implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government."

Under Section 6 of Executive Order 13132, the EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by State and local governments, or the EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation. The EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law, unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This notice does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule. Although section 6 of Executive Order 13132 does not apply to this rule, the EPA did consult with the State of Idaho in developing this notice, as discussed in section IV of this notice.

Authority: Sections 1006, 2002(a), 3001, and 3004 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6905, 6912(a), 6921, and 6924).

Dated: March 7, 2001.

Michael Shapiro,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. [FR Doc. 01–6724 Filed 3–15–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00439K; FRL-6776-5]

Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee (PPDC); Inert Disclosure Stakeholder Workgroup; Notice of Public Meeting; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of March 7, 2001 (66 FR 13733) (FRL-6768-6), EPA announced a conference call meeting of the Inert Disclosure Stakeholder Workgroup. On page 13733, second column, under the DATES caption, the date of the conference call meeting was inadvertently listed as March 10, 2001. The correct date is March 20, 2001. This notice announces the correct meeting date of the Inert Disclosure Stakeholder Workgroup. DATES: The meeting will be held by conference call on Tuesday, March 20, 2001, from noon to 3 p.m. eastern standard time.

ADDRESSES: Members of the public may listen to the meeting discussions on site at: Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202; conference room 1123. Seating is limited and will be available on a first come, first serve basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Cameo Smoot, Field and External Affairs Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (703) 305–5454. Office location: 11th floor, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA; e-mail: smoot.cameo@epa.gov.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides, Inerts, PPDC.

Dated: March 9, 2001.

Joseph J. Merenda, Jr.

Acting Director, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. 01–6723 Filed 3–14–01 12:54 pm]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–8

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6955-6]

Accidental Release Prevention Requirements; Risk Management Programs Under the Clean Air Act Section 112(r)(7); Distribution of Off-Site Consequence Analysis Information; Development of Read-Only Information Technology System and Qualified Researcher System

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Withdrawal of notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is withdrawing a notice of January 17, 2001 (66 FR 4021) describing draft plans for providing

additional access to information about the potential off-site consequences of accidental chemical releases from industrial facilities. As part of the Administration's review of recent federal agency actions, we are evaluating the draft plans. When we have completed our review, we will make our plans for providing additional access available for public review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Dorothy McManus, Program Analyst,
(202) 564–8606, or Vanessa Rodriguez,
Chemical Engineer, (202) 564–7913,
Chemical Emergency Preparedness and
Prevention Office, Environmental
Protection Agency (5104), 1200
Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington,
DC 20460.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Off-site consequence analysis (OCA) information is submitted to EPA by facilities subject to the Chemical Accident Prevention Regulations at 40 CFR part 68. Under the Chemical Safety Information, Site Security and Fuels Regulatory Relief Act (CSISSFRRA) of 1999, EPA and the Department of Justice (DOJ) last year promulgated a rule making OCA information available to the public in specified ways (see 40 CFR part 1400). CSISSFRRA calls on EPA, in consultation with DOJ, to supplement the access provided by the rule. We are now in the process of reviewing the draft plans. When we have completed our review, we will make our plans for providing additional access available for public review.

Dated: March 13, 2001.

Michael H. Shapiro,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

[FR Doc. 01–6679 Filed 3–15–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. AUC-01-40-A (Auction No. 40); DA 01-593]

Auction of Licenses for the Lower and Upper Paging Bands Scheduled for June 26, 2001

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document seeks comment on reserve prices or minimum opening bids and other auction procedural issues.

DATES: Comments are due on or before March 19, 2001, and reply comments are due on or before March 26, 2001.

ADDRESSES: An original and four copies of all pleadings must be filed with the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Room TW-A325, 445 Twelfth Street SW., Washington DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erik Salovaara, Auctions Attorney, or Jeff Crooks, Auctions Analyst, at (202) 418–0660; or Lisa Stover, Project Manager, at (717) 338–2888.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of a Public Notice released March 7, 2001. The complete text of the Public Notice is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW.,

Washington, DC. It may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Serviced, Inc. (ITS, Inc.) 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 837–3800. It is also available on the Commission's web site at http://www.fcc.gov.

