biological, and herbicide—spot, localized, broadcast, and aerial application);

- Allow the use of herbicides available to treat any kind of vegetation needing management for rights-of-way;
- Continue our current practice of controlling vegetation in electrical yards using primarily pre-emergent herbicides;
- For other non-electric facilities, continue to have available a variety of methods for use to manage vegetation, including manual and mechanical methods, herbicides, and fertilizers;
- Use the planning steps outlined in the FEIS to guide site-specific vegetation projects and their environmental review tiered to the FEIS;
- Use only a list of herbicides that Bonneville approves for use; and
- Adopt a procedure of environmental review tiered to the FEIS for adding or eliminating herbicide ingredients, or new methods or techniques to the program.

This supplemental ROD addresses three herbicide-use decisions that were overlooked in the original ROD.

c. Rationale for the Decisions

The rationales for adopting the decisions described in this supplemental ROD are as follows:

(1) Herbicide Mixtures. Bonneville analyzed the impacts of herbicide active ingredients in the FEIS. In most cases, the toxicity ratings for a herbicide mixture will be the same as that of the single active ingredient in the mixture that has the highest toxicity rating. Therefore, the potential environmental impacts of using the mixture would be the same as using the ingredients singly, and those impacts have been analyzed in the FEIS.

There is a potential that mixing two herbicides could cause a synergistic effect such that the toxicity of the herbicide mixture is greater than that of either herbicide used singly. Therefore, if the mixtures have known synergistic effects, or are likely to have synergistic effects, we would conduct further NEPA review to determine (a) the potential impacts of those effects, (b) if the impacts are consistent with impacts disclosed in the FEIS, and (c) whether the use of the mixture would be allowed in the program. This process ensures that Bonneville will be considering the environmental impact of the use of herbicide mixtures.

(2) Adjuvants. Adjuvants are ingredients mixed with herbicides to enhance the usefulness of the herbicide (such as sticking agents, thickening agents, stabilizers, etc.). Adjuvants by themselves can potentially cause

environmental impacts. If Bonneville wants to add adjuvants not considered in the FEIS for use in the program, we will develop a supplement analysis, which includes public involvement as discussed in the initial ROD, to make sure the potential impacts are considered.

(3) Herbicide Facility Use Correction. Bonneville intended to list glyphosate as a herbicide to be used at non-electric facilities (it was analyzed in the FEIS and approved for use on rights-of-way and in electric yards). The human health toxicity assessment and ecological toxicities and characteristics of glyphosate are as researched and reported in the FEIS. Glyphosate is practically non-toxic to mammals, avian species, and microorganisms. Glyphosate is moderately toxic to aquatic species. Glyphosate has no soil residual activity and must be applied by direct foliar application thereby reducing direct or indirect introduction into water bodies. Keeping in mind that using glyphosate at non-electric facilities typically means for use in landscaping, adding glyphosate for landscaping will potentially decrease or eliminate the use and amount of more toxic active ingredients already approved for use at non-electric facilities.

Issued in Portland, Oregon, on February 16, 2001.

Steven G. Hickok,

Acting Administrator and Chief Executive Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–4640 Filed 2–23–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER01-294-000 and ER01-294-001]

Ameren Energy Development Company; Notice of Issuance of Order

February 14, 2001.

Ameren Energy Development
Company (Ameren Energy) submitted
for filing a rate schedule under which
Ameren Energy will engage in
wholesale electric power and energy
transactions at market-based rates.
Ameren Energy also requested waiver of
various Commission regulations. In
particular, Ameren Energy requested
that the Commission grant blanket
approval under 18 CFR part 34 of all
future issuances of securities and
assumptions of liability by Ameren
Energy.

On February 9, 2001, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Corporate Applications, Office of Markets, Tariffs and Rates, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Ameren Energy should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Ameren is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Ameren's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is March 12, 2001.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–4574 Filed 2–23–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. MG01-20-000]

Egan Hub Partners, L.P.; Notice of Filing

February 20, 2001.

On February 12, 2001, Egan Hub Partners, L.P. filed revised standards of conduct under Order No. 637.¹

¹ Regulation of Short-Term Natural Gas Transportation Services, and Regulation of

Egan Hub Partners, L.P. states that it served copies of the filing on all customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest in this proceeding with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before March 7, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–4577 Filed 2–23–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PR01-6-000]

Enogex, Inc; Notice of Petition for Rate Approval

February 21, 2001.

Take notice that on January 23, 2001, Enogex, Inc. (Enogex) filed a petition for rate approval, pursuant to section 284.123(b)(2) of the Commission's regulations, to change the manner in which it charges for fuel use on its system. Enogex proposes to include a new definition of "System Fuel" in the statement of operating conditions; to institute a fuel tracker and to priceadjust the annual fuel tracker to account for fuel on a value equivalent basis; to make the mainline transmission fuel

Interstate Natural Gas Transportation Services, Order No. 637, 65 Fed. Reg. 10156 (February 25, 2000), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,091 (February 9, 2000), Order No. 637–A, 65 Fed. Reg. 35705 (June 5, 2000), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 21,099 (May 19, 2000), Order No. 637–B, 92 FERC ¶ 61,062 (2000).

retention percentage applicable to the transportation of all gas received and delivered on Enogex's mainline transmission system, including receipts and deliveries of gas that is first compressed and dehydrated on Enogex's low pressure gathering systems.

Pursuant to section 284.123(b)(2)(ii) of the Commission's regulations, if the Commission does not act within 150 days of the Petition's filing date, the rates proposed therein will be deemed to be fair and equitable and not in excess of an amount that interstate pipelines would be permitted to charge for similar services. The Commission may, prior to the expiration of the 150-day period, extend the time for action or institute a proceeding.

Any person desiring to participate in this rate proceeding must file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All motions must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission on or before March 8, 2001. This petition for rate approval is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.200(a)(1)(iii) and the instruction on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us.efi/ doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–4637 Filed 2–23–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. GP91-8-012; GP91-10-012]

Jack J. Grynberg, Individual and as General Partner for the Greater Green River Basin Drilling Program: 72–73 v. Rocky Mountain Natural Gas Company, a Division of K N Energy Inc.; Rocky Mountain Natural Gas Company, a Division of K N Energy Inc. v. Jack J. Grynberg, Individually and as General Partner for the Greater Green River Basin Drilling Program: 72–73; Notice of Refund Report

February 21, 2001.

Take notice that on December 21, 2000, Jack J. Grynberg (Grynberg) tendered for filing a Refund Report reflecting refunds due under the Commission's November 21, 2000 "Order Denying Rehearing and on Compliance Filing" (November 2000 Order) issued in the captioned proceedings. In addition, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (C) of the November 2000 Order, Grynberg stated he transmitted a check for \$597,107.97 to Questar Pipeline Company (Questar). Grynberg submitted his refund report and made payment to Questar without prejudice to his ability to seek judicial review of the November 2000 Order and the recovery of amounts paid, with interest, if the November 2000 Order is reversed and no refunds are required.

The refund report documents the ceiling price applicable under the Natural Gas Act and Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 for each of the four wells for which refunds are due, and the formula used to calculate such refunds. Pursuant to the November 2000 Order, refunds were calculated only for sales prior to July 26, 1989. The amount paid Questar reflects total refunds due with interest through December 21, 2000, subject to a reduction for uncollectible royalties previously paid to the Colorado State Board of Line Commissioners (CSB) and to the U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service (MMS). The royalties attributable to the CSB totaled \$6,611 and to the MMS totaled \$78,690.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, should file on or before March 4, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make