

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item 3406.00.00. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Bernard T. Carreau, fulfilling the duties of Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 6, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/frnhome.htm>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of comments received, we have made no changes in the margin for the final results.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following margin exists for the period August 1, 1998, through July 31, 1999:

Petroleum Wax Candles

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
People's Republic of China Country-Wide Rate	54.21

Liquidation

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to Customs.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of petroleum wax candles from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or

after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For all PRC exporters, all of which were found not to be entitled to separate rates, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC country-wide rate, which is 54.21 percent; and (2) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Reminders

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 6, 2001.

Timothy J. Hauser,

Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.

Appendix

List of Issues

1. Use of Adverse Facts Available
2. Separate Rates
3. Cost Allocation Methodology/Adequacy of Information
4. Request for Issuance of Additional Supplemental Questionnaires
5. Options for Dumping Margin
6. Inappropriate Dumping Margin

[FR Doc. 01-6230 Filed 3-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-508-809, A-821-813, A-570-864, C-508-810]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium From Israel, the Russian Federation, and the People's Republic of China and Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determinations: Pure Magnesium From Israel

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Craig Matney (Israel) or James Nunno (the Russian Federation and the People's Republic of China) at (202) 482-1778 and (202) 482-0783, respectively; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

On November 6, 2000, the Department initiated antidumping duty investigations of imports of pure magnesium from Israel, the Russian Federation, and the People's Republic of China. The notice of initiation stated that we would make our preliminary determinations for the antidumping duty investigations by March 26, 2001. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Pure Magnesium From Israel, the Russian Federation, and the People's Republic of China*, 65 FR 68,121, 68,125 (November 14, 2000) (*Initiation Notice*).

On March 1, 2001, the petitioners¹ made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a 28-day

¹ The petitioners in this case are Magnesium Corporation of America (Magcorp), the United Steel Workers of America, Local 8319, and the United Steelworkers of America, Local 482 (collectively, "the petitioners").

postponement of the preliminary determinations, until April 23, 2001, pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act. The petitioners requested postponements for additional time to submit comments regarding the respondents' supplemental questionnaire responses and for the Department to analyze the respondents' data and seek additional data, if necessary, prior to the issuance of the preliminary determinations.

For the reasons identified by the petitioners, and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, we are postponing the preliminary determinations under section 733(c)(1) of the Act. We will make our preliminary determinations no later than April 23, 2001.

Alignment With Final Antidumping Duty Determination

On February 27, 2001, the petitioners submitted a letter requesting alignment of the final determination in the countervailing duty investigation of pure magnesium from Israel with the final determinations in the companion antidumping duty investigations. (See *Initiation Notice*.) In accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Act, we are aligning the final determination in the countervailing duty investigation of pure magnesium from Israel with the final determinations in the antidumping investigations of pure magnesium from China, Israel, and Russia.

This determination is published pursuant to sections 703(f), 733(f), and 777(i) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: March 6, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-6121 Filed 3-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-841]

Vector Supercomputers From Japan: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Order and Intent To Revoke Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed

circumstances antidumping duty review, and intent to revoke order.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b), Cray Inc. ("Cray") filed a request for changed circumstances review of the antidumping order on vector supercomputers from Japan. Domestic producers of the like product have expressed no interest in continuation of the order with respect to these particular vector supercomputers. In response to Cray's request, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is initiating a changed circumstances review with respect to the request and issuing a notice of intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on vector supercomputers from Japan. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Young, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 27, 2001, Cray requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review and revoke the antidumping duty order on vector supercomputers from Japan, retroactive to October 1, 2000. In their February 27, 2001 request, Cray claims that it is the only U.S. producer of vector supercomputers and was the sole petitioner in the antidumping investigation that led to the antidumping order. Further, Cray states that it no longer has an interest in maintaining this order.

Scope of Review

The scope of this order consists of all vector supercomputers, whether new or used, and whether in assembled or unassembled form, as well as vector supercomputer spare parts, repair parts, upgrades, and system software, shipped to fulfill the requirements of a contract

entered into on or after October 16, 1997, for the sale and, if included, maintenance of a vector supercomputer. A vector supercomputer is any computer with a vector hardware unit as an integral part of its central processing unit boards.

In general, the vector supercomputers imported from Japan, whether assembled or unassembled, covered by this order are classifiable under heading 8471 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States ("HTS"). Merchandise properly classified under HTS numbers 8471.10 and 8471.30, however, is excluded from the scope of this order. Although, these references to the HTS are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Revoke Order

Pursuant to sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h)(2) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order, in whole or in part, based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. Section 351.222(g) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances administrative review under 19 CFR 351.216, and may revoke an order (in whole or in part), if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if other changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

Cray is a domestic interested party as defined by section 771(9)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b). Cray indicated that it is the only U.S. producer of the domestic like product to which this order pertains. Therefore, based on the lack of interest by the domestic industry in the continued application of the antidumping duty order on vector supercomputers from Japan, we are initiating this changed-circumstances review. Further, based on the affirmative statement of no interest by Cray, we have determined that