the final results of these reviews not later than March 31, 2002.

	Period to be reviewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Canada: Iron Construction Castings, A-122-503	3/1/00-2/28/01
Canada Pipe Company, Ltd.	
India: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges, A-533-809	2/1/00-1/31/01
Snowdrop Trading Pvt. Ltd.*.	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Pakistan: Shop Towels, C-535-001	1/1/00-12/31/00
Aqil Textile Industries	
Bita Textile Corporation	
Fine Fabrics	
Iftikhar Corporation	
Ishaq Towel Factory	
Jawad Brothers	
Jawad Industries	
Mehtabi Towel Mills (Pvt.) Ltd.	
Pakistan Textile Corporation (Pvt) Ltd.	
Quality Linen Supply Corporation	
R.I. Weaving/ Ranjha Linen	
Salimah International	
Shaheen Textiles Shahi Textiles	
Silver Textile Factory	
Sultex Industries	
United Towel Exporters	
Universal Linen	
Nisar (Textiles) Corporation	
Khaksar Impex (Pvt) Ltd.	
Saasoh Textile Corporation	
Faisalabad Cotton Product (Pvt) Ltd.	
Shaheen International	
Ranjha Linen	
Suspension Agreements	
None.	

^{*} Inadvertently omitted from previous initiation notice.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under § 351.211 or a determination under § 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: April 24, 2001.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–10689 Filed 4–27–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration A-201–805

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico.

SUMMARY: On December 12, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico. See Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Preliminary Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 65 FR 77560 (December 12, 2000) ("Preliminary Results"). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Tuberia Nacional S.A. de C.V. ("TUNA"). The period of review ("POR") is November 1, 1998 through October 31, 1999.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our verification of the data and analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results of this review. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section titled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 30, 2001. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Drury or Steve Bezirganian, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, at telephone 202–482–0195 or 202–482–1131, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("Act") are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

The Department published an antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube from Mexico on November 2, 1992 (57 FR 49453). The Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the 1998/99 review period on November 16, 1999 (64 FR 62167). Respondents TUNA and Hylsa S.A. de C.V. ("Hylsa"), as well as petitioners, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube from Mexico. We initiated this review on December 21, 1999. See 64 FR 72644 (December 28, 1998).

The Department received a timely request for withdrawal from the administrative review from the respondent Hylsa on March 15, 2000. On March 22, 2000, petitioners also withdrew their request for a review of Hylsa. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department terminated this review for respondent Hylsa. See Preliminary Results.

Under section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for issuing a preliminary determination in an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary review within the statutory time limit of 245 days. On August 11, 2000, the Department published a notice of extension of the time limit for the preliminary results in this case to November 29, 2000. See Extension of Time Limit: Circular Welded Non-Allov Pipe From Mexico; Antidumping Administrative Review, 65 FR 49223 (August 11, 2000).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our Preliminary Results. TUNA and petitioners filed briefs on January 11 and January 12, 2001. On January 16, 2001, TUNA and petitioners filed rebuttal briefs. No hearing was requested or held.

Period of Review

The review covers the period November 1, 1998 through October 31, 1999. The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by these orders are circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular crosssection, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipes and tubes and are intended for the low pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses, and generally meet ASTM A-53 specifications. Standard pipe may also be used for light loadbearing applications, such as for fence tubing, and as structural pipe tubing used for framing and support members for reconstruction or load-bearing purposes in the construction, shipbuilding, trucking, farm equipment, and related industries. Unfinished conduit pipe is also included in these orders. All carbon steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of these orders, except line pipe, oil country tubular goods, boiler tubing, mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished conduit. Standard pipe that is dual or triple certified/stenciled that enters the United States as line pipe of a kind used for oil or gas pipelines is also not included in these orders.

Imports of the products covered by these orders are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these proceedings is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs, as well as the Department's findings, in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: November 1, 1998, through October 31, 1999 ("Decision Memorandum"), from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration (April 11, 2001), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, and a list of our changes, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file at the U.S. Department of Commerce, in the Central Records Unit, in room B-099. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the public version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Sales Below Cost in the Home Market

As discussed in more detail in the Preliminary Results, the Department disregarded home market below-cost sales that failed the cost test in the final results of review.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our verification and analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculation, as discussed in the Decision Memorandum. We have corrected our calculation of U.S. indirect selling expenses ("DINDIRSU"), made changes to our level of trade analysis, adjusted our cost calculations with respect to the B10 inflation adjustment, and consolidated the reported G&A expenses for TUNA.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period November 1, 1998 through October 31, 1999:

CIRCULAR WELDED NON-ALLOY STEEL PIPE

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- average margin (percent)
TUNA	3.48

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment rates by dividing the total dumping margins calculated for the U.S. sales to the importer by the total entered value of these sales. This rate will be used for the assessment of antidumping duties on all entries of the subject merchandise by that importer during the POR. The Department's decision applies to all entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by TUNA, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after November 1, 1998 and on or before October 31, 1999.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for TUNA will be the rate shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in these or any previous reviews conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate, which is 36.62 percent.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR

351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the

Dated: April 11, 2001.

Timothy J. Hauser,

Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

- (1) Level of Trade/CEP Offset
 - A. Methodology
 - B. Fact pattern of the case—
 - C. Overstatement of indirect selling expenses
- (2) G&A Ratio
- (3) Inflation Adjustments in the Mexican Market
- (4) CEP Ratio

[FR Doc. 01-10683 Filed 4-27-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-862]

Notice of Postponement of Final **Determination of Antidumping Duty** Investigation: Foundry Coke From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of postponement of final determination of antidumping duty investigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 30, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Doreen Chen or James Doyle, Office IX, DAS Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0408 and (202) 482-0159, respectively.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

This investigation was initiated on October 10, 2000. See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Foundry Coke Products From the People's Republic of China, 65 FR 61303 (October 17, 2000). The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 2000 through June 30, 2000. On March 8, 2001, the Department published the notice of preliminary determination. See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Foundry Coke From the People's Republic of China, 66 FR 8338 (March 8, 2001).

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by petitioner. The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

In a March 12, 2001 request which was thereafter amended on April 10, 2001, Shanxi Grand Coalchem Industrial Company, Ltd., Sinochem International, CITIC Trading Company Ltd. and Minmetals Development Co. Ltd. ("respondents"), requested that the Department postpone its final determination until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination in the Federal Register and requested an extension of the provisional measures. See Notice of the Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 66 FR 13855 (March 8, 2001). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.210(b), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative, (2) respondents requesting the postponement account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting the respondent's request and are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of preliminary determination in the Federal Register.