1. By the Public Notice, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau ("Bureau") announces the auction of 14,000 licenses in the lower paging bands (35–36 MHz, 43–44 MHz, 152–159 MHz, and 454–460 MHz) ("Auction No. 40") to commence on June 26, 2001. In addition, Auction No. 40 will include 1,514 licenses in the upper paging bands (929–931 MHz), that remained unsold in the first paging auction

("Auction No. 26"), which closed on March 2, 2000.

2. In the Paging Reconsideration Order, 64 FR 33762 (June 24, 1999), the Commission concluded that the lower bands licenses should be awarded in each of the 175 geographic areas known as Economic Areas (EAs) and the upper band licenses awarded in each of the 51 geographic areas known as Major Economic Areas (MEAs). These EAs and MEAs both encompass the United States, Guam and Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico and the United States Virgin Islands, and American Samoa.

3. The following tables contain the Block/Frequency Cross-Reference List for the paging bands:

35 MHz Lower Bands Unpaired Paging Channels

Block (License suffix)	Frequency						
CB	35.21–35.23 35.23–35.25		35.33–35.35 35.37–35.39	CK	35.49–35.51 35.53–35.55	CM	35.59–35.61 35.61-35.63

43 MHz Lower Bands Unpaired Paging Channels

Block (License suffix)	Frequency						
DB DC	43.21–43.23 43.23–43.25	DF DG	43.33–43.35 43.37–43.39		43.49–43.51 43.53–43.55	DN DO	43.57–43.59 43.59–43.61 43.61–43.63 43.65–43.67

152 MHz Lower Bands Unpaired Paging Channels

Block (License suffix)	Frequency	Block (License suffix)	Frequency
EA	152.230–152.250 152.830–152.850	EC	158.090–158.110 158.690–158.710

152 MHz Lower Bands Paired Paging Channels

Block (License suffix)	Frequency	Block (License suffix)	Frequency
FA	152.015–152.045/158.475–158.505 152.045–152.075/158.505–158.535 152.075–152.105/158.535–158.565 152.105–152.135/158.565–158.595 152.135–152.165/158.595–158.625 152.165–152.195/158.625–158.655 152.195–152.225/158.655–158.685 152.495–152.525/157.755–157.785	FKFMFNFO	152.555–152.585/157.815–157.845 152.585–152.615/157.845–157.875 152.615–152.645/157.875–157.905 152.645–152.685/157.905–157.935 152.685–152.705/157.935–157.965 152.705–152.735/157.965–157.995 152.735–152.765/157.995–158.025 152.765–152.795/158.025–158.085

454 MHz Lower Bands Paired Paging Channels

Block (License suffix)			Frequency	
GAGB	454.0125-454.0375/459.0125-459.0375 454.0375-454.0625/459.0375-459.0625	II -	454.3375–454.3625/459.3375–459.3625 454.3625–454.3875/459.3625–459.3875	

Block (License suffix)	Frequency	Block (License suffix)	Frequency	
GC	454.0625-454.0875/459.0625-459.0875	GP	454.3875-454.4125/459.3875-459.4125	
GD	454.0875–454.1125/459.0875–459.1125	GQ	454.4125–454.4375/459.4125–459.4375	
GE	454.1125–454.1375/459.1125–459.1375	GR	454.4375-454.4625/459.4375-459.4625	
GF	454.1375–454.1625/459.1375–459.1625	GS	454.4625-454.4875/459.4625-459.4875	
GG	454.1625-454.1875/459.1625-459.1875	GT	454.4875-454.5125/459.4875-459-5125	
GH	454.1875–454.2125/459.1875–459.2125	GU	454.5125-454.5375/459.5125-459.5375	
GI	454.2125-454.2375/459.2125-459.2375	GV	454.5375-454.5625/459.5375-459.5625	
GJ	454.2375-454.2625/459.2375-459.2625	GW	454.5625-454.5875/459.5625-459.5875	
GK	454.2625-454.2875/459.2625-459.2875	GX	454.5875-454.6125/459.5875-459.6125	
GL	454.2875-454.3125/459.2875-459.3125	GY	454.6125-454.6375/459.6125-459.6375	
GM	454.3125-454.3375/459.3125-454.3375	GZ	454.6375-454.6625/459.6375-459.6625	

