

order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan with regard to products which meet the specifications detailed above, in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(d)(1). Also, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties, as applicable, and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products meeting the specifications indicated above, and not subject to final results of an administrative review as of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the final results of this changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222. We will also instruct Customs to pay interest on such refunds in accordance with section 778 of the Act.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This changed circumstances administrative review, partial revocation of the antidumping duty order and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Act and sections 351.216 and 351.222(g) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: March 9, 2001.

**Timothy J. Hauser,**

*Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.*

[FR Doc. 01-6471 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-840]

#### Manganese Metal from the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review of manganese metal from the People's Republic of China.

**SUMMARY:** We have determined that sales have been made below normal value during the period of review of February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000. Based on our review of comments received and a re-examination of surrogate value data, we have made certain changes in the margin calculation for all of the reviewed companies. Consequently, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for these firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review." Based on these final results of review, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties based on the difference between the export price and normal value on all appropriate entries.

We have also determined that the review of China National Electronics Import & Export Hunan Company should be rescinded.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Greg Campbell or Suresh Maniam, Group 1, Office I, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2239 or (202) 482-0176, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

##### Background

The period of review ("POR") is February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000. This review covers the following exporters (referred to collectively as "the respondents"): China Metallurgical Import & Export Hunan Corporation/Hunan Nonferrous Metals Import & Export Associated Corporation ("CMECHN/CNIECHN"), Minmetals Precious and Rare Minerals Import & Export Company ("Minmetals"), London & Scandinavian Metallurgical Co. Ltd./Shieldalloy Metallurgical Corporation ("LSM/SMC"),<sup>1</sup> Sumitomo Canada, Ltd. ("SCL"), and China

<sup>1</sup> SMC is the affiliated U.S. import of manganese from the U.K. reseller LSM.

National Electronics Import & Export Hunan Company ("CEIEC").

On November 7, 2000, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*,<sup>2</sup> and invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. The petitioner and the PRC respondents submitted case briefs on December 15, 2000, and December 18, 2000, respectively. LSM/SMC also submitted a case brief on December 15, 2000. All parties submitted rebuttal briefs on January 5, 2001. At the request of certain interested parties, we held a public hearing on January 16, 2001.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this review is manganese metal, which is composed principally of manganese, by weight, but also contains some impurities such as carbon, sulfur, phosphorous, iron and silicon. Manganese metal contains by weight not less than 95 percent manganese. All compositions, forms and sizes of manganese metal are included within the scope of this administrative review, including metal flake, powder, compressed powder, and fines. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 8111.00.45.00 and 8111.00.60.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

##### Rescission of Review in Part

As stated in the *Preliminary Results*, CEIEC notified the Department that it had not made any U.S. sales of subject merchandise during the POR. Entry data provided by the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") confirms that there were no POR entries from CEIEC of manganese metal.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, consistent with the Department's regulations and practice,<sup>4</sup> we are rescinding this review with respect to CEIEC.

##### Use of Facts Otherwise Available

As stated in the *Preliminary Results*, on June 19, 2000, SCL informed the Department that, given the small

<sup>2</sup> *Manganese Metal from the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 66697 (November 7, 2000) ("Preliminary Results").

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum to the Case File; Confirmation of No Shipment by CEIEC (October 31, 2000).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3); *Silicon Metal from Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 46753 (September 5, 1996).

volume of merchandise it entered during the period of review ("POR"), SCL would not participate in this review. In the *Preliminary Results*, consistent with section 776(b) of the Act, we determined that the use of total adverse facts available was appropriate for this company. We have not identified any information or arguments since the *Preliminary Results* that would prompt a reconsideration of this finding. Therefore, for the reasons explained in the *Preliminary Results*, we have used total adverse facts available to determine a margin for SCL in this review.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Bernard T. Carreau, fulfilling the duties of Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 7, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memo*, is attached to this notice as an appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memo* can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memo* are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of comments received and a re-examination of surrogate value data, we have made certain changes to the calculations for the final results. These changes are discussed in the comments section of the *Decision Memo* or in the referenced final calculation memoranda for particular companies.

### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000:

| Manufacturer/exporter | Margin |
|-----------------------|--------|
| CMIECHN/CNIECHN ..... | 12.12  |
| Minmetals .....       | 0.00   |
| LSM/SMC .....         | 3.49   |
| SCL .....             | 143.32 |

Because we are rescinding the review with respect to CEIEC, the company-specific rate for that company remains unchanged.

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department calculates an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise. Because certain importer-specific assessment rates calculated in these final results are above *de minimis* (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), the Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise. For assessment purposes, we calculate importer-specific assessment rates for the subject merchandise by aggregating the dumping duties due for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing the amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the exporters named above, the cash deposit rates will be the rates for these firms established in the final results of this review, except that, for exporters with *de minimis* rates (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) no deposit will be required; (2) for previously-reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period during which they were reviewed; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the rate will be the PRC country-wide rate, which is 143.32 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to

comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 7, 2001.

**Timothy J. Hauser,**

*Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.*

### APPENDIX

#### *List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum*

- Comment 1: Abuse of Discretion
- Comment 2: Ore 1 Valuation Using Indian Prices
- Comment 3: Ore 1 Valuation Using Ghanaian Prices
- Comment 4: Ore 2 Valuation
- Comment 5: Positive Mud Surrogate Source
- Comment 6: Positive Mud Time Adjustment
- Comment 7: Liquid Ammonia Valuation
- Comment 8: SDD Valuation
- Comment 9: Selenium Dioxide Valuation
- Comment 10: Electricity Valuation
- Comment 11: Industry-Specific Direct Materials in Overhead, SG&A and Profit
- Comment 12: Finished Goods in Overhead, SG&A and Profit
- Comment 13: Overhead and SG&A of Powder Producers
- Comment 14: Outward Distribution Expenses
- Comment 15: Administrative Labor in Total Labor Expenses
- Comment 16: Plastic Bag Valuation
- Comment 17: Wooden Pallet Valuation
- Comment 18: HYMM's Ore Grinding Costs
- Comment 19: XTMM's Constructed Value Calculation
- Comment 20: Minmetals' Typographical Error
- Comment 21: HYMM's Iron Drums
- Comment 22: Minmetals' Flake Value
- Comment 23: OBS 43 Transportation Mode
- Comment 24: LSM/SMC's CEP Profit
- Comment 25: LSM/SMC's Inventory Carrying Cost, Inland Freight, and U.S. Brokerage and Handling

Comment 26: Exclusion of LSM/SMC's Sale  
[FR Doc. 01-6469 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

#### Silicon Metal from Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maisha Cryor at (202) 482-5831 or Ron Trentham at (202) 482-6320, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### TIME LIMITS:

#### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

#### Background

On September 6, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Silicon Metal from Brazil, covering the period July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000 (65 FR 53980). The preliminary results are currently due no later than April 1, 2001.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is

extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than July 30, 2001. See Decision Memorandum from Thomas Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: March 8, 2001.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 01-6473 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-816]

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Fittings from Taiwan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alex Villaneuva, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0408.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

#### Background

On July 31, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan, covering the period June 1, 1999 through May 31, 2000 (65 FR 46687). The preliminary results are

currently due no later than May 31, 2001. On January 9, 2001, the Department extended the preliminary results due date by 90 days (66 FR 1644).

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Because of the complex issues enumerated in the Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Joseph A. Spetrini, *Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan*, dated March 6, 2001 and on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) of the Main Commerce Building, Room B-099, we find that it is not practicable to complete this review by the scheduled deadline. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 30 days (*i.e.*, until July 2, 2001).

Dated: March 6, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-6472 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-604 A-588-054]

#### Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

**SUMMARY:** On November 7, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the 1998-99 administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings (TRBs) and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan (A-588-604), and the antidumping finding on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (A-588-054) (*see Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in*