

possess and use special nuclear material for the MOX facility (including the construction approval review). The NRC developed NUREG-1718 in parallel with NUREG-1520, Standard Review Plan for the Review of a License Application for a Fuel Cycle Facility, which the NRC staff developed to support uranium fuel fabrication facilities licensed under 10 CFR Part 70. The NRC indicated its intent to issue a revision to 10 CFR Part 70. The NRC staff has ensured that NUREG-1718 remained consistent with the requirements of the new rule as proposed and has also attempted to ensure that, where applicable for a MOX facility, NUREG-1718 is consistent with NUREG-1520. However, reviewers and other readers should be aware that NUREG-1718 contains specific guidance for a license application from DCS for a MOX facility. The NRC does not intend to apply this guidance to any other facility under its regulatory authority.

NUREG-1718 is intended to ensure the quality, uniformity, stability, and predictability of the staff reviews. It presents a defined basis from which to evaluate proposed changes in the scope and requirements of the staff reviews. NUREG-1718 makes information about NRC acceptance criteria widely available to interested members of the public and the regulated industry. Each section of NUREG-1718 addresses the responsibilities of persons performing the review, the review areas, the Commission's regulations pertinent to specific technical matters, the acceptance criteria used by the staff, the method used to accomplish the review, and the conclusions that are appropriate for the Safety Evaluation Report (SER) for both the construction approval review and the license review.

Analysis of Public Comments

On February 1, 2000, the NRC issued a Notice of Availability that it was accepting public comments on the first draft of NUREG-1718 (65 FRN 4856). The public comment period closed on March 27, 2000, including a two-week extension at the request of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE-65 FRN 13063).

The NRC received about 311 written comments from 12 commenters. On May 9, 2000, the NRC conducted a public meeting to discuss the public comments. In addition to NRC staff and other meeting participants, 6 of the commenters were represented. The NRC did not receive any significantly new comments at the public meeting. The NRC was able to consider all the comments it received in making

revisions to NUREG-1718. A summary of the public comments and staff responses is available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.nrc.gov/NMSS/FCSS/fcssindex.html>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of August 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert C. Pierson,

Deputy Director, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 00-21512 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Reclearance of Information Collection, OPM Form 805 Series

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that OPM will submit a request to the Office of Management and Budget for reclearance of the OPM Form 805 Series that collects information from the public. OPM Form 805, Application to be Listed Under the Voting Rights Act of 1965, is used to elicit information from persons applying for voter registration under the authority of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. The requirements for voter eligibility vary from State to State; therefore, OPM Form 805 is a blanket number covering a number of forms that conform to the individual State's requirements. For a number of years, there were forms for 10 States: Alabama, Arizona, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas (English and Spanish language versions), and Utah. Because OPM has never been asked to list voters in Arizona, New Mexico, North Carolina, and Utah, we allowed the approval of those forms to lapse in 1997 at the request of the Voting Rights Section in the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice. The form requires 20 minutes to complete. Approximately 10 individuals complete the form annually for a total public burden of 4 hours.

For copies of this proposal, call Ms. Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358 or email mbtoomey@opm.gov.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 23, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to Anna Marie Schuh, Assistant Director for Merit Systems Oversight, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 7677, Washington, DC 20415-6000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: P. Kaziah Clayton on (202) 606-2531 or email to pkclayto@opm.gov.

Office of Personnel Management.

Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

[FR Doc. 00-21475 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-U

POSTAL SERVICE

Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service will hold further meetings of a Consensus Committee to develop recommendations for revision of USPS STD 7A, which governs the design of curbside mailboxes. The committee will develop and adopt its recommendations through a consensus process. The committee will consist of persons who represent the interests affected by the proposed rule, including mailbox manufacturers, mailbox accessory manufacturers, and postal customers.

Meeting Date: The next committee meeting is tentatively scheduled for September 19, 2000.

