

using of the expected” is corrected to read “must be computed using the expected”.

§ 1.401(a)(9)-6 [Corrected]

10. On page 3942, column 3, line 2 of the section heading of § 1.401(a)(9)-6, the language “distributions as annuity payments.” is corrected to read “distributions from defined benefit plans.”.

11. On page 3944, column 1, § 1.401(a)(9)-6, paragraph (a)(2) of A-3, line 13, the language “the calendar year that contains on the” is corrected to read “the calendar year that contains the”.

12. On page 3945, column 2, § 1.401(a)(9)-6, paragraph (a) of A-10, lines 11 and 12 from the bottom of the paragraph, the language “starting date but before the annuity starting date determined under A-2 of” is corrected to read “starting date but before the required beginning date determined under A-2 of”.

§ 1.401(a)(9)-8 [Corrected]

13. On page 3947, column 1, § 1.401(a)(9)-8, paragraph (b) of A-2, line 6 from the bottom of the paragraph, the language “401(a)(9)(B)(iii) and (iv) even through” is corrected to read “401(a)(9)(B)(iii) and (iv) even though”.

14. On page 3949, column 1, § 1.409(a)(9)-8, paragraph A-13, line 6, the language “section 242(b) was preserved.” is corrected to read “section 242(b) of TEFRA was preserved.”.

§ 1.403(b)-2 [Corrected]

15. On page 3950, column 1, § 1.403(b)-2, paragraph (c) of A-2, lines 1 and 2, the language “(c) The pre-’86 account balance and the post-’87 account balance have no” is corrected to read “(c) The pre-’87 account balance and the post-’86 account balance have no”.

§ 1.408-8 [Corrected]

16. On page 3950, column 3, § 1.408-8, paragraph A-4, second line from the bottom of the column, the language “the IRA or, as permitted under A-8 of” is corrected to read “the IRA or, as permitted under A-9 of”.

17. On page 3951, column 3, § 1.408-8, paragraph A-8, line 15, the language “provided in A-4(a) of § 1.401(a)(9)-7 in” is corrected to read “provided in A-4 of § 1.401(a)(9)-7 in”.

18. On page 3951, column 3, § 1.408-8, paragraph Q-9, line 3, the language “permitted to distributed from another” is corrected to read “permitted to be distributed from another”.

19. On page 3952, column 1, § 1.408-8, paragraph Q-10, line 1, the language

“Q-10. Is the trustee of an IRA required” is corrected to read “Q-10. Is the trustee, custodian, or issuer of an IRA required”.

20. On page 3952, column 1, § 1.408-8, paragraph A-10, line 1, the language “A-10. Yes. The trustee of an IRA is” is corrected to read, “A-10. Yes. The trustee, custodian, or issuer of an IRA is”.

§ 54.4974-2 [Corrected]

21. On page 3952, column 1, § 54.4974-2, paragraph A-1, last line in the column, the language “Q&A-6 provides a special rule for” is corrected to read “Q&A-7 provides a special rule for”.

22. On page 3953, column 1, § 54.4974-2, the introductory text of paragraph (b) of A-4, line 7 from the top of the column, the language “A-2 of this section. If the annuity” is corrected to read “A-3 of this section. If the annuity”.

23. On page 3953, column 3, § 54.4974-2, paragraph Q-5, line 5, the language “to be distributed under section, what is” is corrected to read “to be distributed under section 401(a)(9), what is”.

24. On page 3954, column 1, § 54.4974-2, paragraph A-7, line 5 from the bottom of the paragraph, the language “year which contains the employee’s” is corrected to read “year which contains the employee’s or individual’s”.

25. On page 3954, column 1, § 54.4974-2, paragraph A-7, last line of the paragraph, the language “the employee’s required beginning date.” is corrected to read “the employee’s or individual’s required beginning date.”.

26. On page 3954, column 1, § 54.4974-2, paragraph (b)(1) of A-8, second line from the bottom of the paragraph, the language “employee’s death before the employee’s” is corrected to read “employee’s or individual’s death before the employee’s or individual’s”.

27. On page 3954, column 2, § 54.4974-2, paragraph (b)(2) of A-8, last line of the paragraph, the language “employee’s date of death.” is corrected to read “employee’s or individuals date of death.”.

Cynthia Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Office of Special Counsel (Modernization & Strategic Planning).

