6612

Written comments on the scope of the environmental documents should be submitted by February 29, 2000, to Caryn Hunt, Environmental Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, Lahontan Basin Area Office, Attention: LO-450, P.O. Box 640, Carson City, NV 89702.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by

law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Caryn Huntt or Steve Alcorn, Deputy Area Manager, telephone and TDD: (775) 882-3436, Lahontan Basin Area Office, Attention: LO-450 or LO-101, P.O. Box 640, Carson City, NV 89702.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Derby Dam was constructed in 1903 through 1905 and is located on the Truckee River approximately 20 miles east of Reno, Nevada. The dam is an integral part of the Newlands Project and diverts Truckee River water into the Truckee Canal. Water from the Truckee Canal is used for irrigation of the Truckee Division lands along the canal and for supplemental storage at Lahontan Reservoir on the Carson River. Water stored at Lahontan Reservoir is used to irrigate land in the Carson Division of the Newlands Project.

Historically, the endangered cui-ui and threatened Lahontan cutthroat trout (LCT) species inhabited Pyramid Lake and migrated upstream in the Truckee River to spawn. Water diversions, commercial fishing, construction of dams, and other changes in the watershed impacted the ability of both species to spawn in the river. Currently three structures impede fish movements between Pyramid Lake and the lower Truckee River. Fish must negotiate Marble Bluff Dam, immediately upstream of the lake; Numana Dam, 8.3 miles upstream from the lake; and Derby Dam about 34 miles upstream from Pyramid Lake. Marble Bluff Dam and Numana Dam have fish passage facilities. A fish ladder was installed at Derby Dam in 1908, but the ladder is no longer present. Providing fish passage at Derby Dam will allow access for fish species, including LCT and possibly cui-ui, to habitat upstream of Derby Dam.

The purpose of the Derby Dam Fish Passage Project is to provide fish species with access to habitat upstream and downstream of Derby Dam, consistent with existing Derby Dam operations, Pyramid Lake and Newlands Project water rights, and flood control operations at Derby Dam. This project would not alter the operations of Derby

Dam during flood or non-flood conditions, or change the 1997 adjusted Newlands Project Operating Criteria and Procedures. The project would enhance the Federal Government's ability to meet Federal trust responsibilities in the Truckee River basin.

**Special Services**

Persons requiring any special services should contact Caryn Huntt at (775) 882-3436. Please notify Ms. Huntt as far in advance of the particular meeting as possible, but no later than 3 working days prior to the meeting to enable Reclamation to secure the services. If a request cannot be honored, the requester will be notified.

Dated: December 29, 1999.

**Lester A. Snow,**

*Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 00-132 Filed 1-4-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-94-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**United States v. Bell Atlantic Corporation et al.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16(b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement has been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Bell Atlantic Corporation et al.*, Civil Action 99-1119 (LFO). On December 9, 1999, the United States filed a Supplemental Complaint alleging that the proposed merger of GTE Corporation and Bell Atlantic Corporation and the proposed partnership between Vodafone AirTouch Plc and Bell Atlantic Corporation would lessen competition in the markets for wireless mobile telephone services in 13 major trading areas, and 96 metropolitan statistical areas and rural service areas in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Supplemental Complaint, requires defendants to divest one of their two wireless telephone businesses in each market where these businesses overlap geographically. The proposed Final Judgment supersedes the proposed decree filed in May 1999 which predated Bell Atlantic Corporation's September 1999 partnership agreement with Vodafone AirTouch Plc and therefore related solely to the merger of

Bell Atlantic Corporation and GTE Corporation. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice in Washington, DC in Room 200, 325 Seventh Street, NW, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. These materials are also located on the Antitrust Division's web site ([www.usdoj.gov/atr/cases.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/atr/cases.html)).

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Donald J. Russell, Chief, Telecommunications Task Force, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW, Room 8000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514-5621).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations.*

**Stipulation**

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

(1) The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in this Court.

(2) The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16, and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

(3) Defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, or until expiration of time for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though the same were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

(4) This Stipulation shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended proposed Final Judgment agreed upon in writing by the parties and submitted to the Court.