Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before February 14, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2368 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-168-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation v. El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Complaint

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 27, 2000, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) filed a complaint against EL Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) pursuant to 18 CFR 206. Northwest asserts that El Paso is violating GISB standards 1.3.2 and 1.3.22 by failing to adhere to the confirmation deadlines and confirmation quantities set forth therein. Northwest claims that El Paso's failure results in scheduled quantity differences between the pipelines at the interconnect point. Northwest has been unsuccessful in trying to get EL Paso to comply and requests that the Commission order El Paso to adhere to, and to determine scheduled quantities for the period dating back to June 1, 1999 in accordance with, the GISB standards.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before February 16, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222) for assistance. Answers to the complaint

shall also be due on or before Febuary 16, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2374 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR98-13-000 and OR98-1-000]

Tosco Corporation, Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent, Notice of Amended Compliant

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 10, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR 343.1(a)), and the Commission's Order Establishing Further Procedures issued in these dockets on January 13, 1999, Tosco Corporation (Tosco) hereby submits its amended complaint in this proceeding. This amended complaint modifies and supersedes the original complaint filed herein by Tosco on April 24, 1998.

Tosco respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) Examine the rates and charges collected by SFPP for its jurisdictional interstate service; (2) order refunds to Tosco, including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable reparation period to the extent the Commission finds that such rates or charges were unlawful; (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for SFPP's jurisdictional interstate service; (4) award Tosco reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (5) order such relief as may be appropriate.

SFPP's motion for a further extension of time filed on January 24, 2000 is denied.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to

become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before February 14, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2369 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR96-15-000; OR98-1-000; OR98-2-000 (Consolidated)]

Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P. Respondent, ARCO Products Company, a Division of Atlantic Richfield Company; Texaco Refining and Marketing, Inc.; Mobil Oil Corporation, Complainants v. SFPP, L.P. and Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation, Complainant v. SFPP, L.P. Respondent; Notice of Amended Complaint

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 10, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385,206), the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR 343.1(a)), and the Commission's Order Establishing Further procedures issued in these dockets on January 13, 1999, Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation and Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing their amended complaint in the captioned proceedings. This amended complaint modifies and supplements the original complaints filed in these matters by Ultramar in Docket Nos. OR96–15–000 and OR98-2-000 on August 30, 1996 and November 21, 1997 respectively.

On August 30, 1996, Ultramar Inc. filed a complaint against SFPP, in Docket No. OR96–15, asserting that SFPP had violated the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA) by failing to file an interstate tariff for the Watson enhancement facilities (Drain Dry facilities) and that, generally, the rate for the same was and continues to be unjust and unreasonable and without basis.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) Examine the challenged rates and charges collected by SFPP for its jurisdictional interstate service; (2) order refunds to Ultramar,

including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable reparation periods to the extent the Commission finds that such rates or charges were unlawful; (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for SFPP's jurisdictional interstate service; (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (5) order such other relief as may be appropriate.

SFPP's motion for a further extension of time file on January 24, 2000 is

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the amended complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Answers to this amended complaint shall be due on or before February 14, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2370 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR96-15-000 and OR97-2-

Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent, and Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Amended Complaint

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 10, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR 343.1(a)), and the Commission's Order Establishing Further Procedures issued in these dockets on January 13, 1999, Ultramar Diamond Shamrock

Corporation and Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing their amended complaint in the captioned proceedings. This amended complaint modifies and supplements the original complaints filed in these matters by Ultramar in Docket Nos. OR96-15-000 and OR98-2-000 on August 30, 1996 and October 21, 1996 respectively.

On August 30, 1996, Ultramar Inc. filed a complaint against SFPP, in Docket No. OR96-15, asserting that SFPP had violated the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA) by failing to file an interstate tariff for the Watson enhancement facilities (Drain Dry facilities) and that, generally, the rate for the same was and continues to be unjust and unreasonable and without basis.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) examine the challenged rates and charges collected by SFPP for its jurisdictional interstate service; (2) order refunds to Ultramar, including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable reparation periods to the extent the Commission finds that such rates or charges were unlawful; (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for SFPP's iurisdictional interstate service: (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (5) order such other relief as may be appropriate.

SFPP's motion for a further extension of time filed on January 24, 2000 is denied.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest the amended complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 2000, Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Answers to this amended complaint shall be due on or before February 14, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2371 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG00-79-000, et al.]

West Fork Land Development Company, L.L.C., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

January 24, 2000.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. West Fork Land Development Company, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG00-79-000]

Take notice that on January 18, 2000, West Fork Land Development Company, L.L.C. (West Fork), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

West Fork is a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enron North America Corp. West Fork's facility will be a natural gas-fired, single cycle generating facility with a combined generating capacity of approximately 575 MW (winter rating). Commercial operations are expected to commence in May 2000.

West Fork further states that copies of the application were served upon the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

Comment date: February 7, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. Des Plaines Green Land Development, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG00-80-000]

Take notice that on January 18, 2000, Des Plaines Green Land Development, L.L.C. (Des Plaines Green Land), tendered for filing filed with the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission** (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

Des Plaines Green Ľand is a Delaware limited liability company and a whollyowned subsidiary of Enron North America Corp. Des Plaines Green Land's facility will be a natural gas-fired, single cycle generating facility with a combined generating capacity of approximately 700 MW (winter rating). Commercial operations are expected to

commence in June 2000.