[FR Doc. 01-3635 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01-374, MM Docket No. 01-41, RM-10058]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Merced, CA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Sainte 51, L.P., permittee of station KNSO(TV), NTSC channel 51, Merced, California, requests the substitution of DTV channel 5 for station KNSO(TV)’s assigned DTV 38. DTV Channel 5 can be allotted to Merced, California, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (37-04-18 N. and 119-25-53 W.). As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel 5 to Merced with a power of 12.9 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 756 meters.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 9, 2001, and reply comments on or before April 24, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Anne Goodwin Crump, Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C., 11th Floor, 1300 North 17th Street, Arlington, Virginia 22209-3801 (Counsel for Sainte 51, L.P.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission’s Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 01-41, adopted February 13, 2001, and released February 14, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission’s copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter

is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§ 73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under California is amended by removing DTV Channel 38 and adding DTV Channel 5 at Merced.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 01-4208 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 224

[I.D. 072600A]

Endangered and Threatened Species; Re-opening of Comment Period and Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Range Extension for Endangered Steelhead in Southern California

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; public hearing and re-opening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: NMFS is re-opening the public comment period for, and has scheduled a public hearing on, the proposal to extend the current range of endangered steelhead in southern California. NMFS has received several

requests for a public hearing that would allow further opportunity for the public to participate in an exchange of information among interested parties and to provide oral and written testimony on the proposal. NMFS has determined that these requests are reasonable and has scheduled a public hearing and re-opened the public comment period to facilitate the receipt of the public's views on the proposal.

DATES: A public hearing is scheduled in San Clemente, California on March 12, 2001, from 6 p.m. to 9 p.m. Written comments on the proposed range extension must be received by March 22, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The public hearing will be held at the City of San Clemente's Community Center Auditorium which is located at 100 N. Calle Seville in San Clemente, CA. Written comments on the proposed range extension and requests for reference materials should be sent to the Assistant Regional Administrator, Protected Resources Division, NMFS, Southwest Region, 501 West Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802-4213.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Craig Wingert, (562) 980-4021, or Chris Mobley, (301) 713-1401. Copies of the **Federal Register** documents cited herein and additional salmon-related materials are available via the Internet at www.nwr.noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In August 1997, NMFS listed the Southern California steelhead Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) as an endangered species and defined its southern limit as Malibu Creek in Los Angeles County, CA, based on the information available at that time. On December 19, 2000, (65 FR 79328), NMFS issued a proposed rule to extend the current range of the Southern California steelhead ESU southward to include the population of steelhead recently found in San Mateo Creek which is located in northern San Diego County. This proposal was based on recent information indicating that steelhead had been found in two coastal river watersheds south of Malibu Creek, and had successfully spawned in San Mateo Creek. Within this redefined Southern California steelhead ESU, NMFS proposed to list naturally spawned steelhead and their progeny which reside below naturally occurring and man-made barriers.

NMFS did not announce any public hearings in its December 19, 2000 (65 FR 79328) proposal, but did indicate that it would consider requests for

hearings received by February 2, 2001. In late January 2001, NMFS received several requests for a public hearing to be held on this proposal. Requests for a public hearing were received from California Trout, the California Native Plant Society, the Sierra Club, Trout Unlimited, the Surfrider Foundation, South Coast Audubon, and several private individuals. NMFS has determined that these requests are reasonable, and, therefore, has scheduled a public hearing and is re-opening the public comment period to accommodate the hearing as well as provide sufficient notice of the hearing date and location. During the extended public comment period, NMFS is continuing to solicit any specific information, comments, data, and/or recommendations on any aspect of the December 19, 2000, proposal from all interested parties. In particular, NMFS is requesting information or data as described in the **Federal Register** document announcing the proposed range extension (see 65 FR 79328). This information is considered important in helping NMFS make a final determination on its proposal.

NMFS will consider all information, comments, and recommendations received during the extended comment period and the public hearing before reaching a final decision on the proposal.

Dated: February 15, 2001.

William T. Hogarth,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 01-4290 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 010208032-1032-01; I.D. 121200L]

RIN 0648-AM47

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Proposed 2001 Specifications for the Atlantic Bluefish Fishery; Regulatory Amendment

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed 2001 specifications for the Atlantic bluefish fishery; regulatory amendment; request for comments.