Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than October 23, 2000.

Dated: August 8, 2000.

John H. King,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-21486 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importation of Controlled Substances; **Notice of Application**

Pursuant to Section 1008 of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 958(i)), the Attorney General shall, prior to issuing a registration under this Section to a bulk manufacturer of a controlled substance in Schedule I or II and prior to issuing a regulation under Section 1002(a) authorizing the importation of such a substance, provide manufacturers holding registrations for the bulk manufacture of the substance an opportunity for a hearing.

Therefore, in accordance with Section 1301.34 of Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), notice is hereby given that on February 25, 2000, Roxane Laboratories, Inc., 1809 Wilson Road, P.O. Box 16532, Columbus, Ohio 43216-6532, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as an importer of cocaine (9041), a basic class of controlled substance listed in

Schedule II.

The firm plans to import cocaine to manufacture topical solutions for distribution to customers.

Any manufacturer holding, or applying for, registration as a bulk manufacturer of this basic class of controlled substance may file written comments on or objections to the application described above and may, at the same time, file a written request for a hearing on such application in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.43 in such form as prescribed by 21 CFR 1316.47.

Any such comments, objections or requests for a hearing may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.

20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than September 22, 2000.

This procedure is to be conducted simultaneously with and independent of the procedure described in 21 CFR 1301.34(b), (c), (d), (e), and (f). As noted in a previous notice at 40 FR 43745-46 (September 23, 1975), all applicants for registration to import the basic class of any controlled substance in Schedule I or II are and will continue to be required to demonstrate to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration that the requirements for such registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 958(a), 21 U.S.C. 823(a), and 21 CFR 1301.34(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) are satisfied.

Dated: August 14, 2000.

John H. King,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-21484 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Registration

By Notice dated April 25, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 23, 2000, (65 FR 33355), Sigma Chemical Company, Subsidiary of Sigma-Aldrich Company, 3500 Dekalb Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63118, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Cathinone (1235)	
N-Hydroxy-3,4- methylenedioxyamphetamine	1
(7402).	

Drug	Schedule
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-	1
ethylamphetamine (7404).	
3,4-	I
Methylenedioxymethampheta-	
mine (7405).	
4-Methoxyamphetamine (7411)	
Bufotenine (7433)	I
Psilocyn (7438)	I
Heroin (9200)	I
Normorphine (9313)	I
Etonitazene (9624)	I
Amphetamine (1100)	H
Methamphetamine (1105)	H
Methylphenidate (1724)	H
Amobarbital (2125)	H
Pentobarbital (2270)	H
Secobarbital (2315)	H
Glutethimide (2550)	II
Phencyclidine (7471)	H
Cocaine (9041)	H
Codeine (9050)	H
Diprenorphine (9058)	H
Oxycodone (9143)	H
Hydromorphone (9150)	H
Benzoylecgonine (9180)	II
Ethylmorphine (9190)	II
Hydrocodone (9193)	H
Levorphanol (9220)	H
Meperidine (9230)	H
Methadone (9250)	II
Dextropropoxyphene, bulk (non-	H
dosage forms) (9273).	
Morphine (9300)	П
Thebaine (9333)	П
Opium powdered (9639)	П
Oxymorphone (9652)	П
Fentanyl (9801)	H

The firm plans to repackage and offer as pure standards controlled substances in small milligram quantities for drug testing and analysis.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Sigma Chemical Company is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Sigma Chemical Company on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a view of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to Section 1008(a) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act and in accordance with Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1311.42, the above firm is granted registration as an importer of the basic

classes of controlled substances listed

Dated: August 14, 2000.

John H. King,

Deputy Assistant Administrators, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-21488 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket Nos. 98-23, 98-32, 98-33]

January 17, 1998 Shipment of 10,000 Kilograms of Potassium Permanganate, December 16, 1997 Shipment of 20,000 Kilograms of Potassium Permanganate and November 17, 1997 Shipment of 20,000 Kilograms of Potassium Permanganate; Suspension of Shipments

On March 4, 1998, the then-Acting Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Suspend Shipment to Zhaoquing Chemicals Import & Export Company of Guandong, notifying it that pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 971, DEA had ordered the suspension of a shipment of 10,000 kilograms of potassium permanganate that was transshipped through Oakland, California on January 17, 1998, on its way to GMP Productos Quimicos, S.A. (GMP) in Medellin, Colombia. The Order to Suspend Shipment stated that DEA believed that the listed chemical may be diverted based on the failure to notify DEA of the transshipment in violation of 21 CFR 1313.31; associations between GMP and other violating chemical companies in Colombia; and other diversionary practices of GMP. On May 14, 1998, GMP requested a hearing and the matter was docketed before Administrative Law Judge Gail Randall.

