miles of natural gas pipeline and no new electric transmission line would be associated with a plant at this site. The other site is located in Harris County, Georgia, approximately 7.5 miles north of downtown Columbus. The site is adjacent to the east side of Interstate 185 north of Interchange 9. Approximately 3 miles of natural gas pipeline and reconductoring of several miles of electric transmission line would be associated with a plant at this site.

The proposed project will be composed of 6,108 megawatt (nominal) Siemens V84.2 combustion turbines capable of burning natural gas or fuel oil. It is the goal of Oglethorpe Power Corporation to have 4 of the units in operation by the summer of 2002 and the last two in operation in the summer of 2003

Alternatives considered by RUS and Oglethorpe Power Corporation to constructing the generation facility proposed include: (a) no action, (b) purchased power, (c) renewable energy, (d) hydroelectric generation, (e) pumped storage hydroelectric generation, and (f) distributed generation.

An alternative evaluation and site selection study for the project was prepared by Oglethorpe Power Corporation. The alternative evaluation and site selection study are available for public review at RUS in Room 2242, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC, and at the headquarters of Oglethorpe Power Corporation located at 2100 East Exchange Place, Tucker, Georgia. This document will also be available at the Talbot County Library at Jefferson Avenue and Harrison Street in Talbotton, Georgia, phone (706) 665-3134, the Harris County Library in Hamilton, Georgia, phone (706) 628-4685, and the Bradley Library at 1120 Bradley Street in Columbus, Georgia, phone (706) 649-0780.

Government agencies, private organizations, and the public are invited to participate in the planning and analysis of the proposed project. Representatives of RUS and Oglethorpe Power Corporation will be available at the scoping meeting to discuss RUS' environmental review process, describe the project and alternatives under consideration, discuss the scope of environmental issues to be considered, answer questions, and accept oral and written comments. Written comments will be accepted for at least 30 days after the public scoping meeting.

From information provided in the alternative evaluation and site selection study, input that may be provided by government agencies, private organizations, and the public,

Oglethorpe Power Corporation will prepare an environmental analysis to be submitted to RUS for review. RUS will use the environmental analysis to determine the significance of the impacts of the project and may adopt it as its environmental assessment of the project. RUS' environmental assessment of the project would be available for review and comment for 30 days.

Should RUS determine, based on the environmental assessment of the project, that the impacts of the construction and operation of the plant would not have a significant environmental impact, it will prepare a finding of no significant impact. Public notification of a finding of no significant impact would be published in the **Federal Register** and in newspapers with a circulation in the project area.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposed project will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with environmental review requirements as prescribed by CEQ and RUS environmental policies and procedures.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Glendon Deal,

Acting Director, Engineering and Environmental Staff.

[FR Doc. 00–23911 Filed 9–15–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-804]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden and the United Kingdom: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On August 11, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts

thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The period of review is May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999. Based on the correction of certain ministerial errors, we have changed the margins for ball bearings and parts thereof for two Japanese companies. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 18, 2000. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Dirstine or Robin Gray, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4033 or (202) 482–4023,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

respectively.

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On August 11, 2000, the Department published the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden and the United Kingdom (64 FR 35590) (Final Results). The reviews covered 36 manufacturers/ exporters and the period May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999. The Japanese products subject to the orders are ball bearings and parts thereof (BBs), cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof (CRBs), and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof (SPBs).

After publication of our final results, we received a timely allegation from a respondent, Tsubaki-Nakashima Co., Ltd. (Tsubaki), that we had made a ministerial error in calculating the final results. We agree with the respondent. We also received a timely allegation from the petitioner, The Torrington Company, that we had made a ministerial error in calculating the final results applicable to Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd. (Koyo). We agree with the petitioner. Both of these errors were the result of incorrect computerprogramming language we used to calculate the weighted-average margins for the Final Results. See the analysis memorandum from analyst to file, dated September 7, 2000, for a description of the changes we made to correct these ministerial errors.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of the correction of the ministerial errors and amended margin

calculations, the following weightedaverage margins exist for Koyo and Tsubaki for the period May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	BBs rate (percent)	CRBs rate (percent)	SPBs rate (percent)
Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd	5.41 11.85	¹ 0.92 (²)	¹ 0.00 (²)

¹ No change from Final Results.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will also direct Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of review (64 FR 35590) and as amended by this determination. The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Trov H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23903 Filed 9–15–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools From the People's Republic of China; Announcement of Correction to the Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Correction to the Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: On August 18, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published its amended final results of the February 1, 1998 through January 31, 1999 administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China (see 65 FR 50499). In the notice of the amended final results, the Department incorrectly noted that the memorandum concerning the clerical error allegation is to Troy H. Cribb from Holly A. Kuga. The memorandum is to Tom F. Futtner from Ronald M. Trentham.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement Group II, Office IV, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230;

telephone (202) 482–5193. Dated: September 11, 2000.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23902 Filed 9–15–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 091200D]

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting(s).

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council's Comprehensive Management Committee will hold a public meeting.

DATES: The meetings will be held on Monday, October 2, 2000, from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., and Tuesday, October 3, 2000, from 8:30 a.m. until 3:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Sheraton Society Hill, One Dock Street, Philadelphia, PA; telephone: 215-238-6000.

Council Address: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Room 2115, 300 S. New Street, Dover, DE 19904.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel T. Furlong, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council; telephone: 302-674-2331, ext. 19.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this meeting is to discuss and identify possible sources of summer flounder commercial bycatch, i.e., who is responsible for the commercial bycatch, and when and where is it taken. Possible solutions to reduce the take of commercial bycatch, and where bycatch can not be avoided, to reduce the mortality of it will be discussed and developed for purposes of providing written recommendations to the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council regarding the resolution of commercial bycatch in the summer flounder fishery.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Joanna Davis at the Council Office (see ADDRESSES) at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Bruce C. Morehead,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 00–23923 Filed 9–15–00 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

²No shipments or sales subject to this review. The firm has no individual rate from any segment of this proceeding.