454 MHz Lower Bands Paired Paging Channels—Continued

929-931 MHz Upper Bands Paging Channels

Block (License suffix)	Frequency	Block (License suffix)	Frequency	Block (License suffix)	Frequency	Block (License suffix)	Frequency
A	929.0125 929.1125 929.2375 929.3125 929.3875 929.4375 929.4625 929.6375 929.6875 929.7875 929.9125 929.9625	AA	931.0125 931.0375 931.0625 931.0875 931.1125 931.1375 931.1625 931.1875 931.2125 931.2375 931.2625 931.2875 931.3125	AN	931.3375 931.3625 931.3875 931.4125 931.4375 931.4625 931.5125 931.5125 931.5625 931.5875 931.6125 931.6375	BA	931.6625 931.6875 931.7125 931.7375 931.7625 931.7875 931.8125 931.8375 931.8625 931.9625 931.9875

Note: For Auction No. 40, licenses are not available in every block listed in the above 929–931 MHz Upper Bands Paging Channels table in every market as the Commission sold 985 upper bands licenses in Auction No. 26. See Attachment A of the Public Notice to determine what licenses will be offered for sale in Auction No. 40.)

4. The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 requires the Commission to "ensure that, in the scheduling of any competitive bidding under this subsection, an adequate period is allowed * * * before issuance of bidding rules, to permit notice and comment on proposed auction procedures. * * *" Consistent with the provisions of the Balanced Budget Act and to ensure that potential bidders have adequate time to familiarize themselves with the specific rules that will govern the day-to-day conduct of an auction, the Commission directed the Bureau, under its existing delegated authority, to seek comment on a variety of auction-specific procedures prior to the start of each auction. We therefore seek comment on the following issues relating to Auction No. 40.

I. Auction Structure

A. Simultaneous Multiple Round Auction Design

5. We propose to award the licenses in a single, simultaneous multipleround auction. As described further, this methodology offers every license for bid at the same time with successive bidding rounds in which bidders may place bids. We seek comment on this proposal.

B. Upfront Payments and Initial Maximum Eligibility

6. The Bureau has delegated authority and discretion to determine an appropriate upfront payment for each license being auctioned, taking into account such factors as the population in each geographic license area, and the value of similar spectrum. As described further, the upfront payment is a refundable deposit made by each bidder to establish eligibility to bid on licenses. For Auction No. 40, we propose to make the upfront payments equal to the minimum opening bids, which are established based on similar factors as described in section II.B. The specific upfront payments for each license are set forth in Attachment A of the Public Notice. We seek comment on this proposal.

7. We further propose that the amount of the upfront payment submitted by a bidder will determine the number of bidding units on which a bidder may place bids—this limit is a bidder's ''maximum initial eligibility.'' Each license is assigned a specific number of bidding units equal to the upfront payment listed in Attachment A of the Public Notice, on a bidding unit per dollar basis. This number does not change as prices rise during the auction. A bidder's upfront payment is not attributed to specific licenses. Rather, a bidder may place bids on any combination of licenses as long as the total number of bidding units associated with those licenses does not exceed its maximum initial eligibility. Eligibility cannot be increased during the auction. Thus, in calculating its upfront payment amount, an applicant must determine the maximum number of bidding units it may wish to bid on (or hold high bids on) in any single round, and submit an upfront payment covering that number of bidding units. We seek comment on this proposal.

C. Activity Rules

8. In order to ensure that the auction closes within a reasonable period of time, an activity rule requires bidders to bid actively on a percentage of their maximum bidding eligibility during each round of the auction rather than waiting until the end to participate. A bidder that does not satisfy the activity rule either will lose bidding eligibility in the next round or must use an activity rule waiver (if any remain).

