

paid claims.<sup>8</sup> We do not know if this projection is based on a trend of an increasing number of paid claims. If the expected increase in paid claims did not occur, the additional revenues generated would have the same effect as a rate increase. We ask the Committee to submit all supporting data, including work papers, associated with the proposed fees and the prediction that a higher percentage of FVP shipments will result in paid claims.<sup>9</sup>

#### *E. Different Carrier Liability on Identical Shipments*

We do not know if the Committee intends a difference in carrier liability for two otherwise identical shipments, one of which has a declared lump sum value and one of which does not. As worded, the proposal would seem to provide a different result. Under the Committee's proposed terms:

All shipments (other than those released to a value not exceeding 60¢ per pound per article) will be deemed released to a minimum lump sum value of \$5,000 or \$4.00 times the actual total weight (in pounds) of the shipment. If the shipper declares or releases the shipment to a valuation that falls between the valuation amounts shown, the next higher valuation amount and the applicable charge associated therewith will apply.

An example will illustrate our concern. There would be a different maximum amount of carrier liability on two identical shipments each weighing 4,000 pounds, with the same charge, depending on whether the shipper wrote in a declared value or left the line for a declared value blank. If the shipper wrote in the figure \$16,000 on the blank for a declared value and the entire shipment were lost, the carrier could be liable for up to \$20,000 (if the shipper demonstrated that the replacement value of his lost goods were that high) because the chosen figure, \$16,000, "falls between the valuation amounts shown" on the carriers' proposed table of charges. But if the shipper does not write anything in the blank for declared value, the declared value of this shipment would be deemed to be

\$16,000 ( $\$4.00 \times 4,000$ ) and the shipper would pay the same valuation charge; however, the carrier's maximum liability would be \$16,000 if the entire shipment were lost. We ask whether the Committee intended this disparate result and if so, whether that is appropriate.

#### *F. Annual Adjustments*

The Committee requests authority to affect annual adjustments in both the proposed minimum valuation per pound and the proposed valuation charges for shipments, based on changes in the "household furnishings and operations" item within the Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) of the United States Department of Labor. We understand that BLS has restructured the household furnishings and operations index, and that certain items frequently included in household goods shipments (televisions and sound equipment, for example) were moved to other indexes. We request additional justification from the Committee regarding the relevance of the proposed index, comparing the items included in the index with all the items commonly included in shipments of household goods.

We invite comments regarding the merits of this or any other index that may be appropriate to establish adjustments in the minimum valuation of shipments and the corresponding charges. Additionally, we invite comments as to whether any methodology for adjusting minimum valuations of household-goods shipments should apply also to the carriers' charges, as the relationship between the costs of providing a specific dollar amount of carrier liability and changes in the value of household goods has not been explained.

#### **5. Summary**

We encourage interested persons to participate in this proceeding by submitting written data, views, or arguments for or against the proposed changes in the released rates authority for motor carriers of household goods. While we are interested particularly in receiving comments on certain issues, as discussed above, we invite comments on all aspects of the proposal. All comments and other materials referred to in this notice will be available for inspection and copying at the Board's address given above. Normal office hours are between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays.

By the Board, Chairman Morgan, Vice Chairman Burkes, and Commissioner Clyburn.

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-28826 Filed 11-8-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

### **Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

November 1, 2000.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before December 11, 2000 to be assured of consideration.

#### **Internal Revenue Service (IRS)**

*OMB Number:* 1545-1691.

*Regulation Project Number:* REG-120882-97 Final.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Continuity of Interest.

*Description:* Taxpayers who entered into a binding agreement on or after January 28, 1998 (the effective date of § 1.368-1T), and before the effective date of the final regulations under § 1.368-1(e) may request a private letter ruling permitting them to apply § 1.368-1(e) to their transaction. A private letter ruling will not be issued unless the taxpayer establishes to the satisfaction of the IRS that there is not a significant risk of different parties to the transaction taking inconsistent positions, for U.S. tax purposes with respect to the applicability of § 1.368-1(e) to the transaction.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 10.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent:* 150 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Other (once).

