that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If your small business or organization is affected by this rule and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the office listed in **ADDRESSES** in this preamble.

## Collection of Information

This rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

#### **Federalism**

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 13132 and has determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

#### Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under Figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because it establishes a safety zone.

#### **Unfunded Mandates**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) and Executive Order 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, (58 FR 58093; October 28, 1993) govern the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

#### Temporary Final Regulation

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR Part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Section 165.T17-00-011 is temporarily added to read as follows:

### § 165.T17-00-011 Safety Zone; Northstar, Seal Island, Prudhoe Bay, Alaska.

- (a) Description. The following area is a Safety Zone: All navigable waters within a 200-yard radius of the Northstar Dock, Seal Island, Prudhoe Bay, Alaska.
- (b) Effective dates. This section is effective from 12:01 a.m. August 1, 2000, until 11:59 p.m. September 30,
  - (c) Regulations.
- (1) The Captain of the Port means the Captain of the Port, Western Alaska. The Captain of the Port may authorize or designate any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer to act on his behalf as his representative.
- (2) The general regulations governing safety zones contained in 33 CFR, Part 165.23 apply. No person or vessel may enter, transit through, anchor or remain in this safety zone, with the exception of attending vessels, without first obtaining permission from the Captain of the Port, Western Alaska, or his representative.

The Captain of the Port or his representative may be contacted in the vicinity of the BARGE 400 via marine VHF channel 16. The Captain of the Port's representative can also be contacted by telephone at (907) 271-6700.

Dated: July 31, 2000.

### W.J. Hutmacher,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Western Alaska.

[FR Doc. 00-22846 Filed 9-6-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA; 00-0031

RIN 2115-AA97

# Safety Zone; Middle Harbor-San Pedro

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Interim rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a safety zone on the waters of San Pedro Bay, California. The event requiring establishment of this safety zone is the dredging and landfill activities associated with the Port of Long Beach Pier T project. Entry into, transit through or anchoring within the safety zone by vessels other than those engaged in the construction of Pier T is prohibited by the Captain of the Port.

**DATES:** This rule will be in effect from 12:01 a.m. (PST) on August 1, 2000 until 11:59 p.m. on December 31, 2002. Comments must be received on or before November 6, 2000.

ADDRESSES: This docket for this regulation is maintained, and is available for inspection and copying between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday except federal holidays, at U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Los Angeles-Long Beach, 165 N. Pico Avenue, Long Beach, CA 90802. Comments may be mailed or hand-delivered to this address.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Junior Grade Ken O'Connor, Waterways Management Division, Marine Safety Office/Group Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA at (562) 980-

4425/26.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Regulatory Information**

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, there is good cause why a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was not published for this regulation and good cause exists for making it effective less than 30 days after, Federal Register publication. Following normal rulemaking procedures could not be done in a timely fashion in that the Coast Guard was not approached concerning the necessity for implementation of a safety zone until late in the Pier T planning process. The actual stipulations of the safety zone were not finalized until a date fewer than 30 days prior to the start

Although this rule is being published as an interim rule without prior notice, an opportunity for public comment is nevertheless desirable to ensure the rule is both reasonable and workable. Accordingly, persons wishing to comment may do so by submitting written comments to the office listed in **ADDRESSES** in this preamble. Comments must be received on or before November 6, 2000. Those providing comments should identify the docket number for the regulation (COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach 00-003) and also include their name, address, and reason(s) for each comment presented. Based upon the comments received, the regulation may be changed.

The Coast Guard plans no public meeting. Persons may request a public meeting by writing the Marine Safety Office Los Angeles-Long Beach at the address listed in ADDRESSES in this preamble.

#### **Discussion of Regulation**

The construction of the Pier T project is scheduled to begin on May 5, 2000.

A safety zone is necessary to safeguard recreational and commercial craft from the dangers of dredging and landfill activities in the area and to prevent interference with other vessels engaged in the dredging operations. This safety zone is necessary to safeguard all personnel and property during the dredging and construction of Pier T. The activities surrounding the dredging and construction pose a direct threat to the safety of surrounding vessels, persons, and property, and create an imminent navigational hazard. This safety zone is necessary to prevent spectators, recreational and commercial craft from the hazards associated with the reconstruction. Persons and vessels, other than those engaged in the construction of Pier T, are prohibited from entering into, transiting through or anchoring within the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or a designated representative.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This temporary regulation is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. The location of the dredging and landfill operation is northwest of the old Navy mole in the vicinity of Long Beach Pier "T" which does not currently have much commerical or recreational vessel traffic. It is anticipated that once construction is completed vessel traffic in this area will increase. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this regulation to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under Paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation is unnecessary.

#### **Collection of Information**

This regulation contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq*).

# **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq), the Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" may include small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are not dominant in

their respective fields and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000. For the same reasons set forth in the above Regulatory Evaluation, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on any substantial number of entities, regardless of their size.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

In accordance with § 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), the Coast Guard wants to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If your small business or organization is affected by this rule and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Lieutenant Junior Grade Ken O'Connor, Waterways Management Division, Marine Safety Office/Group Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA at (562) 980-4425/26.

#### **Federalism**

The Coast Guard has analyzed this regulation under Executive Order 13132, and has determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this temporary regulation and concluded that under Chapter 2.B.2. of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, Figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), it will have no significant environmental impact and it is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A Categorical Exclusion Determination and an Environmental Analysis checklist is available for inspection and copying and the docket is to be maintained at the address listed in ADDRESSES in the preamble.

## **Unfunded Mandates**

Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4), the Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will result in an annual expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate of \$100 million (adjusted annually for inflation). If so, the Act requires that a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives be considered, and that from those alternatives, the least costly, most costeffective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objective of the rule be selected.