We propose to divide the auction into three stages, each characterized by an increased activity requirement. The auction will start in Stage One. We propose that the auction will generally advance to the next stage (i.e., from Stage One to Stage Two, and from Stage Two to Stage Three) when the auction activity level, as measured by the percentage of bidding units receiving new high bids, is approximately ten percent or below for three consecutive rounds of bidding in Stages One and Two. However, we further propose that the Bureau retain the discretion to change stages unilaterally by announcement during the auction. In exercising this discretion, the Bureau will consider a variety of measures of bidder activity including, but not limited to, the auction activity level, the percentages of licenses (as measured in bidding units) on which there are new bids, the number of new bids, and the percentage increase in revenue. We seek comment on these proposals.

We Propose the Following Activity Requirements

Stage One: In each round of the first stage of the auction, a bidder desiring to maintain its current eligibility is required to be active on licenses representing at least 80 percent of its current bidding eligibility. Failure to maintain the requisite activity level will result in a reduction in the bidder's bidding eligibility in the next round of bidding (unless an activity rule waiver is used). During Stage One, reduced eligibility for the next round will be calculated by multiplying the current round activity by five-fourths (5/4).

Stage Two: In each round of the second stage, a bidder desiring to maintain its current eligibility is required to be active on 90 percent of its current bidding eligibility. During Stage Two, reduced eligibility for the next round will be calculated by multiplying the current round activity by ten-ninths (10/9).

Stage Three: In each round of the third stage, a bidder desiring to maintain its current eligibility is required to be active on 98 percent of its current bidding eligibility. In this final stage, reduced eligibility for the next round will be calculated by multiplying the current round activity by fifty/fortyninths (50/49). We seek comment on these proposals.

D. Activity Rule Waivers and Reducing Eligibility

10. Use of an activity rule waiver preserves the bidder's current bidding eligibility despite the bidder's activity in the current round being below the required minimum level. An activity rule waiver applies to an entire round of bidding and not to a particular license. Activity waivers are principally a mechanism for auction participants to avoid the loss of auction eligibility in the event that exigent circumstances prevent them from placing a bid in a particular round.

11. The FCC auction system assumes that bidders with insufficient activity would prefer to use an activity rule waiver (if available) rather than lose bidding eligibility. Therefore, the system will automatically apply a waiver (known as an "automatic waiver") at the end of any bidding period where a bidder's activity level is below the minimum required unless: (i) there are no activity rule waivers available; or (ii) the bidder overrides the automatic application of a waiver by reducing eligibility, thereby meeting the minimum requirements.

12. A bidder with insufficient activity may wish to reduce its bidding eligibility rather than use an activity rule waiver. If so, the bidder must affirmatively override the automatic waiver mechanism during the bidding period by using the reduce eligibility function in the bidding software. In this case, the bidder's eligibility is permanently reduced to bring the bidder into compliance with the activity rules as described. Once eligibility has been reduced, a bidder will not be permitted to regain its lost bidding eligibility.

13. A bidder may proactively use an activity rule waiver as a means to keep the auction open without placing a bid. If a bidder submits a proactive waiver (using the proactive waiver function in the bidding software) during a bidding period in which no bids are submitted, the auction will remain open and the bidder's eligibility will be preserved. An automatic waiver invoked in a round in which there are no new valid bids will not keep the auction open.

14. We propose that each bidder in Auction No. 40 be provided with five activity rule waivers that may be used at the bidder's discretion during the course of the auction. We seek comment on this proposal.

E. Information Relating to Auction Delay, Suspension, or Cancellation

15. For Auction No. 40, we propose that, by public notice or by announcement during the auction, the

Bureau may delay, suspend, or cancel the auction in the event of natural disaster, technical obstacle, evidence of an auction security breach, unlawful bidding activity, administrative or weather necessity, or for any other reason that affects the fair and competitive conduct of bidding. In such cases, the Bureau, in its sole discretion, may elect to resume the auction starting from the beginning of the current round, resume the auction starting from some previous round, or cancel the auction in its entirety. Network interruption may cause the Bureau to delay or suspend the auction. We emphasize that exercise of this authority is solely within the discretion of the Bureau, and its use is not intended to be a substitute for situations in which bidders may wish to apply their activity rule waivers. We seek comment on this proposal.