*Estimated Total Reporting Burden:* 1,500 hours.

*Clearance Officer:* Garrick Shear, Internal Revenue Service, Room 5244, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20224.

<sup>8</sup> The Committee asserts that fewer claims were filed in the past because the \$1.25-per-pound minimum had the effect of discouraging claims for small losses. But current FVP shipments have not been subject to the \$1.25-per-pound minimum. Therefore, we question the Committee's assumption that there would be an increase in the amount of paid claims under the proposed new FVP option.

<sup>9</sup> Concerning the supporting data, we seek an explanation of the basis for arriving at the proposed charges for each of the 19 levels shown in Table 5 of the application. It would be helpful to have information similar to that submitted by the Committee in Attachment No. 3 to its October 1992 application to amend earlier released rate orders (Nos. MC-505 and MC-672).

*OMB Reviewer:* Alexander T. Hunt, (202) 395-7860, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

**Lois K. Holland,**

*Departmental Reports, Management Officer.*  
[FR Doc. 00-28824 Filed 11-8-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Fiscal Service

#### **Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds: Termination—The Connecticut Indemnity Company**

**AGENCY:** Financial Management Service, Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This is Supplement No. 6 to the Treasury Department Circ. 570; 2000 Revision, published June 30, 2000, at 65 FR 40868.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Surety Bond Branch at (202) 874-6850.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Certificate of Authority issued by the Treasury to the above named Company, under the United States Code, Title 31, Sections 9304-9308, to qualify as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds is terminated effective today.

The Company was last listed as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds at 65 FR 40877, June 30, 2000.

With respect to any bonds currently in force with above listed Company, bond-approving officers should secure new bonds with acceptable sureties in

those instances where a significant amount of liability remains outstanding. In addition, bonds that are continuous in nature should be replaced.

The Circular may be viewed and downloaded through the Internet at <http://www.fms.treas.gov/c570/index.html>. A hard copy may be purchased from the Government Printing Office (GPO), Subscription Service, Washington, DC, telephone (202) 512-1800. When ordering the Circular from GPO, use the following stock number: 048-000-00536-5.

Questions concerning this notice may be directed to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, Financial Accounting and Services Division, Surety Bond Branch, 3700 East-West Highway, Room 6A04, Hyattsville, MD 20782.

Dated: November 1, 2000.

**Judith R. Tillman,**

*Assistant Commissioner, Financial Operations, Financial Management Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-28804 Filed 11-8-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-35-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Fiscal Service

#### **Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds: Termination—Security Insurance Company of Hartford**

**AGENCY:** Financial Management Service, Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This is Supplement No. 7 to the Treasury Department Circ. 570; 2000 Revision, published June 30, 2000, at 65 FR 40868.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Surety Bond Branch at (202) 874-6850.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Certification of Authority issued by the Treasury to the above named Company, under the United States Code, Title 31, Sections 9304-9308, to qualify as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds is terminated effective today.

The Company was last listed as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds at 65 FR 40898, June 30, 2000.

With respect to any bonds currently in force with above listed Company, bond-approving officers should secure new bonds with acceptable sureties in those instances where a significant amount of liability remains outstanding. In addition, bonds that are continuous in nature should be replaced.

The Circular may be viewed and downloaded through the Internet at <http://www.fms.treas.gov/c570/index.html>. A hard copy may be purchased from the Government Printing Office (GPO), Subscription Service, Washington, DC, telephone (202) 512-1800. When ordering the Circular from GPO, use the following stock number: 048-000-00536-5.

Questions concerning this notice may be directed to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, Financial Accounting and Services Division, Surety Bond Branch, 3700 East-West Highway, Room 6A04, Hyattsville, MD 20782.

Dated: November 1, 2000.

**Judith R. Tillman,**

*Assistant Commissioner, Financial Operations, Financial Management Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-28803 Filed 11-8-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-35-M**