No state, local, or tribal government entities will be affected by this rule, so this rule will not result in annual or aggregate costs of \$100 million or more. Therefore, the Coast Guard is exempt from any further regulatory requirements under the Unfunded Mandates Act.

### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in section 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of this Order to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

## **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This Rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to safety disproportionately affecting children.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

## Regulation

In consideration of the foregoing, Subpart F of Part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

## PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 33 CFR Part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

A new § 165.1113 is added to read as follows:

## § 165.1113 Safety Zone: Middle Harbor-San Pedro Channel, CA.

(a) Location. The safety zone is located northwest of the old Navy Mole in the vicinity of Long Beach Pier "T" as defined by the lines connecting the following coordinates: latitude 33°45′21.6″ N, longitude 118°13′38.5″ W, thence to latitude, 33°45′04.1″ N, longitude 118°13′31.2″ W, thence to latitude 33°44′46″ N, longitude 118°14′10.7″ W, thence to latitude 33°44′34.1″ N, longitude 118°14′13″ W, following north-easterly along the shoreline to 33°45′02.4″ N, longitude

118°14′44.7″ W, thence returning to the point of origin.

- (b) Effective date. This section is effective from 12:01 a.m. (PST) on August 1, 2000 until 11:59 on December 31, 2002.
- (c) Regulations. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this Part, entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this safety zone by persons or vessels, other than those engaged in the construction of Pier T, is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA.

Dated: August 1, 2000.

#### J.M. Holmes,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach.

[FR Doc. 00–22844 Filed 9–6–00; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### National Park Service

36 CFR Part 51 RIN 1024-AC72

#### **Concession Contracts**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Technical corrections.

**SUMMARY:** This action makes technical corrections to regulations concerning the determination of a preferred offeror to correct a typographical error and to delete confusing and unnecessary provisions.

# **EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 7, 2000.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendelin Mann, Concession Program, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240 (202/565– 1219).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service published in final in the Federal Register on April 17, 2000 (65 FR 20630), an amendment to 36 CFR part 51 to reflect the changes in policies and procedures applicable to National Park Service concession contracts resulting from the passage of Title IV of the National Parks Omnibus Management Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105–391).

Section 51.40(c) has been determined by the National Park Service to be confusing and unnecessary in light of the entirety of § 51.40. Specifically, § 51.40(c) has been misunderstood to suggest that in order for a portion of a park area to be determined "backcountry" for purposes of 36 CFR part 51, the area must be inaccessible by motorized vehicle.

This is not the meaning of § 51.40. Rather, the section is intended to mean that the accessibility of a portion of a park area to motorized vehicles is only one consideration that may be taken into account in determining the existence of "backcountry" for purposes of determining which concession contracts are outfitter and guide contracts under 36 CFR part 51. As stated in § 51.40, determinations as to whether outfitter and guide operations are conducted in the backcountry of a park area are made on a park-by-park basis, taking into account the park area's particular geographic circumstances. Accessibility of an area by motorized vehicles is only a possible consideration in this determination.

In order to correct the confusion caused by § 51.40(c), the National Park Service has determined to delete 36 CFR 51.40(c) as confusing and unnecessary. The overall intentions of § 51.40 remain the same with the deletion of § 51.40(c), as § 51.40(a) continues to provide that remoteness from roads and developed areas is a possible factor in determining "backcountry" for purposes of 36 CFR part 51.

In addition, § 51.46 of the final regulation contains a typographical error, the inadvertent inclusion of the date "May 17, 2000," in its text.

#### List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 51

Concessions, Government contracts, National parks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 36 CFR part 51 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

## PART 51—CONCESSION CONTRACTS

1. The authority citation for part 51 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** The Act of August 25, 1916, as amended and supplemented, 16 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*, particularly, 16 U.S.C. 3 and Title IV of the National Parks Omnibus Management Act of 1998 (Pub. L. 105–391).

## §51.40 [Amended]

- 2. In § 51.40, paragraph (c) is removed.
- 3. In § 51.40, paragraphs (d) and (e) are redesignated as paragraphs (c) and (d).
- 4. In § 51.46, the last sentence is corrected by removing the date "May 17, 2000".

Dated: August 30, 2000.

## Cynthia Orlando,

Acting Associate Director, Park Operations and Education.

[FR Doc. 00–22859 Filed 9–6–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 2, 21, 25, 74, 78 and 101 [IB Docket No. 98–172; FCC–00–212]

Redesignation of the 18 GHz Frequency Band, Blanket Licensing of Satellite Earth Stations in the Ka-band, and the Allocation of Additional Spectrum for Broadcast Satellite-Service Use

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document permits the efficient use of spectrum for existing and future users, and will facilitate the deployment of new services in the 18 GHz band. These designations will significantly reduce sharing in the 18 GHz band, and thereby eliminate the need for many existing coordination procedures, leading to lower transaction costs and more efficient use of the band. The relocation process will take significant effort and commitment on the part of both the space and terrestrial communities. This plan has the potential to provide consumers, both business and residential, with exciting new services in the years to come. The Office of Management and Budget has approved the information collection requirements of 47 CFR 25.145(g), which should have been effective on January 20, 1998. This document establishes that effective date.

**DATES:** 47 CFR 25.145(g) published at 62 FR 61448 was effective on January 20, 1998, following OMB approval of the information collection. This final rule is effective October 10, 2000. Written comments by the public on the new information collections are due November 6, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven Selwyn, Planning & Negotiations Division, International Bureau, (202) 418–2160 or via electronic mail: sselwyn@fcc.gov. In addition to filing comments with the Office of the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order in IB Docket No. 98–172, FCC 00–212, adopted June 8, 2000 and