F. Information Available to Bidders During the Course of the Auction

16. In the Paging Second Report and Order, 62 FR 11616 (March 12, 1997), the Commission concluded that, due to the large number of licenses to be auctioned, limiting the disclosure of information to bidders during the course of paging auctions (e.g., revealing only high bids and total number of bids on each license and withholding bidder identities) might help to speed the pace of the auctions. In the Paging Reconsideration Order, the Commission directed the Bureau to seek further comment on this issue. Based on its experience in Auction No. 26, in which the information was disclosed, the Bureau tentatively concludes that it is unnecessary to withhold bidder identities in Auction No. 40. We seek comment on this tentative conclusion. In addition, as in Auction No. 26, we propose to disclose all information relating to the bids during Auction No. 40 after each round of bidding closes, including all bids and withdrawals placed in each round, the identity of the bidder placing each bid or withdrawal, and the net and gross amounts of each bid or withdrawal. We seek comment on this proposal.

II. Bidding Procedures

A. Round Structure

17. The Commission will use its Automated Auction System to conduct the electronic simultaneous multiple round auction for Auction No. 40. In contrast to prior auctions, Auction No. 40 will be conducted over the Internet. However, the Bureau's wide area network will be available at the standard charge, as in prior auctions. Prospective bidders concerned about

their access to the Internet may want to establish a connection to the Bureau's wide area network as a backup. Full information regarding how to establish such a connection, and related charges, will be provided in the public notice announcing details of auction procedures. The initial bidding schedule will be announced in a public notice to be released at least one week before the start of the auction and will be included in the registration mailings. The simultaneous multiple round format will consist of sequential bidding rounds, each followed by the release of round results. Details regarding the location and format of round results will be included in the same public notice.

18. In past auctions, we have used the timing of bids to select a high bidder when multiple bidders submit identical high bids on a license in a given round. Given that bidders will access the Internet at differing speeds, we will not use this procedure in Auction No. 40. For Auction No. 40, we propose to use a National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST") tested pseudorandom generator to select a high bidder at random from among such bidders. As with prior auctions, remaining bidders will be able to submit higher bids in subsequent rounds.

19. The Bureau has discretion to change the bidding schedule in order to foster an auction pace that reasonably balances speed with the bidders' need to study round results and adjust their bidding strategies. The Bureau may increase or decrease the amount of time for the bidding rounds and review periods, or the number of rounds per day, depending upon the bidding activity level and other factors. We seek comment on this proposal.

B. Reserve Price or Minimum Opening Bid

20. The Balanced Budget Act calls upon the Commission to prescribe methods for establishing a reasonable reserve price or a minimum opening bid when FCC licenses are subject to auction, unless the Commission determines that a reserve price or minimum bid is not in the public interest. Consistent with this mandate, the Commission has directed the Bureau to seek comment on the use of a minimum opening bid and/or reserve price prior to the start of each auction.

21. Normally, a reserve price is an absolute minimum price below which an item will not be sold in a given auction. Reserve prices can be either published or unpublished. A minimum opening bid, on the other hand, is the minimum bid price set at the beginning of the auction below which no bids are

accepted. It generally is used to accelerate the competitive bidding process. Also, the auctioneer often has the discretion to lower the minimum opening bid amount later in the auction.

22. In light of the Balanced Budget Act's requirements, the Bureau proposes to establish minimum opening bids for Auction No. 40. The Bureau believes a minimum opening bid, which has been utilized in other auctions, is an effective auction tool.

23. Because multiple licenses in the same geographic area are being auctioned at the same time, under the same general conditions, the Commission believes that it is appropriate to use a common baseline to establish the minimum opening bid formula for all the licenses in the auction. The gross high bids from the prior 929-931 MHz Paging Auction (Auction No. 26) provide the most comprehensive paging baseline. Therefore, we propose to base the minimum opening bid for each license available in Auction No. 40 on the average of the corresponding gross high bids received in Auction No. 26, as follows:

- For a license being auctioned by MEA, the minimum opening bid will be 20% of the average gross high bid received in Auction No. 26 in the same MEA.
- For a license being auctioned by EA, the minimum opening bid will be the EA population multiplied by 20% of the gross average dollars per population received in Auction No. 26 for the corresponding MEA.