At some point this Order to Suspend Shipment was withdrawn and was reissued on May 20, 1998 to Eland Chemical Ltd. (Eland) of Hong Kong. Also on May 20, 1998, the then-Acting Deputy Administrator of DEA issued two other Orders to Suspend Shipment to Eland, notifying it that DEA had ordered the suspension of two shipments of 20,000 kilograms each of potassium permanganate on their way to GMP. One shipment was transshipped through Long Beach, California on November 17, 1997, and the other was transshipped through Oakland, California on December 16, 1997. These Orders to Suspend Shipment asserted the same bases for the suspensions as

the order regarding the January 17, 1998

shipment.

Ón May 29, 1998, Judge Randall issued an order consolidating for hearing purposes only the proceedings involving the suspension by the United States of the three separate shipments of potassium permanganate en route to GMP. Following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Miami, Florida on February 8 through 12, 1999, and in Arlington, Virginia, on February 16 through 18, 1999. At the hearing, both parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence. After the hearing, both parties filed proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument.

On November 4, 1999, Judge Randall issued separate Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decisions, regarding each of the three shipments, recommending that the suspended shipments be released to GMP. The Government and GMP both filed exceptions to Judge Randall's Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law, and Decisions, and on January 27, 2000, Judge Randall transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Deputy Administrator.

The Administrator has considered the record in its entirety, and pursuant to 21 CFR 1313.57, hereby issues his final order regarding the suspension of all three of the shipments based upon findings of fact and conclusion of law as hereinafter set forth. The Administrator is issuing one final order regarding all three of the suspensions since the same findings of fact and conclusions of law apply to all three suspensions. The Administrator adopts the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Administrator Law Judge except as noted below and rejects the recommended ruling of the Administrative Law Judge.

The Administrator finds that based upon the evidence in the record, Colombia produces between 70-80% of the world's cocaine hydrochloride. Potassium permanganate and hydrochloric acid are List II chemicals that may be used for a variety of legitimate purposes, but are also used in the illicit manufacture of cocaine. Potassium permanganate is not produced in South America and therefore must be imported.

GMP is a company founded in 1938 that distributes chemical products, with four locations throughout Colombia, South America. Its president, Pedro Juan Moreno Villa (Mr. Moreno), has served on the board of directors of other companies in Colombia. In addition, from 1995 through 1997, Mr. Moreno

served as the Secretary of the Government of Antioquia. An extensive security investigation of Mr. Moreno was conducted for this position. During his tenure, Mr. Moreno supported the Govenor's goal to fight narcotics traffic. According to Mr. Moreno, his life was endangered because of his duties against drug traffickers and guerillas, resulting in his taking extensive security precautions.

Between 1994 and 1998, GMP was the largest importer of potassium permanganate into Colombia. Since approximately 1994, GMP conducted business with Eland, a Hong Kong company. From 1996 through 1998, Eland's sale of potassium permanganate to GMP had become consistent, with Eland selling GMP in excess of 200 metric tons during that time.

Eland arranged for the sale and shipment of the potassium permanganate that is the subject of these proceedings. Eland purchased the potassium permanganate from two chemical suppliers in China. The first shipment from Eland of 20,000 kilograms of potassium permanganate was en route to GMP in Medellin, Colombia when it transited through the port of Long Beach, California on November 17, 1997. The second shipment of 20,000 kilograms from Hong Kong to GMP Medellin, Colombia transited through the port of Oakland, California on December 16, 1997, and the third shipment of 10,000 kilograms transited the port of Oakland, California on January 17, 1998.

Évidence presented at the hearing indicates that "transit" or "in transit" means that the vessel "is just passing through" a port without unloading cargo, whereas a "transshipment" is known within the shipping industry as cargo that goes from the point of origin to someplace other than the ultimate destination and is transferred from one conveyance to another for further transit.

The bill of lading and manifest for these shipments clearly disclosed potassium permanganate as the chemical being shipped. The route of the shipments at issue had scheduled stops at Oakland, California and Long Beach, California, however none of the shipping documents provided advance notice to Eland or to GMP that the potassium permanganate shipments would transit through the United States. The scheduled route did not intend for the chemicals to be unloaded from the carrier ship in the United States. A representative of the shipping company stated that "[t]he goods at issue in this case were not intended to be discharged

in any port in the U.S. or transferred