

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 222

Thursday, November 16, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

### Senior Executive Service: Membership of Performance Review Board

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Performance Review Board will initiate their labors on or about November 15, 2000. The following persons are members of the Performance Review Board for 2000. Members:

Corbett Flannery, Chair  
Robert Lester, SES Member  
Elmer S. Owens, SES Member  
Roxann A. Van Dusen, SES Member  
Lois E. Hartman, Public Member

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Mary Anne Conboy, 202-712-5438.

Dated: November 7, 2000.

**Henry W. Reynolds,**

*Executive Secretary, Executive Resources Board.*

[FR Doc. 00-29390 Filed 11-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6116-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Supplemental to Final Environmental Impact Statement for Uncompahgre National Forest Travel Plan; Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests; Montrose, Gunnison, Mesa, San Miguel, Ouray, Hinsdale, and San Juan Counties, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Prepare a Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in conjunction with an amendment of the land and resource management plan for the Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests.

**SUMMARY:** Over a six-year period the Forest Service, working with the public,

developed the Uncompahgre National Forest Travel Plan and decision. The process included 38 open public meetings, a Draft Environmental Impact Statement, a Final Environmental Impact Statement and a Record of Decision finally published in April of 2000 (published notices 62 FR 25162-25163, 63 FR 49911, 65 FR 26198). The Uncompahgre Travel Plan Decision of April 2000 was reversed on appeal. The issue of Forest Plan compliance with the standard for Habitat Capability was raised in the appeal. In his reversal decision, Deputy Regional Forester Tom Thompson instructed the Forest Supervisor to "promptly begin a new decisionmaking process" incorporating a "procedural remedy of the NEPA/NFMA flaw" discovered through the appeal. NEPA is the National Environmental Policy Act, which requires Environmental Analyses of proposed Federal actions; NFMA is the National Forest Management Act, which establishes Forest Plans.

The Forest Service will prepare a supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Uncompahgre National Forest Travel Plan. The supplement will examine a proposed amendment to the Land and Resource Management Plan for the Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, Gunnison National Forests (GMUG) to accommodate departure from the Forest Plan standards for Habitat Capability for an Uncompahgre Travel Plan Decision. Alternatives will be considered, including the No Action Alternative.

**Public Participation:** Scoping is not a required part of the preparation of a Supplement to an EIS. However limited scoping was conducted, with a comment period from mid September through October 20, 2000. There was an open public meeting at the Montrose Pavilion on September 27, to discuss this proposal.

**ADDRESSES:** To be included on the mailing list to receive copies of the Draft Supplement to the FEIS please send your address to: Uncompahgre Travel Plan, GMUG National Forests, 2250 Highway 50, Delta, CO 81416.

**Responsible Official:** Robert L. Storch, Forest Supervisor of the Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests, 2250 Highway 50, Delta, CO.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Burch, Project Leader, at (970) 874-6600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We expect to file a draft supplement to the final environmental impact statement with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and make it available for public comment on December 2000. At that time, the EPA will publish a notice of availability for the DSEIS in the **Federal Register**. The comment period on the DSEIS will be 60 days from the date the EPA publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The agency expects to file a final SEIS in April 2001.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the DSEIS must participate in the environmental review of the proposal in such a way that their participation is meaning full and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions; *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*. 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 60 day comment period that will be provided for public review of the Draft Supplement to the EIS, so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the FSEIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns relating to the proposed actions, comments on the DSEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DSEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statements. In addressing these points, reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3.

After the comment period on the DSEIS ends, comments will be analyzed, considered, and responded to by the Forest Service in preparing the Final Supplemental EIS. The FSEIS is scheduled to be completed in April 2001. The responsible official will consider the comments, responses,

environmental consequences discussed in the FSEIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making decisions regarding these revisions. The responsible official will document the decisions and reasons for the decisions in a Record of Decision. The decision will be subject to appeal in accordance with 36 CFR 215.

Dated: October 30, 2000.

**Robert L. Storch,**

*Forest Supervisor, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests, Rocky Mountain Region, USDA Forest Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-29283 Filed 11-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### **Gold/Boulder/Sullivan, Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, Montana**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of revision of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The USDA—Forest Service is revising its notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Gold/Boulder/Sullivan Project, as described in the **Federal Register** dated March 24, 2000 (Vol. 65, No. 58, Pages 15892-15895), due to the following major changes:

1. The filing of the DEIS will be delayed more than six months, and
2. There will be changes to the Proposed Action

The delay and changes are attributable to wildfires that occurred in the Gold/Boulder/Sullivan Project Area during August 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Contact Ron Komac, Acting NEPA Coordinator, Rexford Ranger District, Phone (406) 296-7130.

Dated: November 7, 2000.

**Bob Castaneda,**

*Forest Supervisor, Kootenai National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 00-29387 Filed 11-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### **Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration**

#### **Advisory Committee Meeting**

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of the following committee meeting:

*Name:* Grain Inspection Advisory Committee.

*Dates:* November 28-29, 2000.

*Place:* Crystal City Marriott, 1999 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia.

*Time:* 8 am-5 pm on November 28 and 8 am-11:30 am on November 29, 2000.

*Purpose:* To provide advice to the Administrator of the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA) with respect to the implementation of the U.S. Grain Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

The agenda includes a review and discussion of GIPSA's financial status, wheat dockage proposal, reauthorization, biotechnology, research initiatives, standardization and training services for the grain industry, certification of producers and grain elevators, and other related issues concerning the delivery of grain inspection and weighing services to American agriculture.

Public participation will be limited to written statements, unless permission is received from the Committee Chairman to orally address the Committee. Persons, other than members, who wish to address the Committee or submit written statements before or after the meeting, should contact the Administrator, GIPSA, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 3601, Washington, DC 20250-3601, telephone (202) 720-0219 or FAX (202) 205-9237.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication of program information or related accommodations should contact Marianne Plaus, telephone (202) 690-3460 or FAX (202) 205-9237.

Dated: November 9, 2000.

**David R. Shipman,**

*Acting Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-29474 Filed 11-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-EN-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **International Trade Administration**

#### **[A-570-808; A-583-810]**

#### **Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**ACTION:** Notice of revocation of antidumping duty orders: chrome-plated lug nuts from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the United States International Trade Commission ("the Commission") determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from the People's Republic of China ("China") and Taiwan is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See 65 FR 66558 (November 6, 2000). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is revoking the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from China and Taiwan. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2), the effective date of revocation is January 1, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 2000.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

#### **Background**

On August 2, 1999, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from China and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.<sup>1</sup> As a result of the reviews, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the antidumping orders revoked.<sup>2</sup>

On November 6, 2000, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from China and Taiwan would not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts from China and Taiwan, 65 FR 66558 (November 6, 2000) and USITC

<sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews; 64 FR 41915 (August 2, 1999), and Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts from China and Taiwan, 64 FR 41949 (August 2, 1999).

<sup>2</sup> See Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Sunset Reviews: 65 FR 11762 (March 6, 2000).