Meeting Place: U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Annamarie Gildea, (202) 268-3558.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Mail comments and all other communications regarding the committee to Annamarie Gildea, U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Room 7142, Washington, DC 20260. Committee documents will be available for public inspection and copying between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. weekdays at the address above. Entry into U.S. Postal Service Headquarters is controlled. Persons wishing to attend the next meeting must send a fax to Annamarie Gildea at 202-268-5293 no later than September 12, 2000 with the person's name and organizational affiliation, if any. For additional information regarding the USPS STD 7A Consensus Committee,

see **Federal Register** Vol 64, No. 158, p. 44681 (August 17, 1999).

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 00-21542 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 15c2-12; SEC File No. 270-330; OMB Control No. 3235-0372.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

- Rule 15c2-12 Disclosure requirements for municipal securities

Rule 15c2-12 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78 et seq.) requires underwriters of municipal securities: (1) To obtain and review a copy of an official statement deemed final by an issuer of the securities, except for the omission of specified information; (2) in non-competitively bid offerings, to make available, upon request, the most recent preliminary official statement, if any; (3) to contract with the issuer of the securities, or its agent, to receive, within specified time periods, sufficient copies of the issuer's final official statement to comply both with this rule and any rules of the MSRB; (4) to provide, for a specified period of time, copies of the final official statement to any potential customer upon request; (5) before purchasing or selling municipal securities in connection with an offering, to reasonably determine that the issuer or other specified person has undertaken, in a written agreement or contract, for the benefit of holders of such municipal securities, to provide certain information about the issue or issuer on a continuing basis to a nationally recognized municipal securities information repository; and (6) to review the information the issuer of the municipal security has

undertaken to provide prior to recommending a transaction in the municipal security.

These disclosure and recordkeeping requirements will ensure that investors have adequate access to official disclosure documents that contain details about the value and risks of particular municipal securities at the time of issuance while the existence of compulsory repositories will ensure that investors have continued access to terms and provisions relating to certain static features of those municipal securities. The provisions of Rule 15c2-12 regarding an issuer's continuing disclosure requirements assist investors by ensuring that information about an issue or issuer remains available after the issuance.

Municipal offerings of less than \$1 million are exempt from the rule, as are offerings of municipal securities issued in large denominations that are sold to no more than 35 sophisticated investors, have short-term maturities, or have short-term tender or put features. It is estimated that approximately 12,000 brokers, dealers, municipal securities dealers, issuers of municipal securities, and nationally recognized municipal securities information repositories will spend a total of 123,850 hours per year complying with Rule 15c2-12. Based on average cost per hour of \$50, the total cost of compliance with Rule 15c2-12 is \$6,192,500.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: August 15, 2000.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-21429 Filed 8-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Datalink.net, Inc., Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value) File No. 1-15569

August 16, 2000.

Datalink.net, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("Company"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.01 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex").

The Company has effected a new listing for its Security on the National Market of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"). On August 11, 2000, the Company filed a Registration Statement on Form 8-A with the Commission in conjunction with the new Nasdaq listing. Trading in the Security on the Nasdaq commenced, and was concurrently suspended on the Amex, at the opening of business on August 14, 2000. The Company is seeking to withdraw its Security from listing and registration on the Amex because it believes that it is in its best interest to have the Security trade on the Nasdaq rather than on the Amex.

On May 16, 2000, the Company's board of directors approved a resolution authorizing the Security's new Nasdaq listing and withdrawal from listing and registration on the Amex. The Amex has in turn advised the Company that its application for such withdrawal has been made in accordance with the rules of the Amex and that the Amex has no objection to such withdrawal, pending approval of the Company's application by the Commission. In the light of its new listing on the Nasdaq, the Amex has not required the Company to notify its shareholders of its intention to withdraw the Security from listing and registration on the Amex.

The Company's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Security from listing and registration on the Amex and shall have no effect upon the Security's continued listing on the Nasdaq and registration under Section 12(g) of the Act.³

Any interested person may, on or before September 7, 2000, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78j(g).