

NSF form number—Antarctica	Annual burden hours	NSF form number—official visitors	Annual burden hours	NSF form number—arctic	Annual burden hours
1425 .....	6,000.00	1455	40.00	1465	100.000
1426* .....	37.50	*1456	0.50	*1466	0.625
1427** .....	0.09	.....	.....	**1467	0.090
1428*** .....	25.0	.....	.....	***1468	<1.00
1429*** .....	50.0	.....	.....	***1469	<1.00
Total .....	28,882.59	.....	252.30	.....	482.22

\*These forms are completed by under age 40 participants only and are completed every other year.

\*\*This form is only completed by participants who are under age 18.

\*\*\*For those individuals who request a waiver after being not physically qualified.

*Frequency of Responses:* Individuals must complete the forms annually to be current within 12 months of their anticipated deployment dates. Depending on individual medical status some persons may require additional laboratory results to be current within two to six weeks of anticipated deployment.

**Teresa R. Pierce,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-5679 Filed 3-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-146]

### Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation and GPU Nuclear Corporation; Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 issued to GPU Nuclear Corporation and Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation (the licensees), for possession of the Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility (SNEF), located in Saxton, Bedford County, Pennsylvania.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 to change the legal name GPU Nuclear Corporation to GPU Nuclear, Inc. wherever it appears in the license to reflect the change in name of the corporation.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensees' application for amendment dated November 30, 2000, as supplemented by letter dated January 18, 2001.

##### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to conform the license to reflect the change in the legal name of GPU Nuclear Corporation to GPU Nuclear, Inc.

##### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed amendment to the SNEF amended facility license to reflect the change in the legal name of GPU Nuclear Corporation to GPU Nuclear, Inc. will have no impact on the continued safe possession of the facility. Also, the change does not alter the corporate existence of the company, or its obligations, responsibilities or liabilities as related to its responsibility as a licensee for the SNEF. All legal characteristics, other than the legal name of the company will remain the same. An identical change was previously approved by the NRC for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Amendment No. 194 issued on January 14, 1998) and for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Generating Station, Unit-1 (Amendment No. 207 issued on April 24, 1998).

Therefore, the proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental

impacts associated with the proposed action.

##### Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

##### Alternative Use of Resources

This action involves an administrative amendment that does not involve the use of irreversible or irretrievable resources. Therefore, there is no alternative use of resources to be considered.

##### Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 4, 2001, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, M. Murphy of the Bureau of Radiation Protection, Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

##### Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 30, 2000, as supplemented by letter dated January 18, 2001, which are available for public inspection, and/or copied for a fee, at the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from

the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of January, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Ledyard B. Marsh,**

*Chief, Events Assessment, Generic Communications and Non-Power Reactors Branch, Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-5744 Filed 3-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting of the Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal will hold a meeting on March 27, 2001, Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Tuesday, March 27, 2001—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business.*

The Subcommittee will review selected boiling water reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP) reports applicable to Hatch license renewal and the proposed final revisions of license renewal regulatory guidance documents (Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) report, Standard Review Plan, Regulatory Guide, and NEI 95-10, Industry Guideline for Implementing the Requirements of 10 CFR Part 54—The License Renewal Rule). The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, BWRVIP, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor, can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Sam Duraiswamy (telephone 301/415-7364) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 1, 2001.

**James E. Lyons,**

*Associate Director for Technical Support, ACRS/ACNW.*

[FR Doc. 01-5752 Filed 3-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards

### Meeting of the Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Plant License Renewal will hold a meeting on March 28, 2001, Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Wednesday, March 28, 2001—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business*

The Subcommittee will discuss the draft Safety Evaluation Report for the Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., license renewal application for Hatch Units 1 and 2. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be

accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor, can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Sam Duraiswamy (telephone 301/415-7364) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: March 1, 2001.

**James E. Lyons,**

*Associate Director for Technical Support, ACRS/ACNW.*

[FR Doc. 01-5753 Filed 3-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application to Withdraw from Listing and Registration; (Integrated Orthopaedics, Inc., Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value) File No. 1-10677

March 2, 2001.

Integrated Orthopaedics, Inc., a Texas corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d)

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).