

Section 558.78 is also amended editorially to consolidate the cross-references for approved combinations in paragraph (d)(3) and list them in alphabetical order.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of 21 CFR part 20 and 514.11(e)(2)(ii), a summary of safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(a)(2) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801-808.

**List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 558**

Animal drugs, Animal feeds.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 558 is amended as follows:

**PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS**

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 558 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 360b, 371.

2. Section 558.78 is amended by revising paragraph (d)(3) to read as follows:

**§ 558.78 Bacitracin zinc.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(3) It may be used as approved in combination with:

- (i) Amprolium alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.55.
- (ii) Amprolium and ethopabate alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.58.
- (iii) Carbarsone as in § 558.120.
- (iv) Clopidol alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.175.
- (v) Decoquinatone alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.195.
- (vi) Hygromycin B alone and with penicillin as in § 558.274.
- (vii) Lasalocid sodium alone or with roxarsone as in § 558.311.

(viii) Monensin alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.355.

(ix) Narasin as in § 558.363.

(x) Robenidine as in § 558.515.

(xi) Salinomycin alone and with roxarsone as in § 558.550.

(xii) Zoalene alone and with arsanilic acid or roxarsone as in § 558.680.

3. Section 558.363 is amended by adding paragraphs (a)(7) and (d)(1)(x) to read as follows:

**§ 558.363 Narasin.**

(a) \* \* \*

(7) To 063238: 36, 45, 54, 72, or 90 grams per pound, with 10, 25, 40, or 50 grams per pound bacitracin zinc, paragraph (d)(1)(x) of this section.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \*

(x) *Amount per ton.* Narasin, 54 to 72 grams and bacitracin zinc, 4 to 50 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria necatrix*, *E. tenella*, *E. acervulina*, *E. brunetti*, *E. mivati*, and *E. maxima*, and for increased rate of weight gain and improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations.* For broiler chickens only. Feed continuously as sole ration. Do not allow adult turkeys, horses, or other equines access to formulations containing narasin. Ingestion of narasin by these species has been fatal. Narasin as provided by 000986, bacitracin zinc by 063238 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 5, 2000.

**Stephen F. Sundlof,**

*Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.*

[FR Doc. 00-23799 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-01-F**

**PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION**

**29 CFR Parts 4022 and 4044**

**Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits**

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's regulations on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans and Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans prescribe interest

assumptions for valuing and paying benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the regulations to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in October 2000. Interest assumptions are also published on the PBGC's web site (<http://www.pbgc.gov>).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202-326-4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1-800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4024.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The PBGC's regulations prescribe actuarial assumptions—including interest assumptions—for valuing and paying plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

Three sets of interest assumptions are prescribed: (1) a set for the valuation of benefits for allocation purposes under section 4044 (found in Appendix B to Part 4044), (2) a set for the PBGC to use to determine whether a benefit is payable as a lump sum and to determine lump-sum amounts to be paid by the PBGC (found in Appendix B to Part 4022), and (3) a set for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology (found in Appendix C to Part 4022). (See the PBGC's two final rules published March 17, 2000, in the **Federal Register** (at 65 FR 14752 and 14753). Effective May 1, 2000, these rules changed how the interest assumptions are used and where they are set forth in the PBGC's regulations.)

Accordingly, this amendment (1) adds to Appendix B to Part 4044 the interest assumptions for valuing benefits for allocation purposes in plans with valuation dates during October 2000, (2) adds to Appendix B to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for the PBGC to use for its own lump-sum payments in plans with valuation dates during October 2000, and (3) adds to Appendix C to Part 4022 the interest assumptions for private-sector pension practitioners to refer to if they wish to use lump-sum interest rates determined using the PBGC's historical methodology for valuation dates during October 2000.

For valuation of benefits for allocation purposes, the interest assumptions that

the PBGC will use (set forth in Appendix B to part 4044) will be 7.00 percent for the first 25 years following the valuation date and 6.25 percent thereafter. These interest assumptions represent no change from those in effect for September 2000.

The interest assumptions that the PBGC will use for its own lump-sum payments (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022) will be 5.00 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status, 4.25 percent during the seven-year period directly preceding the benefit's placement in pay status, and 4.00 percent during any other years preceding the benefit's placement in pay status. These interest assumptions represent a decrease (from those in effect for September 2000) of 0.25 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and for the seven-year period directly preceding the benefit's placement in pay status and are otherwise unchanged.

For private-sector payments, the interest assumptions (set forth in Appendix C to part 4022) will be the same as those used by the PBGC for

determining and paying lump sums (set forth in Appendix B to part 4022).

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation and payment of benefits in plans with valuation dates during October 2000, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a "significant regulatory action" under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. See 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

**List of Subjects**

29 CFR Part 4022

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

29 CFR Part 4044

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR parts 4022 and 4044 are amended as follows:

**PART 4022—BENEFITS PAYABLE IN TERMINATED SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS**

1. The authority citation for part 4022 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1302, 1322, 1322b, 1341(c)(3)(D), and 1344.

