Janitorial/Custodial

Naval and Marine Corps Reserve Center, 3655 S. Wilmot Road, Tucson, Arizona

Janitorial/Custodial

Youth Center (Ch-905), New Submarine Base New London, Groton, Connecticut

Laundry Service

Linen Exchange, Building 426, March Air Force Base, California

Parking Facility Attendant

VA Medical Center, 2215 Fuller Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Switchboard Operation

Harry S. Truman Memorial Veterans' Hospital, Columbia, Missouri

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

#### G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23791 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

# **Procurement List; Proposed Additions**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed additions to procurement list.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 16, 2000.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Louis R. Bartalot (703) 603–7740 **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51–2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, all entities of the Federal Government (except as otherwise indicated) will be required to procure the services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.
- 3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following services have been proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Janitorial/Custodial

Department of Veterans Affairs, BRECC VA Clinic, 3800 Loch Raven Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland NPA: Baltimore Association for Retarded

Citizens, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland
U.S. Department of Commerce, National

J.S. Department of Commerce, National Weather Service, 2001 North West 56th Drive, Pendleton, Oregon

NPA: Horizon Project, Inc., Milton Freewater, Oregon

Janitorial/Grounds Maintenance

U.S. Army Reserve Center, 1650 Corey Boulevard, Decatur, Georgia NPA: Bobby Dodd Industries, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia

Mailroom Operation

New Orleans Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) Sites, New Orleans, Louisiana, NPA: Goodworks, Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana

Switchboard Operation

Department of Justice, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia NPA: Rappahannock Goodwill Industries, Inc., Fredericksburg, Virginia

#### G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23792 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

[A-588-806]

## Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 8, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Japan. The review covers one producer/exporter, Tosoh Corporation, during the period of review April 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made no changes for the final results. The review indicates the existence of no dumping margins for Tosoh Corporations during this period.

DATE: September 15, 2000.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hermes Pinilla, Karin Ryerson, or Richard Rimlinger, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3477, (202) 482–3174 or (202) 482–4477; respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act, by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Departments) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

# Background

On May 8, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antitidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide (EMD) from Japan. See *Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Japan*, 65 FR

265780 (Preliminary Results). Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC and Chemetals, Inc. (collectively "the petitioners"), submitted their case briefs on June 7, 2000. Tosoh Corporation (Tosoh), the sole respondent in this review, submitted its case brief on June 7, 2000. Both the petitioners and Tosoh submitted their rebuttal on June 12, 2000. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

## Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of EMD from Japan. EMD is manganese dioxide (MnO<sub>2</sub>) that has been refined in an electrolysis process. The subject merchandise is an intermediate product used in the production of dry-cell batteries. EMD is sold in three physical forms, powder, chip or plate, and two grades, alkaline and zinc chloride. EMD is all three forms and both grades is included in the scope of the order. This merchandise is currently classifiable under item number 2820.10.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. It is not determinative of the products subject to the order. The written product description remains dispositive.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by the petitioners and Tosoh are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Secretary, dated September 5, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an appendix. This Decision Memo, which is a public document, is on the file in the Central Records Unit, Main Commerce Building, Room B-099, and is accessible on the Web at www.ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

## **Sunset Revocation**

On April 20, 2000, the International Trade Commission (ITC), pursurant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on EMD from Japan would not be likely to lead to continuation of recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. Therefore, because the order will be revoked as a result of the ITC's determination with

an effective date of January 1, 2000, no deposit requirements will be effective for shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review

#### **Final Results of Review**

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made no changes for the final results. Therefore, the final results of review are the same as those we presented in our preliminary results. We have determined that a weighted-average margin of zero percent exists for Tosoh for the period April 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

The Department will issue appraisement instruction directly to the Customs Service.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(A)(1) and 777(i)(l) of the Act.

Dated: September 5, 2000.

### Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Comments and Responses

- 1. Determination of U.S. Price
- 2. Affiliation
- 3. Matching Methodology

[FR Doc. 00–23797 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Recission of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of partial recission of new shipper antidumping duty review

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 15, 2000.

SUMMARY: On June 1, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (65 FR 35046) a notice announcing the initiation of four new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat (crawfish) from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. One new shipper review is now being rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of request for a new shipper antidumping duty review by Rizhao Riyuan Marine and Food Products Co., Ltd. (Rizhao Riyuan).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Gilgunn, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0648.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On March 29, 2000, Rizhao Riyuan, an exporter of the subject merchandise, requested a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on crawfish from the PRC in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b). On June 1, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated a new shipper review of this order for the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000. On July 11, 2000, Rizhao Riyuan withdrew its request for this review.

#### **Recission of Review**

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(f)(1) provide that a party may withdraw its request for a new shipper review within 60 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Rizhao Riyuan's request for withdrawal was made within the 60 day period. Rescission of this review would not prejudice any party in this proceeding, as Rizhao Riyuan would continue to be included in the PRC-wide rate to which it was subject at the time of its request for this new shipper review. Rizhao Riyuan is the only party that requested a review of its sales for the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000, and no other party has objected to its withdrawal of that request. Therefore, we are rescinding this review. This determination is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 351.214(f).