

not less than the appraised value specified above.

The private landowner will make an application for conveyance of those mineral interests offered under the authority of Section 209(b) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2757; 43 U.S.C. 1719). A nonrefundable fee of \$50 will be required from the private landowner for purchase of the mineral interests. Those mineral interests to be conveyed simultaneously with the sale of the land have been determined to have no known mineral value.

The conveyance document, when issued, will contain certain reservations to the United States and will be subject to any existing rights-of-way and any other valid existing rights. Detailed information concerning this sale is available for review at the Kingman Field Office, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 2475 Beverly Avenue, Kingman, Arizona 86401, (520) 692-4416.

For a period of 45 days from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, interested parties may submit comments to the Field Manager, Kingman Field Office, at the above address. In the absence of timely objections, this proposal shall become the final determination of the Department of the Interior.

Dated: February 22, 2001.

**John Christensen,**

*Field Manager, Kingman Field Office.*

[FR Doc. 01-5361 Filed 3-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-U

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-703 and 705 (Review)]

### Furfuryl Alcohol from China and Thailand; Notice of Commission Determination to Conduct a Portion of the Hearing in Camera

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing.

**SUMMARY:** Upon request of foreign producer Indo-Rama Chemicals (Thailand) Ltd. ("Indo-Rama") conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned investigation scheduled for March 1, 2001, *in camera*. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission has

determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1)).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gracemary Rizzo, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3117, e-mail grizzo@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-3105.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission believes that Indo-Rama has justified the need for a closed session. In this review, significant data for both the foreign and domestic industries are business proprietary. Indo-Rama seeks a closed session in order to fully address the issues before the Commission without referring to business proprietary information. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will begin with public presentations by Penn Speciality Chemicals ("PSC"), a domestic producer opposing revocation of the antidumping duty order, followed by foreign respondents in support of revocation. During the public session, the Commission may question the parties following their respective presentations. Next, the hearing will include a 10-minute *in camera* session for a confidential presentation by Indo-Rama and for questions from the Commission relating to the BPI, followed by a 10-minute *in camera* rebuttal presentation by PSC. For any *in camera* session the room will be cleared of all persons except those who have been granted access to BPI under a Commission administrative protective order (APO) and are included on the Commission's APO service list in these investigations. See 19 CFR 201.35(b)(1), (2). The time for the parties' presentations and rebuttals in the *in camera* session will be taken from their respective overall time allotments for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the *in camera* portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.

**Authority:** The General Counsel has certified, pursuant to Commission Rule 201.39 (19 CFR 201.39) that a portion of the Commission's hearing in Furfuryl Alcohol from China and Thailand, Inv Nos. 731-TA-703 and 705 (Review), may be closed to the public to prevent the disclosure of BPI.

Issued: February 28, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-5306 Filed 3-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-450]

### Certain Integrated Circuits, Processes for Making Same, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 26, 2001, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of United Microelectronics Corporation of Hsinchu City, Taiwan, UMC Group (USA) of Sunnyvale, California, and United Foundry Service, Inc. of Hopewell Junction, New York. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on February 7, 2001. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,559,352, and claims 1, 3-16, and 19-21 of U.S. Letters Patent 6,117,345. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the

Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Shival P. Virmani, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2568.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2000).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 26, 2001, *Ordered That*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits or products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, or 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,559,352 or claims 1, 3–16, or 19–21 of U.S. Letters Patent 6,117,345, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

United Microelectronics Corporation,  
No. 3, Li-Hsin Road 2, Science-Based  
Industrial Park, Hsinchu City, Taiwan  
UMC Group (USA), 488 De Guigne  
Drive, Sunnyvale, CA 94086  
United Foundry Service, Inc., 1989  
Route 52, Hopewell Junction, NY  
12533

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:  
Silicon Integrated Systems Corp., No.  
16, Creation Road, Science-Based  
Industrial Park  
Hsinchu City, Taiwan, Silicon  
Integrated Systems Corporation, 240  
North Wolfe Road, Sunnyvale, CA  
94086

(c) Shival P. Virmani, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401–J, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 27, 2001.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01–5305 Filed 3–5–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–U**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigations Nos. 731–TA–919–920 (Preliminary)]**

### Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan and Mexico

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Japan and

Mexico of certain welded large diameter line pipe,<sup>2</sup> that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

#### Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

#### Background

On January 10, 2001, a petition was filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Berg Steel Pipe Corp., Panama City, FL; American Steel Pipe Division of American Cast Iron Pipe Co., Birmingham, AL; and Stupp Corp., Baton Rouge, LA; alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of LTFV imports of certain welded large diameter line pipe from Japan and Mexico. Accordingly, effective January 10, 2001, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigations Nos. 731–TA–919–920 (Preliminary).

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of these investigations, certain welded large diameter line pipe is welded carbon and alloy steel line pipe, of circular cross section and with an outside diameter greater than 406.4 mm (16 inches), whether or not stenciled. This product is normally produced according to American Petroleum Institute specifications, including Grades A25, A, B, and X grades ranging from X42 to X80, but can also be produced to other specifications. The product is provided for in subheadings 7305.11.10, 7305.11.50, 7305.12.10, 7305.12.50, 7305.19.10, and 7305.19.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).