associated with normal plant evolutions such as RCP start and swapping operating charging pumps with the RCS in a water-solid condition.

Additionally, the impact on the P/T limits and OPPS setpoints has been evaluated for an increased service period to 15 EFPYs based on ASME Section XI, Appendix G, requirements. The results indicate that OPPS would significantly restrict the ability to perform plant heatup and cooldown, create an unnecessary burden to plant operations, and challenge control of plant evolutions required with OPPS enabled. Implementation of the proposed P–T curves, as allowed by ASME Code Case N-640, does not significantly reduce the margin of safety. Thus, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the regulation will continue to be served.

In summary, the ASME Section XI, Appendix G, procedure was conservatively developed based on the level of knowledge existing in 1974 concerning RPV materials and the estimated effects of operation. Since 1974, the level of knowledge about these topics has been greatly expanded. The NRC staff concurs that this increased knowledge permits relaxation of the ASME Section XI, Appendix G, requirements by application of ASME Code Case N-640, while maintaining, pursuant to 10 CFR50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the ASME Code and the NRC regulations to ensure an acceptable margin of safety.

### III

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) when special circumstances are present. Special circumstances are present whenever, according to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), "Application of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule \* \* \*."

The underlying purpose of 10 CFR part 50, section 50.60(a), and 10 CFR part 50, appendix G, is to protect the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary in nuclear power plants. This is accomplished through these regulations that, in part, specify fracture toughness requirements for ferritic materials of the reactor coolant pressure

boundary. The NRC staff accepts the licensee's determination that an exemption would be required to approve the use of Code Case N-640.

The NRC staff examined the licensee's rationale to support the exemption request. Based upon a consideration of the conservatism that is explicitly incorporated into the methodologies of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G; ASME Section XI, appendix G; and Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, the NRC staff finds that the application of Code Case N-640 will provide results which are sufficiently conservative to ensure the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary and, thus, meet the underlying intent of 10 CFR part 50, section 50.60(a), and 10 CFR part 50, appendix G. This is also consistent with determinations that the NRC staff has reached for other licensees under similar conditions, and based on the same considerations. Therefore, the NRC staff finds that special circumstances set forth in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) are present and that the methodology of Code Case N-640 may be used to revise the P/T limits and the LTOP setpoints for the Beaver Valley Unit 2 RCS.

# IV

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or common defense and security, and is, otherwise, in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants FENOC an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, section 50.60(a), and 10 CFR part 50, appendix G, for the Beaver Valley Unit 2 reactor coolant system.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not result in any significant effect on the quality of the human environment. (65 FR 50722).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6 day of September 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00-23526 Filed 9-12-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-U

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation (Crystal River Unit No. 3); Order Approving **Application Regarding Proposed** Acquisition By CP&L Holdings, Inc. Of Florida Progress Corporation; Correction

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# **ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice appearing in the Federal Register on May 31, 2000 (65 FR 34740), in which the Commission issued an order under 10 CFR 50.80 approving the indirect transfer of control of Florida Power Corporation's interest in Crystal River Unit No. 3, Facility License No. DPR-72, that will occur under a proposed share exchange transaction between Florida Progress Corporation and CP&L Holdings, Inc. This action is necessary to correct an erroneous date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Len Wiens, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, telephone 301–415–1495, e-mail: law@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 34741, in the second column, in the fourth complete paragraph, "May 23, 2000," is corrected to read "May 22, 2000."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of September 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00-23527 Filed 9-12-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-354]

# PSEG Nuclear, LLC; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of PSEG Nuclear, LLC (the licensee) to withdraw Public Service Electric & Gas Company's (PSE&G) December 28, 1998, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 for the Hope Creek Generating Station (HCGS), located in Salem County, New Jersey.

On August 21, 2000, the license for HCGS, to the extent held by PSE&G

HCGS, to the extent held by PSE&G, was transferred to PSEG Nuclear Limited Liability Company (PSEG Nuclear). By letter dated September 6, 2000, PSEG Nuclear stated that they have assumed responsibility for the active items on the Hope Creek docket previously submitted by PSE&G as of the date of the transfer.

The proposed amendment would have revised the technical specifications to increase the allowable leak rate of the main steam isolation valves (MSIV) and delete the MSIV Sealing System.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 1999 (64 FR 6707). However, by letter dated August 29, 2000, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 28, 1998, and the licensee's letter dated August 29, 2000, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (http://www.nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of September 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John Harrison,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–23528 Filed 9–12–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**DATE:** Weeks of September 11, 18, 25, October 2, and 9, 2000.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

**STATUS:** Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

#### Week of September 11

Wednesday, September 13

#### 9:30 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) a: Final Rules—10 CFR Part 35, "Medical Use of Byproduct Material" and 10 CFR Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation" (Tentative)

b: Final Rule: "Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties"; Proposed Revision to the Enforcement Policy to Conform to the Final Rule Adjusting Civil Monetary Penalties and Minor Administrative Changes to Parts 1 and 13

# Week of September 18—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of September 18.

# Week of September 25—Tentative

Friday, September 29

### 9:25 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)

9:30 a.m.

Briefing on Risk-Informing Special Treatment Requirements (Public Meeting) (Contact: Tim Reed, 301– 415–1462). This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address www.nrc.gov/live.html.

1:30 p.m.

Briefing on Threat Environment Assessment (Closed-Ex. 1)

### Week of October 2—Tentative

Friday, October 6

9:25 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)

9:30 a.m.

Meeting with ACRS (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Larkins, 301–415– 7360)

#### Week of October 9—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 9.

**Note:** The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415–1292.

# **CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Bill Hill (301) 415–1661.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/ schedule.htm.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1661). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to wmh@nrc.gov or dkw@nrc.gov. Dated: September 8, 2000. William M. Hill, Jr., SECY Tracking Officer, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 00–23561 Filed 9–8–00; 4:40 pm] BILLING CODE 9590–01–M

# OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

# Federal Employees Health Benefits Program: Medically Underserved Areas for 2001

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management. ACTION: Notice of medically underserved

**ACTION:** Notice of medically underserved areas for 2001.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has completed its annual determination of the States that qualify as Medically Underserved Areas under the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program for the calendar year 2001. This is necessary to comply with a provision of the FEHB law that mandates special consideration for enrollees of certain FEHB plans who receive covered health services in States with critical shortages of primary care physicians. Accordingly, for calendar year 2001, OPM's calculations show that the following States are Medically Underserved Areas under the FEHB Program: Alabama, Idaho, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming. The 2001 list is identical to the 2000 list, except we have removed North Dakota from the list for calendar year 2001.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2001.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ingrid Burford, 202–606–0004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FEHB law (5 U.S.C. 8902(m)(2)) mandates special consideration for enrollees of certain FEHB plans who receive covered health services in States with critical shortages of primary care physicians. The FEHB law also requires that a State be designated as a Medically Underserved Area if 25 percent or more of the population lives in an area designated by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as a primary medical care manpower shortage area. Such States are designated as Medically Underserved Areas for purposes of the FEHB Program, and the law requires plan payments to all qualified providers in these States.

FEHB regulations (5 CFR 890.701) require OPM to make an annual determination of the States that qualify as Medically Underserved Areas for the