2. In appendix B to part 4022, Rate Set 84, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

**Appendix B to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates for PBGC Payments**

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate annuity rate (percent)	Deferred annuities (percent)					
	On or after	Before		i <sub>1</sub>	i <sub>2</sub>	i <sub>3</sub>	n <sub>1</sub>	n <sub>2</sub>	
84	10-1-00	11-1-00	5.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	7	8	

3. In appendix C to part 4022, Rate Set 84, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

**Appendix C to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For Private-Sector Payments**

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate annuity rate (percent)	Deferred annuities (percent)					
	On or after	Before		i <sub>1</sub>	i <sub>2</sub>	i <sub>3</sub>	n <sub>1</sub>	n <sub>2</sub>	
84	10-1-00	11-1-00	5.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	7	8	

**PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS**

4. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

5. In appendix B to part 4044, a new entry, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used To Value Benefits

For valuation dates occurring in the month—	The values of $i_t$ are					
	$i_t$	for t =	$i_t$	for t =	$i_t$	for t =
October 2000 .....	.0700	1–25	.0625	>25	N/A	N/A

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 8th day of September 2000.

**David M. Strauss,**  
Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 00–23738 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

**PRESIDIO TRUST**

**36 CFR Part 1010**

**RIN 3212-AA02**

**Management of the Presidio: Environmental Quality**

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Presidio Trust (Trust) was created by Congress in 1996 to manage a portion of the former U.S. Army base known as The Presidio of San Francisco, California. Administrative jurisdiction of approximately 80 percent of this property was transferred from the National Park Service (NPS), Department of the Interior (DOI), to the Trust as of July 1, 1998. Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Trust adopted interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA, which generally consisted of the NEPA procedures and guidelines of the NPS, pending promulgation of the Trust’s own regulations for implementing NEPA. See 63 FR 49142 (Sept. 14, 1998). The Trust proposed its own NEPA regulations on July 23, 1999 (64 FR 39951) and accepted comments from the public until October 5, 1999, following an extension of the comment period (64 FR 51488). Today, the Trust publishes its response to comments received, as well as its final rule on this topic.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective October 16, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen A. Cook, General Counsel, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, PO Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129–0052, Telephone: 415–561–5300.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Presidio Trust is a wholly-owned government corporation created

pursuant to Title I of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Act of 1996, Public Law 104–333, 110 Stat. 4097 (the Trust Act). Pursuant to section 103(b) of the Trust Act, on July 1, 1998, the Secretary of the Interior transferred administrative jurisdiction to the Trust of all of Area B of the former Presidio Army Base, as shown on the map referenced in the Trust Act.

Pursuant to its rulemaking authority and the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) at 40 CFR 1507.3(a), the Trust—in consultation with CEQ—initially adopted existing NPS NEPA policy guidance, to the extent it did not conflict with the Presidio Trust Act or regulations of the Presidio Trust, as its interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA. These interim procedures and guidelines are found in “NPS–12: National Environmental Policy Act Guidelines” (1982) as supplemented by NPS’s “Standard Operating Procedure 601.” Notice of the Trust’s adoption of these interim procedures was published in the **Federal Register** on September 14, 1998 (63 FR 49142). These interim procedures and guidelines will remain in effect until the effective date of the final regulations published today. Upon the effective date, the final regulations will replace the interim procedures and guidelines in their entirety.

Prior to proposing these regulations, and finalizing them today, the Trust consulted with CEQ pursuant to CEQ’s regulations, 40 CFR 1507.3(a). The Trust also consulted with officials of the Department of the Interior and the National Park Service designated by the Secretary of the Interior to facilitate such consultation. An initial draft of the proposed regulations was modified in response to these comments prior to its publication in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 1999 (64 FR 39951).

The Trust originally provided for a public comment period of 60 days on its proposed NEPA regulations. See 64 FR 39951. Upon request of the commenters, that period was later extended by approximately two weeks. See 64 FR 51488. The Trust has considered the comments received within the comment period, as extended, and today publishes its responses to those comments and its final NEPA

regulations. As of its effective date, this final rule supersedes the Trust’s adoption of interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA.

**Summary of the Proposed Rule**

The proposed rule, including a section-by-section analysis, was set forth in detail in the July 23, 1999 issue of the **Federal Register** (64 FR 39951). In general, the proposed rule—and the final rule presented herein—follows the fundamental NEPA process that Federal agencies follow. The rule is intended to supplement the regulations of CEQ and not to paraphrase or repeat those regulations. See 40 CFR 1507.3.

Under the rule as proposed and finalized, the Trust would first determine whether a proposed action by the Trust is one that normally does not require either an environmental assessment (EA) or an environmental impact statement (EIS), *i.e.*, whether the proposed action is categorically excluded from NEPA review or has been covered by a previous EA and/or EIS. If it is not such an action, then the Trust would consider whether the action is one that normally requires an EIS. If so, an EIS would normally be prepared.

If the action is not one that is categorically excluded from further NEPA review or has not been previously analyzed in an EA or EIS, and if the action also is not one that normally requires an EIS, then an EA would normally be prepared. Following preparation of the EA, the Trust would make a determination as to whether the proposed action requires further review in an EIS or whether the Trust may, on the basis of the review performed in the EA, issue a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). Under the rule, the Trust could not undertake the proposed action unless (1) it is categorically excluded; or (2) an EIS has been finalized and a Record of Decision has been issued; or (3) a FONSI has been issued on the basis of an EA.

The final rule adopted by the Trust will replace in its entirety the Trust’s adoption of interim procedures and guidelines for implementing NEPA. The final rule is similar to the proposed rule, particularly in structure and format, but its content has been modified in a number of respects in response to comments received and further review