24. The Commission will set a "floor" for minimum opening bids at \$1000 for licenses in the upper paging bands (929-931 MHz) and \$500 for the licenses in the lower paging bands (35-36 MHz, 43-44 MHz, 152-159 MHz, and 454-460 MHz).

25. This formula is intended to apply to all geographic paging licenses in Auction No. 40, and takes into account the considerations discussed. The specific proposed minimum opening bid for each license is set forth in Attachment A of the Public Notice. We seek comment on this proposal.

26. If commenters believe that these minimum opening bids will result in substantial numbers of unsold licenses, or are not reasonable amounts, or should instead operate as reserve prices, they should explain why this is so, and comment on the desirability of an alternative approach. Commenters are advised to support their claims with valuation analyses and suggested reserve prices or minimum opening bid levels or formulas. In establishing the minimum opening bids, we particularly

seek comment on such factors as the amount of spectrum being auctioned, levels of incumbency, the availability of technology to provide service, the size of the geographic service areas, issues of interference with other spectrum bands and any other relevant factors that could reasonably have an impact on valuation of the paging bands. Alternatively, comment is sought on whether, consistent with the Balanced Budget Act, the public interest would be served by having no minimum opening bid or reserve price.

C. Minimum Acceptable Bids and Bid Increments

27. In each round, eligible bidders will be able to place acceptable bids on a given license in any of ten different amounts. The Automated Auction System interface will list as acceptable bids for each license a minimum acceptable bid and nine higher bids equal to the minimum acceptable bid plus one to nine times a defined increment. Until a bid has been placed on a license, the minimum acceptable bid for that license will be equal to its minimum opening bid. In the rounds after an acceptable bid is placed on a license, the minimum acceptable bid for that license will be equal to the standing high bid plus the defined increment.

28. For Auction No. 40, we propose to set the defined increment for each license based on a percentage of the standing high bid on the license or, if no bid has been placed on the license, a percentage of the minimum opening bid for the license. The defined increment will be calculated as follows. Presuming, for example, that the percentage being used is 20 percent, we will multiply the standing high bid (or, if no standing high bid exists for the particular license, the minimum opening bid) by 1.2. (If the percentage being used is 30 percent, we would multiply by 1.3, etc.) We will round the result to the nearest \$100 for results below \$10,000 and to the nearest \$1,000 for amounts above \$10,000. The defined increment then will be determined by subtracting the standing high bid (or, if applicable, the minimum opening bid) from the rounded result. At the start of the auction, we propose to use 20 percent to calculate the defined increment. We also propose to retain discretion to change the percentage used to calculate the defined increment. Further, we propose to retain discretion to set a floor for the increment used to calculate the minimum acceptable bid at an absolute dollar amount.

29. In addition, we propose that in Stage Three the Bureau have discretion to use a smaller defined increment to

calculate acceptable bids higher than the minimum acceptable bid. The smaller defined increment would be calculated using a smaller percentage than the percentage used to calculate the defined increment that sets the minimum acceptable bid. For example, 20 percent might be used to calculate the defined increment for the minimum acceptable bid and 10 percent might be used to calculate the smaller defined increment used to calculate higher acceptable bids. In all other respects, the smaller defined increment would be calculated in exactly the manner described for the initial defined increment, including rounding.

30. For example, the Bureau could calculate Stage Three bids using 20 percent to calculate the defined increment for the minimum acceptable bid and 10 percent to calculate the smaller defined increment. Assuming that the standing high bid on a given license is \$50,000, in the next round of Stage Three,

Defined Increment

- = Rounded (Standing High Bid * 1.2)
 - Standing High Bid
- = Rounded (\$50,000 * 1.2) \$50,000
- =Rounded (\$60,000) \$50,000
- = \$60,000 \$50,000
- = \$10,000

Minimum Acceptable Bid

- = Standing High Bid + Defined Increment
- = \$50,000 + \$10,000
- = \$60,000

Smaller Defined Increment

- = Rounded (Standing High Bid * 1.1) - Standing High Bid
- = Rounded (\$50,000 * 1.1) \$50,000 = Rounded (\$55,000) \$50,000
- = \$55,000 \$50,000
- = \$5,000

One Increment Higher Than Minimum Acceptable Bid

- = Minimum Acceptable Bid + (Smaller Defined Increment * 1)
- = \$60,000 + (\$5,000 * 1)
- = \$60,000 + \$5,000
- = \$65,000

Two Increments Higher Than Minimum Acceptable Bid

- = Minimum Acceptable Bid + (Smaller Defined Increment * 2)
- = \$60,000 + (\$5,000 * 2)
- = \$60,000 + \$10,000
- = \$70,000

This procedure would enable bidders unwilling to raise the standing high bid by twice the defined increment to place bids higher than the minimum acceptable bid. Thus, in the example, a bidder wanting to bid above the minimum acceptable bid but unwilling to raise the standing high bid of \$50,000 by twice the defined increment of

\$10,000 (\$20,000 or 40 percent) would have the flexibility to bid \$65,000, raising the standing high bid by \$15,000. Bidders may want the flexibility to place such bids as licenses approach their final price. However, such precision earlier in the auction only would create unnecessary delay, and we therefore propose to limit this procedure to Stage Three of the auction.

31. In summary, we propose the Bureau have discretion at any time during the auction to change the initial 20 percent used to calculate the defined increment and/or to set an absolute dollar amount floor for the increment used to calculate the minimum acceptable bid. We also propose that the Bureau have additional discretion in Stage Three to calculate the minimum acceptable bid using one percentage and to calculate higher acceptable bids using another percentage. Advanced notice of the Bureau's decision to exercise its discretion with regard to acceptable bids in any manner would be announced via the Automated Auction System. We seek comment on these proposals.

D. Information Regarding Bid Withdrawal and Bid Removal

32. For Auction No. 40, we propose the following bid removal and bid withdrawal procedures. Before the close of a bidding period, a bidder has the option of removing any bid placed in that round. By using the remove bid function in the bidding software, a bidder may effectively "unsubmit" any bid placed within that round. A bidder removing a bid placed in the same round is not subject to a withdrawal

33. Once a round closes, a bidder may no longer remove a bid. However, in any subsequent round, a high bidder may withdraw its standing high bids from previous rounds using the withdraw bid function in the bidding software. A high bidder that withdraws its standing high bid from a previous round is subject to the bid withdrawal payment provisions of the Commission rules. We seek comment on these bid removal and bid withdrawal procedures.

34. In the $\bar{P}art~1~Third~Report~and$ Order, 63 FR 770 (January 7, 1998), the Commission explained that allowing bid withdrawals facilitates efficient aggregation of licenses and the pursuit of efficient backup strategies as information becomes available during the course of an auction. The Commission noted, however, that, in some instances, bidders may seek to withdraw bids for improper reasons. The Bureau, therefore, has discretion, in managing the auction, to limit the number of withdrawals to prevent any

bidding abuses. The Commission stated that the Bureau should assertively exercise its discretion, consider limiting the number of rounds in which bidders may withdraw bids, and prevent bidders from bidding on a particular market if the Bureau finds that a bidder is abusing the Commission's bid withdrawal procedures.

35. Applying this reasoning, we propose to limit each bidder in Auction No. 40 to withdrawing standing high bids in no more than two rounds during the course of the auction. To permit a bidder to withdraw bids in more than two rounds would likely encourage insincere bidding or the use of withdrawals for anti-competitive purposes. The two rounds in which withdrawals are utilized will be at the bidder's discretion; withdrawals otherwise must be in accordance with the Commission's rules. There is no limit on the number of standing high bids that may be withdrawn in either of the rounds in which withdrawals are utilized. Withdrawals will remain subject to the bid withdrawal payment provisions specified in the Commission's rules. We seek comment on this proposal.

E. Stopping Rule

36. For Auction No. 40, the Bureau proposes to employ a simultaneous stopping rule approach. The Bureau has discretion to establish stopping rules before or during multiple round auctions in order to terminate the auction within a reasonable time. A simultaneous stopping rule means that all licenses remain open until the first round in which no new acceptable bids or proactive waivers are received. After the first such round, bidding closes simultaneously on all licenses. Thus, unless circumstances dictate otherwise, bidding would remain open on all licenses until bidding stops on every license.

37. However, the Bureau proposes to retain the discretion to exercise any of the following options during Auction

(i) Utilize a modified version of the simultaneous stopping rule. The modified stopping rule would close the auction for all licenses after the first round in which no bidder submits a proactive waiver or a new bid on any license on which it is not the standing high bidder. Thus, absent any other bidding activity, a bidder placing a new bid on a license for which it is the standing high bidder would not keep the auction open under this modified stopping rule. The Bureau further seeks comment on whether this modified stopping rule should be used at any

time or only in stage three of the auction.

(ii) Keep the auction open even if no new acceptable bids or proactive waivers are submitted. In this event, the effect will be the same as if a bidder had submitted a proactive waiver. The activity rule, therefore, will apply as usual, and a bidder with insufficient activity will either lose bidding eligibility or use a remaining activity rule waiver.

(iii) Declare that the auction will end after a specified number of additional rounds ("special stopping rule"). If the Bureau invokes this special stopping rule, it will accept bids in the specified final round(s) only for licenses on which the high bid increased in at least one of the preceding specified number of rounds.

38. The Bureau proposes to exercise these options only in certain circumstances, such as, for example, where the auction is proceeding very slowly, there is minimal overall bidding activity, or it appears likely that the auction will not close within a reasonable period of time. Before exercising these options, the Bureau is likely to attempt to increase the pace of the auction by, for example, increasing the number of bidding rounds per day, and/or increasing the amount of the minimum bid increments for the limited number of licenses where there is still a high level of bidding activity. We seek comment on these proposals.

III. Conclusion

39. Comments are due on or before March 19, 2001, and reply comments are due on or before March 26, 2001. An original and four copies of all pleadings must be filed with the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Room TW-A325, 445 Twelfth Street SW., Washington DC 20554, in accordance with § 1.51(c) of the Commission's rules. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be delivered to each of the following locations: (i) The Commission's duplicating contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS), 1231 20th Street NW., Washington DC 20036; (ii) Office of Media Relations, Public Reference Center, Room CY-A257, 445 Twelfth Street SW., Washington DC 20554; (iii) Rana Shuler, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Room 4-A628, 445 Twelfth Street SW., Washington DC 20554. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Public

Reference Room, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street SW.., Washington DC 20554.

40. This proceeding is a "permit-butdisclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's ex parte rules. Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must contain summaries of the substance of the presentations and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one or two sentence description of the views and arguments presented is generally required. Other rules pertaining to oral and written ex parte presentations in permit-but-disclose proceedings are set forth in § 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

Margaret Wiener,

Chief, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. 01–6625 Filed 3–15–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2471]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

March 9, 2001.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC, or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by April 2, 2001. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96– 45).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1. Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96– 45).

Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. (CC Docket No. 97–21). Number of Petitions Filed: 3.

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (CC Docket No. 96– 45). Access Charge Reform (CC Docket No. 96–262). Number of Petitions Filed: 2.
Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on
Universal Service: Recommendations
for Phasing Down Interim Hold-

for Phasing Down Interim Hold-Harmless Provision (CC Docket No. 96–45).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1. Subject: Amendment of Section 73.202(b), Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations, Rincon, Puerto Rico (MM Docket No. 00–123, RM–9903). Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Subject: 1988 Biennial Regulatory Review—Streamlining of Radio Technical Rules in Parts 73 and 74 of the Commission's Rules (MM Docket No. 98–93).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–6552 Filed 3–15–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that at 10:01 a.m. on Tuesday, March 13, 2001, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation met in closed session to consider matters relating to the Corporation's personnel, corporate, supervisory and resolution activities.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Director Ellen S. Seidman (Director, Office of Thrift Supervision), seconded by Director John M. Reich, concurred in by Ms. Julie L. Williams, acting in the place and stead of Director John D. Hawke, Jr. (Comptroller of the Currency), and Chairman Donna Tanoue, that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no notice earlier than March 8, 2001, of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsections (c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B) ofthe "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B)).

The meeting was held in the Board Room of the FDIC Building located at 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Dated: March 13, 2001.